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## STRATEGIC PLAN

2008-2013

MISSION STATEMENT
The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## VISION STATEMENT

WISD will be the worldclass district of choice.

VALUE STATEMENTS

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.

Weatherford ISD's Strategic Plan was developed by the WISD Strategic Management Team

Dear Staff Members, Parents, and Residents:

The Weatherford Independent School District Annual Report for the 2011-12 school year provides many reasons for our community to feel proud of our schools. This report includes information such as Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) data, glossary explanations, district and campus performance reports, Texas Academic Skills Program (TASP) results, Weatherford ISD's Strategic Plan, and the District's annual performance report on school safety.

It is important to note that no State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) results are included, since performance standards have not been determined yet for the grades 3-8 STAAR assessments. Results of the spring and summer 2012 STAAR End-of-Course assessments at the state, region, district, and campus levels can be accessed online at http://www.texasassessment.com.

Additional items that are new to this year's Annual Report include:

## TAKS - Indicators that change:

- TAKS by grade: Performance is shown only for grades $10 \& 11$.
- TAKS (Sum of All Grades Tested): shown for grades $10 \& 11$ only.
- TAKS Commended Performance: shown for grades $10 \& 11$ only.
- TAKS-M: Results on the TAKS-M assessments are included in the TAKS indicators and also shown separately, for grades $10 \& 11$ only.
- TAKS-Alt: The TAKS-Alt was not available for the 2012 TAKS administration.
- No Prior Year: Only one year of data (spring 2012) is shown.
- TAKS Participation: No participation report is available for 2011-12.
- Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers: Performance is shown only for grades $10 \& 11$.
- TAKS Performance of Mobile Students (state report only): Performance is shown only for grades $10 \& 11$.


## TAKS - Indicators that do not change:

- TAKS Exit-Level Cumulative Pass Rate.
- ELL Progress Measure: This indicator is not available for the 2011-12 AEIS reports.
- Annual Dropout Rate: Only one year of data is shown for this indicator, because dropout rates for 2010-11 are not comparable to those reported for 2009-10.
- 4-Year Completion Rate (Gr. 9-12): This indicator is shown for the class of 2011 only, with new exclusions required under Texas Education Code applied to the district and campus rates only. Note that the district and campus reports show "N/A" for the state and region columns. The required exclusions are not part of the methodology used to calculate state and region 4-year completion rates, therefore, the state and region reports show the 4 -year completion rate without the exclusions applied.
- Non-Educationally Disadvantaged: This is a new item on the AEIS reports. It is the complementary count and percent to Economically Disadvantaged. That is, it is defined as those not eligible to participate in free or reduced-price lunch or to receive any other public assistance.
- Instructional Staff Percent: This item, which has previously been available on the School Report Cards has been added to the campus reports. It is only reported at the district level, so the campus column is blank.
- Instructional Expenditure Ratio: This item, which has previously been available on the School Report Cards has been added to the campus reports. It is only reported at the district level, so the campus column is blank.
- AEIS Download Data: The data downloads will be available by late November on the public site. Variables have been added to the download:
- At-Risk: The campus-level performance of students who are at-risk of dropping out of school can be down loaded, for all indicators.
- Mobility: District-level mobility has been added to the district download. Note that this indicator is still calculated at the campus level.
- Campus Comparison Groups: While there is no Comparable Improvement to report for 2011-12, the campus comparison groups will be available later, on the public site. Districts are not required to include those with the publication and dissemination of the AEIS reports.
- School Report Card (SRC): Due to the lack of state assessment results for most grades and no accountability information, there will be no School Report Card for 2011-12. Schools will not be required to send reports home with the students.

Sincerely,


Jeffrey Hanks, Ph.D.
Superintendent of Schools
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## G uidelines

for the<br>\section*{A cademic Excellence Indicator System}<br>2011-12 Report

The following guidelines have been developed by the Division of Performance Reporting of the Texas Education A gency to help districts understand their responsibilities regarding the A cademic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) reports. Please read these guidelines carefully.

Texas Education Code C hapter 39* requires each district's board of trustees to publish an annual report that includes the A EIS report; campus performance objectives; a report of violent or criminal incidents; and, information received under Texas Education Code §51.403(e) from the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board. The board of trustees shall hold a hearing for public discussion of the report, and they shall widely disseminate the report within the district.

* The 2011-12 A EIS Guidelines refer to TEC Chapter 39 as it existed before amendment by House Bill (HB) 3, 81st Texas Legislature, 2009. This remains in effect through the 2011-12 school year.

1. Publishing an Annual Report. The A EIS report comprises the main part of the district's annual report. Districts must publish the AEIS report in the format prepared by TEA. A part from the A EIS report, districts must also include campus performance objectives (see item 2. below), a report of violent or criminal incidents (see item 3. below), and information received from the Texas Higher Education C oordinating B oard (THECB) for each high school campus in the district (see item 4. below). Districts may add narrative describing their schools; present additional data, charts, and diagrams; and give further explanation of the data prepared by TEA.

## Of the materials provided by TEA to the district, those that must be made available to the public are the Performance and Profile sections for the district and for every campus in the district. For 2011-12, C ampus Comparable Improvement Reports are not available. For districts with bilingual and/or ESL data, Section III, the Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language Report, follows the Profile section. All three sections are required to be published. Campus reports do not have Section III.

It is also hel pful to provide a copy of the AEIS Glossary. Where appropriate, the Spanish version G losario should be made available. The English version of the G lossary will be available by late November 2012, concurrent with the public release of the A EIS. The Spanish translation will follow in J anuary. Other materials provided by TEA, such as these Guidelines, are for district or campus use only.
To access, save, and print your A EIS reports, log onto the Texas Education A gency Secure Environment (TEASE) website at:

## https://pryor.tea,state.tx.us/

This search site is designed for district use only and provides the basic materials districts
need to comply with the mandated publication requirements of the A EIS. See items 6. and 7. below for details on how to access and print reports off the TEASE site. Note that by late November 2012, all 2011-12 A EIS information will be made available to the public online at:

## http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/aeis/2012/index.html

2. Campus Performance $\mathbf{O}$ bjectives. Texas Education Code $\S 11.253$ requires that each campus improvement plan set objectives based on the AEIS report and periodically measure progress toward the performance objectives. These objectives must be approved by the local board of trustees and must be included in the published A EIS report.
3. Report on Violent or Criminal Incidents. Texas statute requires every district to publish a report on violent or criminal incidents at their schools. This report is meant to be available for use and review by the public in the district; a copy does not need to be sent to TEA. It is a local responsibility to determine the format of this report and publish it. The content of the report should reflect the requirements in Texas Education C ode §39.306. These requirements read, in part:
(a) The annual report must . . . include:
(4) a statement of the number, rate, and type of violent or criminal incidents that occurred on each district campus, to the extent permitted under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy A ct of 1974 (20 U.S.C. Section 1232g);
(5) information concerning school violence prevention and violence intervention policies and procedures that the district is using to protect students;
(6) the findings that result from evaluations conducted under the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act (SDFSCA) of 1994 (20 U.S.C. Section 7101 et seq.).
For clarification, please contact Priscilla Gonzalez-Flores at (512) 463-2395 (Division of Educator Initiatives).
4. Reports of Student E nrollment and A cademic Performance. Texas statute (TEC §51.403) requires every district to include with its A EIS report a report on student performance in postsecondary institutions during the first year enrolled after graduation from high school. The THECB posts this data on its website at:
http://www.txhighereddata.org/Interactive/HSC ollL inkFilters/HSG radA cademicPerformance.cfm
The most current report is titled Report of 2009-2010 High School G raduates' Enrollment and Academic Performance in Texas Public Higher Education in FY 2011. The first page of this document describes the data. Please read that page to understand what is reported. The list of individual high schools and their performance follows.
To comply with statutory requirements, find the information for your district, print it, and include it with the published A EIS report. Explanations from the report's first page may be a useful addition to your published A EIS report.
Data in the Report of 2009-2010 High School Graduates' Enrollment and Academic Performance in Texas Public Higher Education in FY 2011 is given for individual high
schools with more than 25 students; however, districts are not required to report grade point average results for individual high schools. If you choose to report only district level data, sum the individual high school data. If data for a district are masked due to small numbers of students, districts should still publish their section of the report, showing the masked data, just as they would publish any data that are masked in the A EIS report. Questions about accessing these reports should be directed to the THECB at (512) 427-6533.
5. Public Hearings. A hearing for public discussion of the annual report must be held within 90 calendar days from November 15, 2012 (the release date to superintendents on TEASE). The winter holiday does not count toward the 90 days. Parents and property owners must be notified of the hearing. State law stipulates that notification M UST include "notice to a newspaper of general circulation in the district and notice to electronic media serving the district." This notice can be in the form of a press release or other announcement, but it must be made available to local print and electronic media (i.e., newspaper, radio, and television). It must clearly state the date, time, and place of the hearing and explain the nature of the hearing. The hearing may be combined with a regularly scheduled meeting of the local board of trustees, but must be held at a reasonable time, when parents and taxpayers can attend. W ithin two weeks after the hearing, the A EIS report must be widely disseminated within the district.
6. How to Access the Reports. The TEA -generated A EIS reports are available on the TEA SE website, as of November 15, 2012. Every superintendent should have a logon to this site, or have a designated staff member with access to the site. The reports will be added to the public site by the end of November.
7. Printing the Reports. On the TEA SE site, A EIS reports are available as Portable Document Format (PDF) files. For 2012, the A EIS does not include Comparable Improvement reports. Y ou may select the district report, or all campuses in your district. The reports have been designed to allow for double-sided printing. Blank pages have been added after the cover page and at the end of every campus report that has an odd number of pages. Please save these reports to a local drive or server.
8. Disseminating the Report. Disseminating the report can be accomplished by directing readers to the agency's A EIS website or to your local website. To accommodate members of the public without internet access, a copy of the full A EIS report should be posted to at least one public place such as each school office, local businesses, and/or public libraries. Districts may also opt to distribute copies through mailings or via students. The district is responsible for finding the most efficient and cost-effective method of making the annual A EIS reports available to the public.
9. Requirement for Notice. Texas Education Code Chapter 39 requires that districts post the most current A EIS reports on the district website by the 10th instructional day of the school year. While this statute does not require that the district website be updated with the latest A EIS reports following the 10th instructional day of the year, you are strongly encouraged to make the 2011-12 reports available to the public on your own website. With the PDF version of the reports, this can be done easily. TEA's public website will have the A EIS reports online (as HTML as well as PDF) by late November. For more information on this requirement, see our $F A Q$ at:
http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/3297 faq.html
10. M aking C hanges to Data: By the time A EIS reports are generated, it is too late for districts to correct any data printed on the reports. Corrections to PEIM S data used in the A EIS report must be made using the procedures described in the PEIM S D ata Standards, well ahead of the time the A EIS reports are generated by TEA. The submission and resubmission period for PEIM S (Submission 1) was roughly from N ovember 2011 through mid-J anuary 2012. For changes to the TA K S results, college admissions test data, A dvanced Placement tests, or International B accalaureate tests, corrections must be handled through the appropriate testing contractor. However, if there are discrepancies betw een the data that appear on the A EIS report and locally computed data, the district may add a statement to the reports explaining the discrepancies.
11. Publishing a Summary Report: In addition to publishing the full A EIS report and having it available publicly, the district has the option to produce a shortened or summarized report, showing performance on key indicators. This summary must clearly indicate where and how to obtain a copy of the full report. N ote that the School Report C ard will not be available for the 2011-12 school year. (See item 15. below)
12. C hanges to this Year's AEIS: Due to the lack of state assessment results for most grades and no accountability information, the A EIS reports are substantially reduced for 2011-12.

- STAAR: No STAAR results are shown on the 2011-12 A EIS reports, since performance standards have not been determined yet for the grades 3-8 STAAR assessments.
- TAKS - Indicators that change:
o TAK S by grade: Performance is shown only for grades 10 \& 11 .
o TAK S (Sum of All Grades Tested): shown for grades 10 \& 11 only.
o TAKS Commended Performance: shown for grades $10 \& 11$ only.
o TAK S-M : Results on the TAK S-M assessments are included in the TAK S indicators and also shown separately, for grades 10 \& 11 only.
o TAK S-A It: The TA K S-A It was not available for the 2012 TAK S administration.
o No Prior Y ear: Only one year of data (spring 2012) is shown.
o TAK S Participation: No participation report is available for 2011-12.
o Progress of Prior Y ear TAK S Failers: Performance is shown only for grades 10 \& 11 .
o TAK S Performance of M obile Students (state report only): Performance is shown only for grades $10 \& 11$.
- TAKS - Indicators that do not change:
o TAKS Exit-L evel Cumulative Pass R ate.
- E LL Progress M easure: This indicator is not available for the 2011-12 A EIS reports.
- Annual Dropout R ate: Only one year of data is shown for this indicator, because dropout rates for 2010-11 are not comparable to those reported for 2009-10.
- 4-Year C ompletion Rate (Gr 9-12): This indicator is shown for the class of 2011 only, with new exclusions required under Texas Education Code applied to the district and campus rates only. N ote that the district and campus reports show " $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ " for the state and region columns. The required exclusions are not part of the methodology used to calculate state and region 4 -year completion rates, therefore, the state and region reports show the 4-year completion rate without the exclusions applied.
- Non-E ducationally Disadvantaged: This is a new item on the A EIS reports. It is the complementary count and percent to Economically Disadvantaged. That is, it is defined as those not eligible to participate in free or reduced-price lunch or to receive any other public assistance.
- Instructional Staff Percent: This item, which has previously been available on the School Report Cards has been added to the campus reports. It is only reported at the district level, so the campus column is blank.
- Instructional Expenditure R atio: This item, which has previously been available on the School Report Cards has been added to the campus reports. It is only reported at the district level, so the campus column is blank.
- AEIS D ownload Data: The data downloads will be available by late November on the public site. V ariables have been added to the download:
o At-Risk: The campus-level performance of students who are at-risk of dropping out of school can be downloaded, for all indicators.
o M obility: District-level mobility has been added to the district download. N ote that this indicator is still calculated at the campus level.
- Campus Comparison Groups: W hile there is no Comparable Improvement to report for 2011-12, the campus comparison groups will be available later, on the public site. Districts are not required to include those with the publication and dissemination of the A EIS reports.

13. Other Data Issues: In rel easing these reports to the public and the media, districts are encouraged to include a copy of the AEIS Glossary. Districts may explain any discrepancies between locally-computed statistics and the values received from TEA. The following are some of the most commonly requested explanations for perceived discrepancies between state and local data. (Districts may wish to include any or all of these.)

- Time frame: The time of data collection varies from indicator to indicator. For example, test scores for the ACT and SAT may be from tests taken when graduating seniors were juniors, or even sophomores. Dates of data sources are given in the AEIS Glossary.
- Static versus Dynamic D ata Sources: M ost of the data provided are derived from collections compiled at a specific time to create an annual statistic. Districts may maintain cumulative or dynamic sets of similar information, which they may wish to provide locally. A n example of this might be the cumulative number of recovered dropouts.
- The "October" or "Accountability" Subset: TA K S results are adjusted to account for student mobility. Only passing rates for students who were enrolled in the campus or district as of October 28, 2011 (for the spring 2012 test) were included in the A EIS reports.
- M asking: $\mathbf{M}$ asking rules are applied to results of the TAK S tests in order to be in compliance with the federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). Other performance indicators are also masked, such as A P/IB and SAT/ACT results. For more information on masking rules and symbols, see the explanation of masking at
http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/aeis/2012/masking.html

14. Explanation to Parents and Staff: A s previously mentioned (see item 5.), districts are required to notify parents (including anyone having lawful control of a student), property owners, and the local media of the A EIS hearing for public discussion. Beyond that, the superintendent may want to encourage principals to meet with staff to discuss their campus report; and, following public discussion, schedule presentations of the information at meetings of the local parent-teacher organization. The material TEA provides on this website is designed to provide all the basic information needed to understand the A EIS report.
15. School Report C ard (SRC ): Due to the lack of state assessment results for most grades and no accountability information, there will be no School Report Card for 2011-12. Schools will not be required to send reports home with the students.
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## 2011-12 Report

Accountability R ating: There are no state accountability ratings for the 2011-12 school year. A new accountability system, based on the new State of Texas A ssessments of A cademic R eadiness (STAA R) tests and other indicators will be used to rate campuses and districts in 2013. For more information, see the 2013 A ccountability website at:
http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2013/index.html
Adopted Tax R ate (calendar year 2011) (District Profile only): This is the locally adopted tax rate set for the 2011 calendar year. The total adopted rate is composed of a maintenance and operation rate ( $\mathrm{M} \& 0$ ) and a debt service rate (sometimes referred to as the Interest and Sinking fund rate). R ates are expressed per $\$ 100$ of taxable value. Taxes based on this rate were to be paid by taxpayers in early 2012. The state value shown for the adopted tax rates is the simple average of all the district rates. (Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, J uly 2012)
Advanced C ourse/Dual E nrollment C ompletion: This indicator is based on a count of students who complete and receive credit for at least one advanced course in grades 9-12. A dvanced courses include dual enrollment courses. Dual enrollment courses are those for which a student gets both high school and college credit. A warding credit for college course is described in Texas A dministrative Code (TAC) §74.25 which states, in part:
(b) To be eligible to enroll and be awarded credit tow ard state graduation requirements, a student must have the approval of the high school principal or other school official designated by the school district. The course for which credit is awarded must provide advanced academic instruction beyond, or in greater depth than, the essential knowledge and skills for the equivalent high school course.
Appendix C lists all courses identified as advanced, with the exception of courses designated only as dual enrollment. Dual enrollment courses are not shown, as the courses vary from campus to campus and could potentially include a large proportion of all high school courses.

Course completion information is reported by districts through the Public Education Information M anagement System (PEIM S) after the close of the school year. The values, expressed as a percent, are calculated as follows:
number of students in grades 9-12 who received credit for at least one advanced or dual enrollment course in 2010-11
number of students in grades 9-12 who completed at least one course in 2010-11
Special education students are included in the results shown for the campus or district and the individual student groups. For purposes of comparison, course completion rates are also shown for the prior year (2009-10). For a list of advanced courses, see Appendix C. (Source: PEIM S, J une 2011, J une 2010)
Advanced Placement Examinations: See AP/IB Results.

All Funds: Financial information is broken down by fund type (general fund only and all funds). All F unds consists of four fundamental fund groups: General Fund (codes 101199, 266 and 420), Special Revenue Funds (codes 200/300/400), Debt Service Funds (code 599), and Capital Projects Funds (codes 601 and 699). It also includes the Enterprise Fund, and the National School Breakfast and Lunch Program (code 701). Within the general fund, code 420 - Foundation School Program and Other State A id- is used by charter operators only. Fund 266, State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (beginning fiscal year 2008/2009), is also included in the general fund and is federally funded by the A merican Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.
Note that all financial data shown by fund is actual data, not budgeted. A ccordingly, the information is from the prior year (2010-11). For more information on fund codes, see Appendix B. (Source: PEIM S, M arch 2012)
Annual Dropout R ate: For the 2011-12 A EIS Reports, the annual dropout rate methodology for campuses and districts has changed. Per Texas Education (TEC) Code Chapter 39, the following are now excluded from campus and district dropout calculations:

1) students who are not eligible for state funding;
2) students who were court-ordered into a General Educational Development (GED) program, but who did not earn a GED;
3) students who have been incarcerated as adults;
4) students coded as refugees or asylees who have not received adequate schooling outside of the U nited States;
5) any students who were previously reported as dropouts to TEA ; and
6) students in county detention facilities outside of their home district.

Two annual dropout rate indicators are shown:
(1) Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8). This includes only grades 7 and 8 . It is calculated as follows:
number of dropouts in grades 7 and 8 during the 2010-11 school year
number of grade 7 and 8 students who were in attendance at any time during the 2010-11 school year
(2) Annual Dropout Rate ( $\mathrm{Gr} 9-12$ ). This includes grades 9 through 12. It is calculated as follows:
number of dropouts in grades 9 through 12 during the 2010-11 school year
number of grade 9-12 students who were in attendance at any time during the 2010-11 school year
B oth annual rates appear on campus, district, region, and state-level A EIS reports. However, the state and region annual dropout rates that are reported on district and campus A EIS reports are calculated without exclusions.
Note that with all annual dropout rate calculations, a cumulative count of students is used in the denominator. This method for calculating the dropout rate neutralizes the effects of mobility by including in the denominator every student ever reported in attendance at the campus or district throughout the school year, regardless of length of stay. For a more
complete description of dropout rates and the change in exclusions, see the Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools, 2010-11 reports, available at http://www.tea.state.tx. us/acctres/dropcomp_index.htm|
See also Dropout and Leaver Record. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2010, Oct. 2011 and J une 2011)

AP/IB Results: These refer to the results of the College Board's A dvanced Placement (AP) examinations and the International B accalaureate's (IB) Diploma Program examinations taken by Texas public school students. High school students may take one or more of these examinations, ideally upon completion of AP or IB courses, and may receive advanced placement or credit, or both, upon entering college. M any colleges will award credit or advanced placement for scores of 3,4 , or 5 on AP examinations and scores of 4 , 5,6 , or 7 on IB examinations. Requirements vary by college and by subject tested.
Three values are cal culated for this indicator:
(1) Tested. This shows the percent of students in grades 11 and 12 taking at least one AP or IB examination: number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students taking at least one AP or IB examination
number of non-special education $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students
The denominator of equation (1) does not include $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students served in special education; however, all students who took at least one AP or IB examination are included in the numerator. The performance of special education students is included in both the numerator and denominator of the other equations.
(2) Examinees >=Criterion. The percent of examinees with at least one AP or IB score at or above the criterion score ( 3 on AP or 4 on IB):
number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ graders with at least one AP or IB score at or above criterion
number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ graders with at least one AP or IB examination
(3) Scores $>=$ Criterion. This shows the percent of scores at or above the criterion score ( 3 on AP or 4 on IB):
number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade $A P \& I B$ examination scores at or above criterion
number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade AP \& IB examination scores
For a more information, see Criterion Score. (Sources: The College Board, Aug. 2011, Jan. 2011; The International Baccalaureate Organization, Aug. 2011, Aug. 2010; and PEIM S, Oct. 2011, Oct. 2010)
ARD: This refers to the A dmission, Review, and Dismissal (ARD) committee that determines the individualized education program (IEP) for every student served in special education. See also Special Education.

At-Risk: A student is identified as at risk of dropping out of school based on state-defined criteria (§TEC 29.081). At-risk status is obtained from the PEIM S 110 records. The percent of at-risk students is calculated as the sum of the students coded as at risk of dropping out of school, divided by the total number of students in membership:

A column showing at-risk student performance is shown on the district, region, and state reports. While this column is not available on the campus-level reports, counts of at-risk students are shown in the P rofile section of the campus reports (as well as the district, region, and state reports).
The statutory criteria for at-risk status include each student who is under 21 years of age and who:

1) was not advanced from one grade level to the next for one or more school years;
2) is in grades $7,8,9,10,11$, or 12 and did not maintain an average equivalent to 70 on a scale of 100 in two or more subjects in the foundation curriculum during a semester in the preceding or current school year or is not maintaining such an average in two or more subjects in the foundation curriculum in the current semester;
3) did not perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument administered to the student under TEC Subchapter B, Chapter 39, and who has not in the previous or current school year subsequently performed on that instrument or another appropriate instrument at a level equal to at least 110 percent of the level of satisfactory performance on that instrument;
4) is in prekindergarten, kindergarten or grades 1,2 , or 3 and did not perform satisfactorily on a readiness test or assessment instrument administered during the current school year;
5) is pregnant or is a parent;
6) has been placed in an alternative education program in accordance with §TEC 37.006 during the preceding or current school year;
7) has been expelled in accordance with §TEC 37.007 during the preceding or current school year;
8) is currently on parole, probation, deferred prosecution, or other conditional release;
9) was previously reported through the PEIM S to have dropped out of school;
10) is a student of limited English proficiency (LEP), as defined by §TEC 29.052;
11) is in the custody or care of the Department of Protective and Regulatory Services or has, during the current school year, been referred to the department by a school official, officer of the juvenile court, or law enforcement official;
12) is homeless, as defined by 42 U.S.C. Section 11302 and its subsequent amendments; or
13) resided in the preceding school year or resides in the current school year in a residential placement facility in the district, including a detention facility, substance abuse treatment facility, emergency shelter, psychiatric hospital, halfway house, or foster group home.

For 2011-12, the campus-level performance of students who are at-risk of dropping out of school has been added to the A EIS data download site, for all indicators. (Sources:
PEIM S, Oct. 2011; Texas Education Code, $81^{\text {st }}$ Texas Legislature)
Attendance R ate: A ttendance rates reported in A EIS are based on student attendance for the entire school year. Only students in grades 1-12 are included in the calculations. A ttendance is calculated as follows:
total number of days students were present in 2010-11
total number of days students were in membership in 2010-11
A ttendance rates are shown for 2010-11 and 2009-10. (Source: PEIM S, J une 2011, J une 2010)

Auxiliary Staff (D istrict Profile only): This shows the Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of staff reported without a role but with a PEIM S employment and payroll record. Counts of auxiliary staff are expressed as a percent of total staff. For auxiliary staff, the FTE is simply the value of the percent of day worked. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)

Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only): For each professional staff type, the total salary is divided by the total FTE count of staff who receives that salary. The total actual salary amount is pay for regular duties only and does not include supplemental payments for coaching, band and orchestra assignments, and club sponsorships. See Appendix A for lists of the PEIM S role IDs included in each category shown.

- Teachers. This includes teachers, special duty teachers, and substitute teachers. Substitute teachers are persons hired to replace a teacher who has quit, died, or been terminated; or, persons permanently hired on an as-needed basis.
- Campus Administration. This includes principals, assistant principals, and other administrators reported with a specific school ID.
- Central Administration. This includes superintendents, presidents, chief executive officers, chief administrative officers, business managers, athletic directors, and other administrators that are reported with a central office ID and not a specific school ID.
- Professional Support. This includes therapists, nurses, librarians, counselors, and other campus professional personnel.
A half-time employee with a reported actual sal ary of $\$ 30,000$ has a full-time equivalent salary of $\$ 60,000$. All average salaries are expressed in full-time equivalent form by dividing the sum of the actual salaries earned by the total FTE count. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)
A verage Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): Total pay for teachers within each experience group is divided by the total teacher FTE for the group. The total actual salary amount is pay for regular duties only and does not include supplements. For teachers who also have non-teaching roles, only the portion of time and pay dedicated to classroom responsibilities is factored into the average teacher salary calculation. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)

A verage Y ears Experience of T eachers: W eighted averages are obtained by multiplying each teacher's FTE count by years of experience. These amounts are summed for all teachers and divided by the total teacher FTE count, resulting in the averages shown. This measure refers to the total number of (completed) years of professional experience for the individual in any district. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)
A verage $Y$ ears Experience of Teachers with District: W eighted averages are obtained by multiplying each teacher's FTE count by years of experience. These amounts are summed for all teachers and divided by the total teacher FTE count, resulting in the averages shown. This measure refers to tenure, i.e., the number of years employed in the reporting district, whether or not there has been any interruption in service.
Bilingual Education/E nglish as a Second Language Report (District P erformance only): Changes to §TEC 39.051 passed during the $80^{\text {th }}$ Legislative Session (2007) require districts to report performance for selected A EIS indicators disaggregated by bilingual and English as a Second Language (ESL) instructional models. To accommodate this requirement Section III was added beginning with the 2008-09 A EIS reports. Section III of the A EIS reports shows the statutorily-required performance indicators disaggregated by twelve columns for students identified as LEP in the current school year.
Current LEP students receiving either Bilingual Education (BE) or ESL program services are presented as a total as well as disaggregated by program instructional model within BE and ESL. Results are also shown for current LEP students who did not receive any BE/ESL services and for current LEP students receiving any services.

For 2011-12, the only indicators shown are: Texas A ssessment of K nowledge and Skills (TAK S) M et 2012 Standard (Sum of Grades 10 and 11) and Progress of Prior Y ear TAK S Failers. Four columns shown in Section III are repeated from Section I: State, Region, District, and Total LEP.
Section III is included in district, region, and state A EIS reports. The information is not calculated or reported at the campus level. Only 2012 TAK S performance is shown.
For more information on Section III, see the sample in Appendix G. See also TAK S and Progress of Prior Year TAK S F ailers. For definitions of the BE/ESL instructional model types, see the PEIM S D ata Standards.
C ampus Group: Each campus is assigned to a unique comparison group of 40 other public schools (from anywhere in the state), that closely matches that campus on six characteristics. Comparison groups are provided so that schools can compare their performance to that of other schools with whom they are demographically similar.
The demographic characteristics used to construct the campus comparison groups include those defined in statute as well as others found to be statistically related to performance. They are:

- the percent of A frican A merican students enrolled for 2011-12;
- the percent of Hispanic students enrolled for 2011-12;
- the percent of W hite students enrolled for 2011-12;
- the percent of economically disadvantaged students enrolled for 2011-12;
- the percent of LEP students enrolled for 2011-12; and
- the percent of mobile students as determined from 2010-11 cumulative attendance.

All schools are first grouped by type (elementary, middle, secondary, or multi-level). Then the group is determined on the basis of the most predominant features at the target school. For example, assume a high school has 40.5\% A frican A merican, 20.9\% Hispanic, $32.5 \%$ W hite, $35.6 \%$ economically disadvantaged, $11.2 \%$ LEP, and $21.7 \%$ mobile students. Of these features, the most predominant (i.e., the largest) is the percent of A frican A merican students, followed by the percent of economically disadvantaged students, the percent of $W$ hite students, the percent of mobile students, the percent of Hispanic students, and finally, the percent of LEP students. The following steps illustrate the group identification process:
Step 1: 100 secondary campuses having percentages closest to $40.5 \%$ A frican A merican are identified;

Step 2: 10 schools from the initial group of 100 are eliminated on the basis of being most distant from the value of 35.6\% economically disadvantaged;

Step 3: 10 of the remaining 90 schools that are most distant from $32.5 \%$ W hite students are eliminated;

Step 4: 10 of the remaining 80 schools that are most distant from $21.7 \%$ mobile students are eliminated;

Step 5: 10 of the remaining 70 schools that are most distant from 20.9\% Hispanic students are eliminated;

Step 6: 10 of the remaining 60 schools that are most distant from 11.2\% LEP students are eliminated; and

Step 7: 10 of the remaining 50 schools that are most distant from 20.9\% Hispanic students and/or 32.5\% W hite students are eliminated. (This last reduction step is based on the least predominant characteristics among the four student groups evaluated in the accountability system: A frican A merican, Hispanic, W hite, and economically disadvantaged.)
The final group size is 40 schools. This methodology creates a unique comparison group for every campus. Please note the following:

- W ith this methodology, the number of times a school appears as a member of other groups will vary.
- In cases where the campus has a missing mobility value, the district's average mobility is used as a proxy. This will happen for schools in their first year of operation.
- The following schools do not have a comparison group:
- Disciplinary alternative education programs (DAEP) and juvenile justice alternative education programs (JJAEP);
- Campuses with a high grade of 3 or less, or only grade 12;
- Campuses rated under the A lternative E ducation A ccountability system in 2011;
- Campuses that only test students served by special education;
- Paired campuses;
- Campuses with fewer than nine students;
- Campuses that did not test students on the TAK S in 2011;
- Campuses that only tested grade 3 TAK S in 2011; and
- Campuses that were rated in 2011 using Special A nalysis for small number tested.
- Districts are not grouped.

In the Performance section of a campus A EIS report, the value given in the Campus Group column is the median of the values from the 40 -school group for that campus. (The median is defined as that point in the distribution of values, above and below which onehalf of the values fall.) In the Profile section of the report, the value given in the Campus Group column is the average value. If a report contains question marks (?) in the Campus Group column, this means there were too few schools in the comparison group (specifically, fewer than 25 schools) to have confidence in the median values. Such small numbers are considered too unstable to provide an adequate comparison group value.
See Appendix D for a sample C ampus Comparison Group report with explanations.
C ampus \#: The campus number is the unique 9-digit identifying number assigned to every Texas public school. It consists of the county number (assigned alphabetically from 001 to 254), followed by the district number (9_ _ is used primarily for regular districts, 8_ for charter operators), and ending with the campus number (generally 00_ for high schools, 04_ for middle schools, and 1_ _ for elementary schools).
Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject: These values show the average class size for elementary classes (by grade) and for secondary classes (by subject) for selected subjects. Districts report actual class sizes through the PEIM S 090 (Staff Responsibility) record. Each 090 record is unique by campus ID, staff ID, service ID, and class ID number.
The methodology for averaging class size differs depending on whether the class is elementary or secondary due to differences in reporting practices for these two types of teacher schedules. For secondary classes, each unique combination of teacher and class time is counted as a class. A verages are determined by summing the number of students served (in a given subject at the campus) and dividing by the calculated count of classes.

For elementary classes, the number of records reported for each grade is considered. A teacher teaching all subjects to the same group of fourth graders all day will have only one record indicating the total number of fourth grade students served. How ever, an elementary teacher who teaches a single subject to five different sections of fourth graders each day will have five separate records reported, each with a unique count of students served. For example, one $4^{\text {th }}$ grade science teacher teaches 5 science classes each day with: $18,20,19,21$, and 22 students in the different classes. That is a total of 100 students taught in 5 sections, 100 divided by 5 gives an average class size of 20 for that teacher.

The following rules apply to the average class sizes:

1) classes identified as serving regular, compensatory/remedial, gifted and talented, career and technical, and honors students are included in the calculation;
2) subjects in the areas of English language arts (ELA ), mathematics, science, social studies, foreign language, computer science, business education, career and technical, and self-contained are included in the calculation;
3) classes where the number of students served is reported to be zero are not included;
4) service codes with the "SR" prefix are not included;
5) teacher roles coded as "teacher" and/or "substitute teacher" are included;
6) only class settings coded as "regular class" are included in the calculation;
7) missing partial FTE counts are not included;
8) elementary classes where the number of students exceeds 100 are not included.

College Admissions Tests: See SAT/ACT Results.
C ollege Readiness Indicators: These indicators are grouped together to help provide a picture of college preparedness at a given high school or for a specific district. They can be used by educators as they work to ensure that students are able to perform college-level course work at institutions of higher education.
For 2011-12, the indicators include:

- Advanced Course/Dual Enrollment Completion;
- Recommended High School Program/Distinguished A chievement Program Graduates;
- A P/IB Results;
- Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Higher Education Readiness Component;
- SAT/ACT Results; and
- College-Ready Graduates.

C ollege-Ready Graduates: To be considered college-ready as defined by this indicator, a graduate must have met or exceeded the college-ready criteria on the TAK S exit-level test, or the SAT test, or the ACT test. The criteria for each are:

| Subject | Exit-level TAKS |  | SAT |  | ACT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | $>=2200$ scale score on ELA test AND <br> a " 3 " or higher on essay | OR | $\begin{gathered} >=500 \text { on Critical Reading } \\ \text { AND } \\ >=1070 \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | OR | $\begin{aligned} & >=19 \text { on English } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & >=23 \text { Composite } \end{aligned}$ |
| Math | $>=2200$ scale score on | OR | $\begin{aligned} & >=500 \text { on Math } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & >=1070 \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | OR | $\begin{aligned} & >=19 \text { on Math } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & >=23 \text { Composite } \end{aligned}$ |

Three values are calculated for this indicator:
(1) Eng Lang Arts. This shows the percent of graduates who scored at or above the criterion score on the TAK S, SA T, or ACT English language arts tests.
number of graduates who scored at or above the College-Ready criterion for ELA
number of graduates (class of 2011) with ELA results to evaluate
(2) $M$ athematics. This shows the percent of graduates who scored at or above the criterion score on the TAKS, SAT, or ACT mathematics tests.
number of graduates who scored at or above the College-Ready criterion for mathematics
number of graduates (class of 2011) with mathematics results to evaluate
(3) Both Subjects. This shows the percent of graduates who scored at or above the criterion score on both the ELA and mathematics tests for TAKS, SAT, or ACT.
number of graduates who scored at or above the College-Ready criteria on both ELA \& mathematics
number of graduates (class of 2011) with results in both subjects to evaluate
This indicator differs from the TSI - Higher Education Readiness Component, in several ways:

- it includes performance on the SAT and ACT;
- it is based on prior year graduates rather than current year $11^{\text {th }}$ graders;
- it provides an overall measure of both subjects combined; and
- performance is tied to the campus and district where the student graduated, while the TSI indicator uses the campus and district where the TAKS tests were administered.
Performance on the exit-level TAK S includes performance on TAK (A ccommodated). Neither TA K S-M odified nor TA K S-A Iternate performance is included in this indicator.
(Sources: TEA Student Assessment Division, The College Board, Aug. 2011, Aug. 2012, ACT, Inc. Oct. 2011, Oct. 2010; and PEIMS, Oct. 2011, Oct. 2010)
Community Services (2010-11) (D istrict P rofile only): Expenditures for activities or purposes other than regular public education. These are activities relating to the whole community, such as the operation of a school library, swimming pool, and playgrounds for the public (objects 6100-6400, function 61). Community Services expenditures are shown as a stand-al one amount and are not included in total operating expenditures.
Note this item is reported as actual expenditures, not budgeted. A ccordingly, the information is from the prior year (2010-11). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2012)
Comparable Improvement (Campus-level only): There are no Comparable Improvement reports for 2011-12. This measure is based on comparing two years of results on the statemandated examination to determine the growth of student performance. In the future, a new progress measure will be developed for the STAAR tests.
Completion Rate: These longitudinal rates show the percentage of students from a class of beginning ninth graders who, by the fall following their antici pated graduation date, graduate, remain enrolled, receive General Educational Development (GED) certificates, or drop out. Three rates are shown:
(1) 4-Year Completion Rate (Gr 9-12). The students in this cohort first attended ninth grade in 2007-08. They are followed through their expected graduation with the class of 2011. The rate includes graduates as well as students who continued their education in the 2011-12 school year. Four student outcomes are shown:
- Graduated. B ased on the 2007-08 cohort, this shows the percent who received their high school diploma on time or earlier - by A ugust 31, 2011. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2011
number of students in the 2007-08 cohort (with mandated exclusions)*
- Received GED. B ased on the 2007-08 cohort, this shows the percentage who received a General Educational Development certificate by A ugust 31, 2011. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a GED by August 31, 2011
number of students in the 2007-08 cohort (with mandated exclusions)*
- Continued High School. B ased on the 2007-08 cohort, this shows the percentage still enrolled as students in the fall of the 2011-12 school year. It is cal culated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who were enrolled for the 2011-12 school year
number of students in the 2007-08 cohort (with mandated exclusions)*
- Dropped Out. B ased on the 2007-08 cohort, this shows the percentage who dropped out and did not return by the fall of the 2011-12 school year. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who dropped out before the fall of the 2011-12 school year number of students in the 2007-08 cohort (with mandated exclusions)*

These four outcomes sum to $100 \%$ (some totals may not equal exactly $100 \%$ due to rounding).

* The cohort in the denominator of the formulas shown above includes those students who graduated, continued in school, received a GED, or dropped out. It does not include data errors or leavers with leaver reason codes $03,16,24,60,66,78,81,82,83,85,86$, or 87. Also- new for 2011-12- this rate is shown with exclusions that are newly mandated in statute. See Annual Dropout Rate for a list of the exclusions.
(2) 4-Year Graduation Rate without Exclusions (Gr 9-12). This cohort consists of students who first attended ninth grade in 2007-08. They are followed through their expected graduation with the class of 2011. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2011
number of students in the 2007-08 cohort **
** The cohort in the denominator above includes those students who graduated, continued in school, received a GED, or dropped out. It does not include data errors or leavers with leaver reason codes $03,16,24,60,66,78,81,82,83,85,86$, or 87 . Note that students excluded from the $4-$ Year Completion Rate (Gr 9-12) are not excluded from this rate.
(3) 5-Year Extended Graduation Rate without Exclusions (Gr 9-12). This cohort consists of students who first attended ninth grade in 2006-07. They are followed for five years, to see if they graduated within a year after their expected graduation with the class of 2010. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2011
number of students in the 2006-07 cohort***
***The cohort in the denominator above includes those students who graduated, continued in school, received a GED, or dropped out. It does not include data errors or leavers with leaver reason codes $03,16,24,60,66,78,81,82,83,85,86$, or 87 . N ote that the newly mandated exclusions are not applied to this rate.


## Cohorts:

- A student who transfers into the cohort is one who, for example, moves into the cohort from another high school in Texas or from out of state.
- A student who transfers out of the cohort is one who, for example, moves to another public high school in Texas; note that these students are then transferred into the cohort of the receiving high school and district. There are also students who move out of the state or out of the country, or students who transfer to private schools or who are home-schooled. These types of transfers cannot be tracked, and students who leave for these reasons are not included in completion or graduation rate calculations.
- Students do not change cohorts even if they repeat a grade or skip a grade. If they begin with the 2007-08 ninth grade cohort, they remain with that cohort. This means, for example, that a student who started the ninth grade in 2007-08, but takes 5 years to graduate (i.e., in M ay 2012) is still part of the class of 2011 cohort; they are not switched to the class of 2012 cohort. This student would be considered a continuing student, and counted as part of the C ontinued HS number for the class of 2011.
Other important information:
- State and region-level completion and graduation rates do not exclude any students. For this reason those columns show "N/A" for 4-Y ear Completion Rate (Gr 9-12) on district and campus-level A EIS reports. The state and region-level AEIS reports show this data without exclusions.
- Special Education students who graduate with an Individualized Education Program (IEP) are included as graduates.
- Beginning with the 2011 accountability cycle, the methodology for calculating completion rates was expanded. The expanded methodology creates completion rates for campuses with grade 9 and either grade 11 or 12 in both year 1 (2007-08) and year 5 (2011-12); or, campuses with grade 12 in both year 1 and year 5 . High schools that do not meet these requirements do not show a rate on this indicator in 2012.
- Completion rates for districts serving Texas Juvenile J ustice Department (TJJD), T exas J uvenile Probation Commission (TJPC), or Texas Y outh Commission (TY C) facilities do not include students from the facilities unless the students have been attributed to
regular campuses in the district of service through campus of accountability procedures.

For further information on these rates, see the report Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools, 2010-11. (Sources: PEIM S, Oct. 2011, J une 2011, 0 ct. 2010, J une 2010, 0 ct. 2009, J une 2009, Oct. 2008, J une 2008, 0 ct. 2007, J une 2007, 0 ct. 2006, J une 2006, J une 2005, J une 2004, J une 2003, J une 2002, and General Educational D evelopment Information File)

Criterion Score: This refers to the scores on the SAT and ACT college admissions tests, the AP and IB tests, and the College-R eady Graduates indicator. For the college admissions tests, the criterion scores are at least 24 on the ACT (composite) and at least 1110 on the SAT (critical reading and mathematics combined). For AP and IB tests, the criterion scores are at least 3 on AP tests, and at least 4 on IB tests. For College-R eady Graduates criterion scores, see C ollege-R eady Graduates.
Please note that each college and university establishes its own score criteria for admitting or granting advanced placement or credit to individual students. See also SAT/ACT Results and $A P / I B$ Results.
Data Q uality (District Profile only): The A EIS reports show the percent of errors a district made in two key data submissions: 1) the Person Identification Database (PID) E rror rate in PEIM S Student Data, and 2) the percent of Underreported Students in PEIM S Student Leaver Data.
(1) PID Error Rate. The PID system ensures that each time information is collected for a student the identifying information matches other data collections for that student. This allows student data to be linked, such as enrollment records, which are collected in October, to attendance records, which are collected in J une; or data to be matched across years. It also helps maintain student confidentiality by assigning an ID that does not divulge the student's identifying information.
During the data submission process each district has the ability to run PID Discrepancy Reports that show any PID errors found. The district then has time to correct the errors before its submission is finalized. While the PID error rate has declined significantly over the years, any amount of error has a detrimental effect on the calculation of longitudinal measures such as the 4-year dropout rate and the high school completion rate. The A EIS reports show the PID error rate in PEIM S Student Data, collected in Submission 1 ( 0 ctober 2011).
The rate is calculated as follows:
number of student PID errors found in PEIMS submission 1 (fall 2011)
number of student records in PEIMS submission 1 (fall 2011)
(2) Percent of U nderreported Students. Underreported students are 7th-12th graders who were enrolled at any time the prior year and who were not accounted for through district records or TEA processing in the current year. A district is required to submit a leaver record for any student served in grades 7-12 the previous year, unless the student received a GED certificate by A ugust 31, is a previous Texas public school graduate, moved to and enrolled in another Texas public school district, or returned to
the district by the end of the school-start window (for 2011-12 the end of the schoolstart window was September 30, 2011). For students who attended in 2010-11, there were 17 possible leaver reasons, including: graduated, died, or dropped out. (For a more complete definition of leavers, see Leaver Records.)
The rate is calculated as follows:
number of underreported students
number of grade 7-12 students who were served in the district in the 2010-11 school year
Distinguished Achievement Program: See RHSP/DAP Graduates.
Dropout: A dropout is a student who is enrolled in public school in Grades 7-12, does not return to public school the following fall, is not expelled, and does not: graduate, receive a GED certificate, continue school outside the public school system, begin college, or die.
Dropout counts are obtained from PEIM S records. B ased on the attendance and enrollment records of all districts, the records of Texas graduates from 2009-10 and earlier, and GED certificate records, TEA identifies students for whom districts do not need to submit leaver records. School districts must account for all other students through the submission of leaver reasons. The leaver record provides 17 possible reasons for leaving school in 2010-11, including three which indicate the student is a dropout (codes 88, 89, and 98).
N ote that for 2011-12, some students are excluded from the dropout rate. For more information, see Annual Dropout Rate. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)

Dropout R ate: See Annual Dropout Rate.
E conomically Disadvantaged: The percent of economically disadvantaged students is cal culated as the sum of the students coded as eligible for free or reduced-price lunch or eligible for other public assistance, divided by the total number of students:
number of students coded as eligible for free or reduced-price lunch or other public assistance
total number of students
See also Campus Group and Total Students. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011, Oct. 2010; and TEA Student Assessment Division)

E ducational Aides: Educational aides are staff who are reported with a role ID of 033 (E ducational Aide), 036 (Certified Interpreter), or 037 (Non-Certified Interpreter). These aides are referred to as paraprofessional staff. The FTE counts of educational aides are expressed as a percent of the total staff FTE. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)

E nglish L anguage L earners Progress I ndicator: This indicator is not available for the 2011-12 A EIS reports.

## Enrollment: See Total Students.

Equity Transfers (2010-11) (District Profile only): The amount "excluded from revenues" is the expenditures reported by districts for reducing their property wealth to the required equalized wealth level (function 91). The amount "excluded from expenditures" is the expenditures reported by districts for the cost of reducing their property weal th to the required equalized weal th level (function 91).

Note this item is reported as actual expenditures, not budgeted. A ccordingly, the information is from the prior year (2010-11). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2012)
Ethnic Distribution: Students and staff are reported as A frican A merican, Hispanic, W hite, A merican Indian, A sian, Pacific Islander, and Two or M ore Races. In the Profile section, both counts and percentages of the total number of students and staff in each of these categories are shown.
For some performance measures-Completion Rate and TAKS Exit-level-the groups of A sian, Pacific Islander, and Two or M ore Races have no data available for the prior school year since the former definitions were in use that year.
(Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2011, Oct. 2010; The College Board; ACT Inc.; The International Baccalaureate Organization; and TEA Student Assessment Division)
FTE: Full-Time Equivalent.
Fund Balance Information (District Profile only): The amount of undesignated, unreserved fund balance that existed at the end of the 2010-11 school year is reported for each district.

The unreserved fund balance is not legally restricted and has two components: designated and undesignated. The designated component requires local board action to earmark the balance for bona fide purposes that will be fulfilled within a reasonable period of time. The undesignated component is available to finance monthly operating expenditures.
The amount reported in the A EIS report is the undesignated component, cal culated as the difference between the total unreserved fund bal ance and the designated unreserved fund balance. This balance amount is expressed as a percent of the total budgeted expenditures (for the general fund) for the current year (2011-12) as specified in statute.
A district can have a negative, undesignated, unreserved fund balance when the district's reserved fund balance is greater than the district's total fund balance.
N ote that while other finance items are now reported as actual, fund bal ance information is still expressed as a percent of total budgeted expenditures for the current year as required in statute. (Source: Financial Audit Report, J an. 2012)
General Fund: This is a governmental fund used for operations of on-going organizations and activities. The amounts reported in this fund classification are reported separately from All Funds. General fund reporting includes codes 101-199, 266 and 420. Fund 420, Foundation School Program and Other State A id, is included in the general fund for charter schools only. Fund 266, State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (beginning fiscal year 2008/2009), is al so included in the general fund and is federally funded by the A merican Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.
Note that all financial data shown by fund is actual data, not budgeted. A ccordingly, the information is from the prior year (2010-11). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2012)
G old Performance Acknowledgment: There are no Gold Performance Acknowledgments for 2012.

G raduates (C lass of 2011): Shown in the Profile section, this is the total number of graduates (including summer graduates) for the 2010-11 school year, as reported by districts in the fall of 2011. The value includes $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ graders who graduated as well as graduates from other grades. Students in special education who graduate are included in the totals, and are also reported as a separate group. Special education graduates are students who graduated with a special education graduation type code or who received special education services their entire senior year (as determined by attendance data). Counts of students graduating under the recommended high school or distinguished achievement programs are also shown.
Students graduating with the class of 2011 could be coded with one of the following graduation types:

- M inimum High School Program
- Recommended High School Program
- Distinguished A chievement Program
- Special Education student completing an individualized education program (IEP)

Counts of graduates are cal culated slightly differently for three graduation-related indicators on the Performance section of the A EIS report:

- SAT/ACT results do not indicate whether the examinee is served in special education; therefore, there is no way to know if a student taking the SAT or ACT is served in special education. However, because relatively fewer students served in special education take college admissions tests, only non-special education graduates are included in the denominator.
- The RHSP/DAP indicator as well as the College-Ready Graduates indicator include all graduates, special education and non-special education, in both the numerator and denominator.

See also College-Ready Graduates, Completion Rate, and RHSP/DAP Graduates.
(Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)
G raduation Rate: See C ompletion Rate.
Instructional Expenditure R atio (2010-11): This measure, required by TEC §44.0071, indicates the percentage of the district's total actual expenditures for the 2010-11 fiscal year that were used to fund direct instructional activities. The instructional expenditure ratio is a district-level only measure, and is calculated as follows:
expenditures reported in function codes $11,12,13,31$ and object codes 6112 through 6499
expenditures reported in function codes 11-52, 92, and 95 and object codes 6112 through 6499
For 2011-12, this district-level item has been added to the campus reports. B ecause it is only reported at the district level, the campus column is blank.

Contact the Division of State Financial Review at (512) 463-9095 for further details on this measure. See Appendix B for function and expenditure code labels. (Source: PEIMS, March 2012)

Instructional Staff Percent: This measure, required by TEC 44.0071, indicates the percentage of the district's full-time equivalent employees whose job function was to directly provide classroom instruction to students during the 2011-12 school year. The instructional staff percent is a district-level-only measure, and is calculated as follows:
total number of hours district staff reported under expenditure
object codes 6112, 6119, and 6129, and function codes $11,12,13$, and 31
total number of hours worked by all district employees
For 2011-12, this district-level item has been added to the campus reports. Because it is only reported at the district level, the campus column is blank.
Contact the Division of State Financial Review at (512) 463-9095 for further details about this measure. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)

International Baccalaureate (IB): See AP/IB Results.
Leaver Record: In determining the status of prior year $7^{\text {th }}$ through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students who are no longer enrolled at a Texas public school, TEA reviews attendance and enrollment records of all districts, the records of Texas graduates for the last several years, and GED certificate records. Districts, for their part, are required to submit a leaver code for all other students. This group of "leavers" includes students such as those who graduated, enrolled in school in another state, returned to their home country, died, or dropped out. This information is sent to TEA in Submission 1 of the annual PEIM S data collection.
See Data Quality. See also the Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools, 2010-11 reports, available at

## http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html

(Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)
Limited English Proficient (LEP): These are students identified as LEP by the Language Proficiency A ssessment Committee (LPAC) according to criteria established in the Texas A dministrative Code. Not all students identified as LEP receive bilingual or English as a second language instruction, although most do. In the Profile section of the reports, the percent of LEP students is calculated by dividing the number of LEP students by the total number of students in the school or district.
The LEP column in the Performance section shows the performance of students identified as LEP in the current year only; students who are no longer considered LEP are not included in this column.

Section III of the district, region, and state reports shows the performance of LEP students in greater detail. See Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language Report and Appendix G. See also Campus Group. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)
M obility (Campus Profile only): A student is considered to be mobile if he or she has been in membership at the school for less than $83 \%$ of the school year (i.e., has missed six or more weeks at a particular school).
number of mobile students in 2010-11
number of students who were in membership at any time during the 2010-11 school year

This rate is calculated at the campus level. The mobility rate shown in the Profile section of campus reports under the "district" column is based on the count of mobile students identified at the campus level. That is, the district mobility rate reflects school-to-school mobility, within the same district or from outside the district. For 2011-12, district-level mobility has been added to the AEIS data download of district data. See al so Campus Group. (Source: PEIM S, J une 2011)
n/a: This indicates that data are not available or are not applicable.
Non-E ducationally Disadvantaged: This is a new item for the 2011-12 A EIS reports. It is the complementary count and percent to Economically Disadvantaged. That is, it is defined as those students not eligible to participate in free or reduced-price lunch or to receive any other public assistance.
Number of Students per Teacher: This shows the total number of students divided by the total teacher FTE count. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)

Paired Schools: For 2011-12, schools were paired for purposes of determining the A dequate Y early Progress (A Y P) status, as required by the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). Schools that reported enrollment but did not have grades in which the state-mandated test was given (e.g. K-2 schools), were paired with schools with which they have a "feeder" relationship to determine A Y P status. For example, assuming Travis Primary (K-2) feeds students into Navarro Elementary (3-5), the district would pair these two schools for A Y P purposes. This means that the test performance of Navarro Elementary was also used for assigning an A Y P status to Travis Primary. See pages 62-64 of the 2012 A Y P Guide, at http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/ayp/2012/index.html for more information on the A Y P pairing process. N ote that some schools that did not receive an A Y P status (e.g. $12^{\text {th }}$ grade centers) were also paired.
PBM Special E ducation M onitoring Results Status: This label appears on the cover of A EIS reports for districts with a special education monitoring status. For an explanation of each label, see Appendix F.
Performance of M obile Students (State Performance only): This additional report shows the aggregate state-level performance of students who were excluded from the district accountability subset due to mobility across districts between October and the time of testing. It is calculated for each TAK S subject as:
number of mobile students who passed each test
number of mobile students tested
These results are shown at http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/aeis/2012/state.html. Scroll down to Performance of M obile Students (past the TAK S indicators) and click on the link. The report shows performance by subject summed across all grades tested.
This indicator is not available at the region, district, or campus level. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)
Professional Staff: This is a full-time equivalent (FTE) count of teachers, professional support staff, campus administrators, and, on the district profile, central administrators. Staff are grouped according to the PEIM S roles reported. Each type of professional staff is
shown as a percentage of the total staff FTE. See also Appendix A. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2011)

Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers: This indicator provides two measures that show the progress of students who failed the English language arts (ELA ) portion or the mathematics portion of the TAKS in the prior year. Note that for the 2011-12 A EIS reports, only performance for grades 10 and 11 is available.
Percent of F ailers Passing TAKS (Sum of Grades 10 and 11). Of the students who failed the TAKS, including TAKS (A ccommodated) and TAK S-M odified, in the prior year, this measure shows the percent that passed the corresponding assessment in the current year.
For 2012, the reported values for ELA and mathematics are cal culated as:
number of matched students who failed in 2011 but passed in 2012
number of matched students who failed in 2011
For 2012, students included in this measure are those who:

- took the spring 2012 TA KS ELA and/or mathematics tests in grades 10-11;
- are part of the 2012 A ccountability Subset (see TAKS);
- can be matched to the spring 2011 TAKS administration-anywhere in the state- to find their prior year score for ELA and/or mathematics;
- failed the 2011 TAKS administration of ELA and/or mathematics.
(Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)
Recommended High School Program: See RHSP/DAP Graduates.
Retention Rates by Grade: The retention rate, reported in the Profile section, shows the percent of students in Texas public schools who enrolled in the fall of 2011-12 in the same grade as their grade in the last reported six-week period of the prior year (2010-11). It is calculated as follows:
total students not advanced to the next grade
total students advanced to the next grade + total students not advanced to the next grade Special education retention rates are calculated and reported separatel y from the rates of non-special education students because local retention practices differ greatly between these two populations of students.
The AEIS report only shows retention rates for grades $\mathrm{K}-8$. Retention rates for all grades can be found in Grade-Level Retention in Texas Public Schools, 2010-11, available from TEA. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011, J une 2011)
RHSP/DAP Graduates: This indicator shows the percent of graduates who were reported as having satisfied the course requirements for the Texas State B oard of Education Recommended High School Program or Distinguished A chievement Program. It is calculated as follows:
number of graduates reported with graduation codes for Recommended High School Program or Distinguished Achievement Program
number of graduates

RHSP graduates are students with codes $15,19,22,25$ or 28 ; DAP graduates are students with codes 17, 20, 23, 26 or 29 . See the PEIMS Data Standards for more information.

See also Graduates. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011, Oct. 2010)
SAT/ACT Results: These include the College Board's SAT and ACT, Inc.'s ACT
A ssessment. B oth testing companies annually provide the agency with testing information on the most recent test participation and performance of graduating seniors from all Texas public schools. Only one record is sent per student. If a student takes an ACT or SAT test more than once, the agency receives the record for the most recent examination taken.
Three values are cal culated for this indi cator:
(1) Tested. This shows the percent of graduates who took either college admissions test: number of graduates who took either the SAT or the ACT
number of non-special education graduates
Note that "graduates" in the denominator of equation (1) does not include special education graduates; however, special education graduates who took either the SAT or ACT are included in the numerator. The performance of special education students is included in both the numerator and denominator of the other equations. (See Graduates.)
(2) At/A bove Criterion. This shows the percent of examinees who scored at or above the criterion score on either test ( 1110 on the SAT critical reading and mathematics sections combined, or 24 on the ACT composite):
number of examinees who scored at or above criterion on either or both examinations
number of examinees
(3) Average Score. This shows the average score for the SAT critical reading and mathematics combined and the average score for the ACT composite, calculated as follows:
total score (mathematics plus critical reading) for all students who took the SAT
number of students who took the SAT
and
total composite score for all students who took the ACT
number of students who took the ACT
Despite the addition of the writing portion of the SAT, the criterion score continues to be based on mathematics and critical reading only.
See also Criterion Score. (Sources: The College Board, Aug. 2011, J an. 2011; ACT, Inc. (ACT) Oct. 2011, Oct. 2010; and PEIM S, Oct. 2011, Oct. 2010)
School Type: For purposes of creating the Campus Groups, schools are placed into one of four classifications based on the lowest and highest grades in which students are enrolled at the school (i.e. in membership): elementary, middle (including junior high school), secondary, and both elementary/secondary (K-12). Generally speaking, elementary schools are PK -5 or PK -6, middle schools are 6-8, and secondary schools are 9-12.

Schools with grade spans that do not exactly match these are grouped with the school type most similar to their grade span. For exact details on the low and high grade combinations included with each type see the "2012 School Types Chart" at:

## http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2012/schtype_chart.html

Section III: Bilingual Education/E nglish as a Second Language Report (district only):
See Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language Report.
Special Education: This refers to the population served by programs for students with disabilities. A ssessment and other decisions for students in special education programs are made by their Admission, Review, and Dismissal (ARD) committee. The ARD committee is made up of the parent(s) or guardian, teacher, administrator, and other concerned parties.
For 2011-12, students in grades 10 and 11 may have taken the regular TAK S, TAKS (A ccommodated), or TA K S-M. Other indicators that include the performance of students in special education are: advanced course/dual enrollment completion, attendance rate, annual dropout rates, college-ready graduates, completion rates, RHSP/DAP, TAKS exitlevel cumulative pass rate, and the Texas Success Initiative. Information that would allow the separation of performance of students in special education on college admissions tests and on A dvanced Placement and International Baccalaureate examinations is not available. Note that in the P rofile section of the report, retention rates are shown separately for special education and non-special education students. See also TAKS Special Education Assessments. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011, Oct. 2010, and TEA Student Assessment Division)
Special Education Compliance Status: See PBM Special Education M onitoring Results Status.
STAAR (State of Texas A ssessments of A cademic Readiness): No STA AR results are shown on the 2011-12 A EIS reports, since performance standards were not finalized for the grades 3-8 STA AR assessments prior to the publication of the 2012 A EIS reports. Results of the spring and summer 2012 STAAR EOC assessments at the state, region, district, and campus levels can be accessed online at http://www.texasassessment.com.
Staff Exclusions: These are counts of individuals who serve public school students, but are not included in the FTE totals for any of the other employee statistics. There are two types of these entries: individuals participating in a shared services arrangement and individuals on contract with the district to provide instructional services. Shared Services Arrangement (SSA) Staff work in schools located in districts other than their employing district, or their assigned organization (in PEIMS) shows a code of 751, indicating that they are employed by the fiscal agent of an SSA. Only the portion of a person's total FTE amount associated with the school in another district (or with the 751 organization code) is counted as SSA. SSA staff are grouped into three categories: Professional Staff (which includes teachers, administrators, and professional support); Educational Aides; and A uxiliary Staff. Note that SSA Auxiliary Staff are identified by the type of fund from which they are paid. Contracted Instructional Staff (District and Campus Profiles) refers to counts of instructors for whom the district has entered into a contractual agreement with some outside organization. Through the contract, the outside organization has committed
to supplying instructional staff for the district. They are never employees of the reporting school district. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2011)
Standardized L ocal Tax Base (comptroller valuation) (D istrict Profile only): The Comptroller conducts a study each year that uniformly evaluates the property values within school district boundaries. Locally assessed values may vary from the Comptroller's study values. The values certified by the Comptroller's Property Tax Division (Comptroller V aluation) are standardized in that they are deemed to be comparable across the state. Note that the values shown are final for tax year 2011. This is not the property value used for school funding calculations.

- Value (after exemptions). This refers to the market value of all property in a district, minus certain exemptions and deductions. The value after exemptions reflects deductions for the state-mandated homestead exemptions, the disabled veterans' exemptions, the school tax ceiling for homeowners over age 65 or disabled, and other state-mandated exemptions.
- Value per Pupil. This refers to school district property value, or Standardized Local Tax Base, divided by the total number of students. This per pupil figure is one definition of "wealth." N ote that the values shown are final for tax year 2011. At the state level, the per pupil amount is created by dividing by the total number of students in districts with property value. Some districts do not have property value; their students are not included.
- Value by C ategory. This shows aggregates of individual property tax categories expressed as a percent of the Comptroller's property value before the exemptions are applied. Thus, the sum of the category values will exceed the value used for per pupil cal culations. Note that the values shown are final for tax year 2011.
- Business -
o real property: commercial and industrial;
o real and tangible personal property: utilities; and
o personal property: commercial and industrial.
- Residential - real property: single-family, residential; multifamily, residential; and inventory.
- L and - real property: vacant lots and tracts; acreage at market value, and farm and ranch improvements; acreage at productivity value.
- Oil and Gas - real property: oil, gas, and other minerals.
- Other - tangible personal property: other; and intangible personal property.
(Source: Texas C omptroller of Public Accounts, J uly 2012)
Student E nrollment by Program: Students are identified as served in programs and/or courses for Special Education, Career and Technical Education, Bilingual/ESL Education, or G ifted and Talented Education. The percentages do not sum to 100 , as a student may be enrolled in more than one of these programs. (Source: PEIM S, 0 ct. 2011)

Student Success Initiative (SSI): For the 2011-12 school year the student success initiative was suspended. No performance information is available to report.

Students by G rade: Percentages are calculated by dividing the number of students in each grade by the total number of students. (Source: P EIM S, Oct. 2011)

Students with Disciplinary Placements: Counts and percents of students placed in alternative education programs under Chapter 37 of the Texas Education C ode (Discipline; Law and Order) are shown (for the 2010-11 school year) in the A EIS reports. Disciplinary placement counts are obtained from PEIM S records. Districts report the disciplinary actions taken toward students who are removed from the classroom for at least one day. Although students can have multiple removals throughout the year, this measure counts students only once and includes only those whose removal results in a placement in a disciplinary alternative education program (DAEP) or juvenile justice alternative education program (JJA EP). It is cal culated as follows:
number of students with one or more disciplinary placements
number of students who were in attendance at any time during the school year
For 2011-12, the following 19 codes on the PEIM S 425 record are included as disciplinary placements: $02,03,04,07,08,10,12,13,14,15,51,52,53,54,55,57,59,60$, and 61. (Source: PEIMS, J une 2011)
TAK S (T exas Assessment of K nowledge and Skills): The TAK S, a comprehensive testing program for public school students, was administered to students in grades 3-11 from 2003 to 2011. For 2012, only students in grades 10 and 11 were administered the TAK S.
A new set of tests, the State of Texas A ssessments of A cademic Readiness (STAAR) were administered in 2012 to students in grades 3-9. Due to the transition and the time needed to set standards on the STAAR, no STAAR results are available on the 2011-12 AEIS reports.
The following TAKS performance is shown:

- By Grade for grades 10 and 11. For the following subjects: English language arts (ELA ), mathematics, science, and social studies.
- Sum of Grades 10 and 11. Three indicators are shown which sum TAK S results (by subject) across grades.
- TAK S M et 2012 Standard (Sum of Grades 10 and 11). This measure includes performance of students who took the TAK S, as well as those who were administered the TAK S (A ccommodated) and TA K S-M odified (TAK S-M ).
- TAK S Commended Performance (Sum of Grades 10 and 11). This measure includes performance of students who took the TAKS, as well as those who were administered the TAK S (A ccommodated) and TAK S-M .
- TAK S-M M et 2012 Standard (Sum of Grades 10 and 11). This measure shows the percent of those students who met the TAK S passing standard on the TAKS-M assessment.

Other Information:

- Prior Year. No prior year (2011) performance is shown for the TAK S.
- TAK S-Alternate. TAK S-A It was not administered in 2012.
- Sum of all grades tested. This refers to the grades tested at the particular school. For example, the percent passing ELA in a high school with a grade span of 9-12 is calculated as follows:
number of students who passed the ELA test in grades $10 \& 11$
number of students who took the ELA test in grades $10 \& 11$
- Rounding of M et Standard Percent. TAKS performance on the A EIS is rounded to whole numbers. For example, $59.877 \%$ is rounded to $60 \%$; $79.4999 \%$ is rounded to $79 \%$; and $89.5 \%$ is rounded to $90 \%$.
- Masking for Very High and Very Low Performance. Since 2004, very stringent masking rules have applied to results for the TAK S. In cases where performance is at or near $100 \%$, the value is shown as " $>99 \%$." In cases where performance is at or near $0 \%$, the value is shown as " $<1 \%$." It is necessary to mask data that potentially reveals the performance of every student in order to be in compliance with the federal F amily Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). For more information about the masking rules employed on the A EIS reports, see the "Explanation of A EIS M asking Rules" at:


## http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/aeis/2012/masking.html

- Accountability Subset. Only test takers who were enrolled on the last Friday in the previous October are included in the calculations shown on the A EIS reports. This is referred to as the "O ctober subset" or the Accountability Subset. For the district, a student who moved into the district after October 28, 2011 would not have his performance included with any district or campus. At the campus level, a student who changed to a different campus within the same district after October 28, 2011 would not have his performance included at that or any other school, though it would be included at the district level.
- All Tests Taken. This measure is shown on the A EIS reports, both "by grade" and "summed across grades." The value shows the percent of students who passed every test they took. For example, a group of 100 students tested in ELA, social studies, science and mathematics at the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade might have the following results: 90 students passed ELA, 70 students passed social studies, 75 students passed science, and 80 students passed mathematics. However, only 60 of those students passed ALL assessments. For this reason, while the percent passing ELA would be 90\%, social studies $70 \%$, science $75 \%$ and mathematics $80 \%$, the percent passing All Tests Taken would be $60 \%$, not an average of $90 \%, 70 \%, 75 \%$, and $80 \%$. All Tests Taken is always equal to or less than the percent of students who passed any of the individual subject areas. The more tests taken and considered for this measure, the more likely the All Tests Taken value will be lower than any of the individual subject areas.

See also Appendix E. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)
TAK S (Accommodated): This is the same as the general TAK S assessment with certain format accommodations such as larger font and fewer items per page. It al so contains no embedded field-test items. It is administered in all grades and subjects and is included in every TAK S measure shown on the A EIS.

TAK S-Alternate (TAK S-AIt): The TA K S-A It assessment was not administered in 2012.
TAK S C ommended Performance: This measure refers to the highest performance level on the TAK S, as set by the State B oard of Education. Students who achieve Commended Performance have shown a thorough understanding of the knowledge and skills at their grade level. For 2011-12, this indicator includes performance on TAK S (A ccommodated) and TAKS-M tests.

TAK S E xit-level C umulative Pass R ate (District Performance only): The TA K S cumulative pass rate shows the percent of students who first took the TAK S exit-level test in spring 2011, and eventually passed all TA K S tests taken (in the same district) by spring 2012. (Students who failed the first time had four additional opportunities to retake test(s) before their graduation date.) This measure is intended to show the relative success of districts in their efforts to help all their students pass the exit-level TAK S, which is a requirement for graduation from Texas public schools. Performance on the TAK S (A ccommodated) is included; performance on the TAK S-M and TAK S-A It tests is not included.
Test takers included in the TAK S Exit-level Cumulative Pass Rate for the class of 2012:

- A ny student who took the TAK S or TAK S (A ccommodated) for the first time in spring 2011.
- All special education students who took any TAK S or TAK S (A ccommodated) test.
- All above students, whether or not they were in the Accountability Subset in spring 2011.

Test takers NOT included in the TAK E Exit-level Cumulative Pass Rate:

- Students who first took the exit-level test in District A , did not pass all sections and then moved to District B and retested. These students are taken out of both the numerator and denominator, whether or not they eventually passed all tests taken.
- Students who moved out of state, left the country, or died before passing all tests taken. These students are in the denominator but not the numerator. They cannot be removed because they are not specifically identified in the data.
- Students who dropped out of school before passing all tests taken are in the denominator but not the numerator.
- Students who moved into the state after the spring of 2011 are not included, even if they took the TAK S and graduated with the class of 2012.
- Performance is disaggregated according to the new definitions for race and ethnicity. For this reason, "N/A" is shown for those racial categories that did not exist within the former definitions for 2011.
(Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)
TAK S-M odified (TAK S-M ): This alternate assessment is based on modified academic achievement standards and is designed for students served by special education who meet certain participation requirements. TAK S-M results are available on the 2011-12 A EIS
reports by subject, summed across grades in TAK S-M M et 2012 Standard (Sum of G rades 10 and 11). The percent meeting the standard is calculated as:
number of students who passed TAKS-M [subject] test in grades 10 and 11
number of students who took the TAKS-M [subject] test in grades 10 and 11
U nless otherwise noted, for other TAK S measures shown on the A EIS, performance on the TAK S-M tests is included. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)
TAK S Participation: This section of the A EIS reports is not available for 2011-12.
TAK S Special E ducation Assessments: For students receiving special education services, the ARD committee determines which TAKS assessment is appropriate for each student based, on his/her individual needs. For 2011-12, assessments available for students receiving special education services were: TAK S, TAK S (A ccommodated), and for students who could not be appropriately assessed with TAK and/or TAK S (A ccommodated), TAK S-M was available. N ote that TAK S-A It was not administered in 2012.

For more information on alternative assessments, see the Student A ssessment Division website at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/student.assessment/.

Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: These are counts of teacher FTEs by the major ethnic groups and by sex. Counts are also expressed as a percent of the total teacher FTEs. Beginning this year, the ethnic groups are based on the new federal definitions of race and ethnicity which allow for separation of the A sian and Pacific Islander races and for the new grouping of Two or M ore Races. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)
Teachers by Highest Degree H eld (District P rofile only): This shows the distribution of degrees attained by teachers in the district. The FTE counts of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees are expressed as a percent of the total teacher FTEs. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)

Teachers by Program (population served): Teacher FTE counts are categorized by the type of student populations served. Regular education, special education, compensatory education, career and technical education, bilingual/ESL education, gifted and talented education, and miscellaneous other populations served are shown. Teacher FTE values are allocated across population types for teachers who serve multiple population types. Percentages are expressed as a percent of total teacher FTEs. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)
Teachers by Years of Experience (District Profile only): This is the FTE count of teachers with years of professional experience that fall into the ranges shown. Experience in these categories is the total years of experience for the individual, not years of experience in the reporting district or campus. Teacher counts within each range of experience are expressed as a percent of total teacher FTEs. A beginning teacher is a teacher reported with zero years of experience. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)
Texas Success Initiative (TSI) - Higher Education Readiness Component: The Texas Success Initiative (TSI) is a program designed to improve student success in college. It requires students to be assessed in reading, writing and mathematics skills prior to enrolling in college, and to be advised based on the results of that assessment.

The A EIS reports show the percent of students who were exempted from taking a test for the Texas Success Initiative because they had a high enough score on their exit-level TAK S tests for mathematics and English language arts (ELA), as set by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating B oard (THECB). The qualifying scores are scale scores of 2200 on their TAK S mathematics and ELA with a written composition score of 3 or higher on the writing component. This indicator shows the percent of students who achieved this level of proficiency by subject (ELA and mathematics) for 2012 and 2011. N ote also:

- This indicator is subject to accountability subset rules.
- Performance is disaggregated according to the new definitions for race and ethnicity for both current year (2012) and prior year (2011).
- TAK S-M and TAK S-A It performance is not included. THECB's standard of college readiness on the exit-level TAKS does not apply to these alternate assessments because students are not required to pass the TAK S-M or TAK S-A It in order to graduate.
(Source: Division of Student Assessment)
Total Expenditures by $\mathbf{O}$ bject (2010-11) (District Profile only): Total actual expenditures are grouped by object of expense. Total actual expenditures for groups of object categories are expressed as a percentage of total expenditures. The values in the Per Student column show actual expenditure object categories divided by the total number of 2010-11 students in membership. N ote that the number shown is not the amount actually spent on each and every student, but rather a per-student average of the total. Object codes appear in parentheses.
- Payroll Costs - These are the gross salaries or wages and benefit costs for all employees (6100).
- Other O perating Costs - These include: services rendered to school districts by firms, individuals and other organizations; supplies and materials including fuel for vehicles; other reading materials (not including the cost of state-adopted textbooks); food service supplies; and other expenses necessary for the operation of the school district (62006400).
- Debt Service - This includes all expenditures for debt service, including the retirement of debt and bond principal, and all interest expenses (6500).
- Capital Outlay - These are expenditures for fixed assets, such as land, buildings, and equipment (6600).
Note this item is reported as actual expenditures, not budgeted. A ccordingly, the information is from the prior year (2010-11). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIM S, March 2012)

Total Operating Expenditures by Function (2010-11): A ctual total operating expenditures are grouped by function of expense. A ctual operating expenditures for groups of function categories are expressed as a percent of actual total operating expenditures. The values in the Per Student column show actual operating expenditures by function divided by the total number of 2010-11 students in membership. Per student operating expenditures are
shown for total operating expenditures and for various groupings of operating categories. N ote that the number shown is not the amount actually spent on each and every student, but rather a per-student average of the total.
W hen comparing averages for school-level expenditures, note that the state and district averages include all types of schools. For example, a high school's per student expenditure may not be comparable to the state average because the state value includes elementary and middle schools, which typically have lower per student expenditures than high schools. Other variables that may affect comparisons are the experience level of teachers and administrators, the types of instructional programs offered, and the student characteristics. Function codes appear in parentheses.

- Instruction - These are all activities dealing directly with the interaction between teachers and students, including instruction aided with computers (11), and expenditures to provide resources for J uvenile J ustice A Iternative Education Programs (95).
- Instructional-Related Services - These are expenditures for educational resources and media, such as resource centers and libraries (12), and curriculum development and instructional staff development (13).
- Instructional Leadership - This includes managing, directing, supervising, and providing leadership for staff who provide instructional services (21).
- School Leadership - This includes directing and managing a school (23).
- Support Services - Student - These include guidance, counseling, and evaluation services (31), social work services (32), and health services (33).
- Student Transportation (D istrict Profile only) - This includes transporting students to and from school (34).
- F ood Services - These include food service operation, including cost of food and labor (35).
- Cocurricular Activities - These include school-sponsored activities during or after the school day that are not essential to the delivery of instructional services (36).
- Central Administration (District Profile only) - This includes managing or governing the school district as an overall entity (41), costs associated with the purchase or sale of attendance credits either from the state or from other school district(s) (92), and-for Charter Schools only-fund raising (81).
- Plant M aintenance and Operations - This includes keeping the physical plant and grounds in effective working condition (51).
- Security and M onitoring Services - These include keeping student and staff surroundings safe (52).
- Data Processing Services - These include data processing services, whether in-house or contracted (53).
- Other Campus Costs (Campus Profile only) - This combines functions 35, 36, 51, 52, 53 above.

Note this item is reported as actual expenditures, not budgeted. A ccordingly, the information is from the prior year (2010-11). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2012)
Total Operating Expenditures by Program (2010-11): A ctual total operating expenditures are grouped by program of expense. A ctual operating expenditures for groups of program categories are expressed as a percent of actual total operating expenditures. The values in the Per Student column show actual total operating expenditures divided by the total number of 2010-11 students in membership. Per student operating expenditures are shown for total operating expenditures by program for various groupings of operating categories. N ote that the number shown is not the amount actually spent on each and every student; it is a per-student average of the total. Program codes appear in parentheses. The sum of operating expenditures by program area is less than total operating expenditures by function because a significant portion of expenditures have no program area designated and are reported as " 99 " meaning "undistributed." These are not included in any of the program categories shown or in the total operating expenditure amount by program. A lso, functions included differ between the two breakdowns (by program versus by function).

- Regular Education - This is the cost to provide the basic services for education/instruction to students not served in special education (11).
- Gifted \& Talented Education - This is the cost to assess students for program placement and provide instructional services beyond the basic educational program, designed to meet the needs of students in gifted and tal ented programs (21).
- Career \& Technical Education - This is the cost to evaluate, place and provide educational and/or other services to prepare students for gainful employment, advanced technical training or homemaking. This may include apprenticeship and job training activities (22).
- Special Education - This is the cost incurred to evaluate, place and provide educational and/or other services to students with disabilities who have Individual Educational Plans (IEP) approved by Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) committees. These plans are based on students' abilities and/or learning needs (23).
- Accelerated Education - This is the cost of providing additional instructional services for students deemed at risk of dropping out of school $(24,30)$.
- Bilingual/ESL Education - This is the cost of evaluating, placing and providing educational and/or other services to English language learners, with the goal of making them proficient in the English language, in primary language literacy, and in composition and academic language related to required courses (25).
- Other - This is the cost of providing services to students who are separated from the regular classroom and sent to either a nondisciplinary or a disciplinary alternative education program (26, 28, 29).
- High School Allotment - This accounts for the $\$ 275$ per high school student allotment that assists districts in:
- preparing underachieving students to enter institutions of higher education;
- encouraging students to pursue advanced academic opportunities;
- providing opportunities for students to take academically rigorous courses;
- aligning secondary and postsecondary curriculum and expectations;
- supporting other promising high school completion and success initiatives in grades 6-12 approved by the commissioner of education (31).
- Athletics/Related Activities - This is the cost of providing competitive athletic activities, including coaching costs as well as costs for sponsors of drill team, cheerleaders, pep squad, and other organized activities that support athletics, excluding band (91).

Note this item is reported as actual operating expenditures by program, not budgeted.
A ccordingly, the information is from the prior year (2010-11). See Appendix B for details. (Source: PEIM S, M arch 2012)
Total Revenues by Source (2010-11) (District Profile only): A ctual total revenues are grouped by revenue source. A ctual revenues for groups of object categories are expressed as a percent of total revenue. The values in the Per Student column show actual total revenues divided by the total number of students in membership during the 2010-11 school year. Per-student revenues are shown for total revenues by source for various groupings of revenue categories. N ote that the number shown is not the amount actually received for each and every student, but rather a per-student average of the total.

The amounts appearing as revenue in any of the categories shown are the amounts that were reported by districts for the general fund and all funds. Object codes appear in parentheses.

- Local Tax - This is district income from local real and personal property taxes (objects 5710-5719, less function 91 expenditures).
- Other Local and Intermediate - This includes revenue for services to other districts, tuition and fees from students, transfers from within the state, revenue from cocurricular and enterprising activities, revenues from intermediate sources (county), and all other local sources (objects 5720-5769).
- State - This includes per capita and foundation program entitlements, revenue from other state-funded programs, revenue from other state agencies, and Teacher Retirement System benefits paid by the State of Texas on behalf of employees in the district (object 5800 series). N ote that state revenue also includes the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF). The SFSF amount is the revenue received by the Foundation School Program that was distributed according to the Federal A merican Recovery and Reinvestment A ct of 2010. The SFSF amount received by the district is shown in a footnote at the end of the district report. A similar footnote is also shown on the region and state reports (federal revenue-fund 266 used with object code 5929).
- Federal - This includes revenue received by the district directly from the federal government or distributed by the TEA or other state entities for programs such as
career and technical education, programs for educationally disadvantaged children (Education Consolidation and Improvement Act, and Elementary and Secondary Education Act), food service programs, and other federal programs (object 5900 series).
N ote this item is reported as actual revenues, not budgeted. A ccordingly, the information is from the prior year (2010-11). See also A ppendix B. (Source: PEIM S, M arch 2012)

Total Staff: Total staff includes professional staff (teachers, professional support, administrators), educational aides, and (on the district profile) auxiliary staff. M inority staff is the sum of the FTE counts for all non-white staff groups (A frican A merican, Hispanic, A merican Indian, A sian, Pacific Islander, and Two or M ore Races). The minority staff FTE count is expressed as a percent of the total staff FTE. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2011)

Total Students: This is the total number of public school students who were reported in membership on October 28, 2011, at any grade, from early childhood education through grade 12. M embership is a slightly different number from enrollment because it does not include those students who are served in the district for less than two hours per day. For example, the count of Total Students excludes students who attend a nonpublic school but receive some services, such as speech therapy - for less than two hours per day - from their local public school district. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011)
TSI: See Texas Success Initiative.
Turnover R ate for Teachers (District Profile only): This percent shows the total FTE count of teachers from the fall of 2010-11 who were subsequently not employed in the district in the fall of 2011-12, divided by the total teacher FTE count for the fall of 2010-11. Social security numbers for teachers employed in the district in the fall of 2010-11 were checked to verify their employment status in the same district in the fall of 2011-12. Staff who remained employed in the district but not as teachers were also counted toward teacher turnover. (Source: PEIM S, Oct. 2011, Oct. 2010)

V alue by C ategory: See Standardized Local Tax Base.

## Who to Call

Information about the cal culation of all A cademic Excellence Indicator System (A EIS) data elements is provided in this G lossary. If, after reading the Glossary you have questions about the calculation of AEIS indicators, contact Performance Reporting at (512) 463-9704.

Questions related to programs and policies for the following subjects should be directed to the contacts listed below. All telephone numbers are in the (512) area code unless otherwise noted.
Subject C ontact Number
A ccountability Ratings (methodology) Performance Reporting ..... 463-9704
A dequate Y early Progress (A Y P) Performance Reporting ..... 463-9704
A dvanced Courses Curriculum ..... 463-9581
Advanced Placement (AP) Programs Curriculum ..... 463-9581
Charter Schools Charter Schools ..... 463-9575
College A dmissions Tests: ..... SAT
College Board. ..... 721-1800
ACT ACT Regional Office. ..... 320-1850
Copies of AEIS reports
.............DAEP (Disciplinary Alternative Education Program)
Discipline, Law, and Order ..... 463-2395
Distinguished Achievement Program Curriculum ..... 463-9581
Dropout and Completion A ccountability Research ..... 475-3523
Gold Performance A cknow ledgment Performance Reporting ..... 463-9704
General Inquiry General Inquiries ..... 463-9290
JJAEP (J uvenile J ustice A Iternative Education Program)
Discipline, Law, and Order ..... $.463-2395$
Limited English Proficient Students Testing Issues Student A ssessment. ..... 463-9536
Other Issues Curriculum (Bilingual Education Program Unit). ..... 463-9581
No Child Left Behind Act NCLB Program Coordination ..... 463-9414
PBM Special Education M onitoring Results Status
Program M onitoring and Interventions ..... 463-5226
PEIMS PEIM S HelpLine. ..... 463-9229
Recommended High School Program Curriculum ..... 463-9581
Retention Policy Curriculum ..... 463-9581
School Finance School Finance. ..... 463-9238
School Governance School Governance ..... 463-9623
School Report Card Performance Reporting ..... 463-9704
Special Education
Testing Issues
Student A ssessment. ..... 463-9536
Other Issues Special Education ..... 463-9414
Statutory (Legal) Issues Legal Services ..... 463-9720
TAK S (all assessments) Student A ssessment. ..... 463-9536
TAK S Testing Contractor Pearson ..... (800) 328-5999
A ustin Operational Center. ..... 989-5300
TELPAS Student A ssessment. ..... 463-9536
Texas Success Initiative (TSI) ..... 427-6101Information on the Internet: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/

## PEIMS Role Identifications

(In Alphabetical Order by Label)


## AUXILIARY Staff

E mployment record, but no responsibility records.

* Administrators reported with these roles are categorized as central office or campus, depending on the organization ID reported for them.


# Financial Accounting Codes for Revenue and Expenditure Items (In Alphabetical Order by Label) 

| Label | Funds* | Function(s) | Object(s) +†+ | Program(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual Expenditure Information |  |  |  |  |
| By Function |  |  |  |  |
| Community Services | General and All | 61 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Total Operating Expenditures | General and All | Sum of Detail Below | 6100-6400 | All |
| Instruction** | General and All | 11,95 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Instructional-Related Services** | General and All | 12,13 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Instructional Leadership** | General and All | 21 | 6100-6400 | All |
| School Leadership** | General and All | 23 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Support Services - Student** | General and All | 31,32,33 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Student Transportation | General and All | 34 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Food Services | General and All | 35 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Cocurricular Activities | General and All | 36 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Central Administration | General and All | 41,92 (or 81/Chrrtr Schools) | 6100-6400 | All |
| Plant Maintenance \& Operations | General and All | 51 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Security and Monitoring Services | General and All | 52 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Data Processing Services | General and All | 53 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Other Campus Costs*** | General and All | 35,36,51-53 | 6100-6400 | All |
| By ObJect |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | General and All | $\mathrm{All}^{8}$ | All 6000s | All |
| Payroll Costs | General and All | $\mathrm{All}^{8}$ | 6100 | All |
| Other Operating Costs | General and All | $\mathrm{All}^{\text {8 }}$ | 6200-6400 | All |
| Debt Service | General and All | $\mathrm{All}^{3}$ | 6500 | All |
| Capital Outlay | General and All | $\mathrm{All}^{8}$ | 6600 | All |
| Actual Program Expenditure Information |  |  |  |  |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | General and All | Sum of Detail Below | 6100-6400 | Sum of Detail Below |
| Regular Education** | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,99 * * * * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 11 |
| Special Education** | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{+}, 92,95,99 * * * * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 23 |
| Accelerated Education** | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,99 * * * * \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 24,30 |
| Career \& Technical Education** | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,99 * * * * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 22 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education** | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{+}, 92,95,99 * * * * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 25 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education** | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,99 * * * \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 21 |
| Athletics/Related Activities | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,99 * * * * \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 91 |
| High School Allotment | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, } \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,99 * * * * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 31 |
| Other | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,99 * * * * \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 26, 28, 29 |

Coninued on next page

| Actual Revenue Information |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Source |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenues | General and All | n/a | 5000s | n/a |
| Local Tax | General and All | n/a | 5710-5719 (less function 91expenditures) | n/a |
| Other Local \& Intermediate | General and All | n/a | 5720-5769 | n/a |
| State @ | General and All | n/a | 5800 (plus fund code 266 with object code 5929) | n/a |
| Federal | General and All | n/a | 5900 (less fund code 266 with object code 5929) | n/a |
| Equity Transfers ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | General and All | 91 | All 6000s | All |

* Funds - The general fund includes fund codes 101 - 199 \& 266. Fund code 420 is also included in the general fund for charter schools only. All funds include the general fund plus fund codes 200/300/400 series, 599, 601, 699, and 701.
** Indicates the line item appears on the Campus Profile as well as District Profile. All line items not marked appear only on the District Profile.
*** Indicates the line item appears on the Campus Profile only.
**** At the campus level, only functions $11-13,21,23,31-33$, and 95 are included in expenditures by program area.
§ Excludes Intergovernmental Charges (function 90 series) except functions 92 \& 95.
$\dagger$ Function 91represent tuition transfers for grades not offered, not "Equity Transfers."
$\dagger \dagger$ Function 91 represent the expenditure amount reported for the cost of reducing property wealth to the required equalized wealth level and payments to charter schools, respectively.
$\dagger \dagger \dagger$ The 6400 object codes include: $6629,6631,6639,6649$, and 6659 which is only applicable to charter schools excluding open enrollment college and university charters. Note that these object codes are not included in the 6600 code series.
@ State revenue includes State Fiscal Stabilization Funds (fund code 266 with object code 5929), distributed under the Federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act.

See the Financial Resource Guide (at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=1222) for explanations of the fund, function, object, and program codes.

# Advanced A cademic C ourses 2011-12 A cademic Excellence Indicator System 

## E nglish L anguage Arts

| A 3220100 | English L anguage and Composition |
| :--- | :--- |
| A 3220200 | English Literature and Composition |
| A 3220300 | International English Language |
| I3220300 | English III |
| I3220400 | English IV |
| 03221100 | Research/T echnical W riting |
| 03221200 | Creative/Imaginative W riting |
| 03221500 | Literary Genres |
| 03221600 | Humanities |
| 03221800 | Independent Study in English |
| 03231000 | Independent Study in J ournalism |
| 03231902 | A dvanced B roadcast Journalism III |
| 03240400 | Oral Interpretation III |
| 03240800 | Debate III |
| 03241100 | Public Speaking III |
| 03241200 | Independent Study in Speech |

## M athematics

| A 3100101 | Cal culus A B |
| :--- | :--- |
| A 3100102 | Cal culus BC |
| A 3100200 | AP Statistics |
| I3100100 | M athematical Studies Standard |
| I3100200 | M athematical Standard Level |
| I3100300 | M athematics Higher Level |
| I3100400 | Further M athematics Standard |
| 03101100 | Pre-Cal culus |
| 03102500 | Independent Study in M athematics (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ time) |
| 03102501 | Independent Study in M athematics (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ time) |

## Computer Science

| A 3580100 | Computer Science I |
| :--- | :--- |
| I3580200 | IB Computer Science I |
| I3580300 | IB Computer Science II |
| I3580400 | Informational Technology in a Global Society |
| 03580200 | Computer Science I |
| 03580300 | Computer Science II |

- All courses shown were for the 2009-10 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College B oard A dvanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International B accalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.

Fine Arts

| A 3150200 | M usic Theory |
| :---: | :---: |
| A 3500100 | History Of Art |
| A 3500300 | Art/Drawing |
| A 3500400 | Art/Two-Dimensional Design Portfolio |
| A 3500500 | Art/Three-Dimensional Design Portfolio |
| 13250200 | M usic SL |
| 13250300 | Music HL |
| 13250500 | Theater/Film |
| 13600100 | Art/Design HL |
| 13600200 | A rt/Design SL-A |
| 13600300 | Art/Design SL-B |
| 13750200 | Theater A rts SL |
| 13750300 | Theater A rts HL |
| 13830200 | IB Dance |
| M 1170158 | Dance Technology I |
| M 1170159 | Dance Technology II |
| M 1170160 | Dance Choreography I |
| M 1170161 | Dance Choreography II |
| M 1170162 | Dance Choreography III |
| 03150400 | Music IV Band |
| 03150800 | M usic IV Orchestra |
| 03151200 | M usic IV Choir |
| 03151600 | Music IV J azz B and |
| 03152000 | M usic IV Instrumental Ensemble |
| 03152400 | Music IV Vocal Ensemble |
| 03250400 | Theater A rts IV |
| 03251000 | Theater Production IV |
| 03251200 | Technical Theatre IV |
| 03502300 | Art IV Drawing |
| 03502400 | Art IV Painting |
| 03502500 | Art IV Printmaking |
| 03502600 | ArtIV Fibers |
| 03502700 | Art IV Ceramics |
| 03502800 | Art IV Sculpture |
| 03502900 | ArtIV Jewelry |
| 03503100 | Art IV Photography |
| 03503200 | Art IV Graphic Design |
| 03503500 | ArtIV Electronic M edia |
| 03830400 | Dance IV |

- All courses shown were for the 2010-11 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College B oard A dvanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International B accalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.

Science

| A3010200 | Biology |
| :--- | :--- |
| A 30200000 | Environmental Science |
| A 30400000 | Chemistry |
| A 3050001 | Physics B |
| A 3050002 | Physics C |
| I3010200 | Biology |
| I3010201 | Biology II |
| I3030001 | Design Technology SL |
| I3030002 | Design Technology HL |
| I3020000 | Environmental Systems |
| I3040001 | Chemistry I |
| I3040002 | Chemistry II |
| I3050001 | Physics I |
| I3050002 | Physics II |

## Social Studies/H istory

| A 3310100 | M icroeconomics |
| :--- | :--- |
| A 3310200 | M acroeconomics |
| A 3330100 | United States Government and Politics |
| A 3330200 | Comparative Government and Politics |
| A 3340100 | United States History |
| A 3340200 | European History |
| A 3350100 | Psychology |
| A 3360100 | Human Geography |
| A 3370100 | W orld History |
| I3301100 | History, Standard Level |
| I3301200 | History: A frica, Higher Level |
| I3301300 | History: A mericas, Higher Level |
| I3301400 | History: East and Southeast A sia, Higher Level |
| I3301500 | History: Europe, Higher Level |
| I3302100 | Geography, Standard Level |
| I3302200 | Geography, Higher Level |
| I3303100 | Economics, Standard Level |
| I3303200 | Economics, Higher Level |
| I3303300 | Business and M anagement I (IB BM T 1) |
| I3303400 | Business and M anagement II (IB BM T2) |
| I3304100 | Psychology, Standard Level |
| I3304200 | Psychology, Higher Level |
| I3366010 | Philosophy |

- All courses shown were for the 2010-11 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College B oard A dvanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International B accalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.


## Social Studies/H istory (cont.)

| 03310301 | Economics A dvanced Studies |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03380001 | Social Studies A dvanced Studies |

Advanced L anguages (M odern or C lassical)

| A3120400 | J apanese IV |
| :---: | :---: |
| A 3410100 | French IV Language |
| A 3420100 | German IV Language |
| A 3430100 | Latin IV (V ergil) |
| A 3430200 | Latin V (Latin Literature) |
| A 3440100 | Spanish IV Language |
| A 3440200 | Spanish V Literature |
| A 3490400 | Chinese IV |
| 13110400 | A rabic IV |
| 13110500 | A rabic V |
| 13120400 | $J$ apanese IV |
| 13120500 | $J$ apanese V |
| 13410400 | French IV |
| 13410500 | French V |
| 13420400 | German IV |
| 13420500 | German V |
| 13430400 | Latin IV |
| 13430500 | Latin V |
| 13440400 | Spanish IV |
| 13440500 | Spanish V |
| 13440600 | Spanish VI |
| 13440700 | Spanish VII |
| 13450400 | Russian IV |
| 13450500 | Russian V |
| 13480400 | Hebrew IV |
| 13480500 | Hebrew V |
| 13490400 | Chinese IV |
| 13490500 | Chinese V |
| 13490600 | Chinese VI |
| 13490700 | Chinese V II |
| 13520400 | Hindi IV |
| 13520500 | Hindi V |
| 13996000 | Other Foreign Language IV |
| 13996100 | Other Foreign Language V |
| 13663600 | Other Foreign Language VI |

- All courses shown were for the 2010-11 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College B oard A dvanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International B accalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.


## Advanced L anguages (M odern or C lassical) (cont.)

| 13663700 | Other Foreign Language VII |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03110400 | A rabic IV |
| 03110500 | A rabic V |
| 03110600 | A rabic VI |
| 03110700 | A rabic VII |
| 03120400 | Japanese IV |
| 03120500 | Japanese V |
| 03120600 | Japanese VI |
| 03120700 | Japanese VII |
| 03400400 | Italian IV |
| 03400500 | Italian V |
| 03400600 | Italian VI |
| 03400700 | Italian V II |
| 03410400 | French IV |
| 03410500 | French V |
| 03410600 | French VI |
| 03410700 | French VII |
| 03420400 | German IV |
| 03420500 | German V |
| 03420600 | German VI |
| 03420700 | German VII |
| 03430400 | Latin IV |
| 03430500 | Latin V |
| 03430600 | Latin VI |
| 03430700 | Latin VII |
| 03440400 | Spanish IV |
| 03440440 | Spanish IV For Spanish Speakers |
| 03440500 | Spanish V |
| 03440550 | Spanish V For Spanish Speakers |
| 03440600 | Spanish VI |
| 03440660 | Spanish VI For Spanish Speakers |
| 03440700 | Spanish VII |
| 03440770 | Spanish VII For Spanish Speakers |
| 03450400 | Russian IV |
| 03450500 | Russian V |
| 03450600 | Russian VI |
| 03450700 | Russian VII |
|  |  |

- All courses shown were for the 2010-11 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College B oard A dvanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International B accalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.

Advanced L anguages (M odern or C lassical) (cont.)

| 03460400 | Czech IV |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03460500 | Czech V |
| 03460600 | Czech VI |
| 03460700 | Czech V II |
| 03470400 | Portuguese IV |
| 03470500 | Portuguese V |
| 03470600 | Portuguese VI |
| 03470700 | Portuguese VII |
| 03480400 | Hebrew IV |
| 03480500 | Hebrew V |
| 03480600 | Hebrew VI |
| 03480700 | Hebrew VII |
| 03490400 | Chinese IV |
| 03490500 | Chinese V |
| 03490600 | Chinese VI |
| 03490700 | Chinese VII |
| 03510400 | V ietnamese IV |
| 03510500 | V ietnamese V |
| 03510600 | V ietnamese VI |
| 03510700 | V ietnamese V II |
| 03520400 | Hindi IV |
| 03520500 | Hindi V |
| 03520600 | Hindi VI |
| 03520700 | Hindi VII |
| 03980400 | A merican Sign Language IV |
| 03980500 | A merican Sign Language V |
| 03980600 | A merican Sign Language VI |
| 03980700 | A merican Sign Language VII |
| 03996000 | Other Foreign Language IV |
| 03996100 | Other Foreign Language V |
| 03996200 | Other Foreign Language VI |
| 03996300 | Other Foreign Language VII |
|  |  |

## Other

| N1290317 | GT Independent Study M entorship III |
| :--- | :--- |
| N1290317 | GT Independent Study M entorship IV |
| I3000100 | Theory of K nowledge |
| I3305100 | W orld Religions SL |
| I3366100 | W orld Religions B |

- All courses shown were for the 2010-11 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College B oard A dvanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International B accalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.

2011-12 Campus Comparison Group


## TAK S Raw Scores for Spring 2012 Tests

## Spring 2012 TAK S E nglish L anguage Arts Performance Standards ${ }^{1}$



## Spring 2012 TAK S M athematics Performance Standards

|  |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 10 | M et Standard | 56 | 32 | 57\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 50 | 89\% |
|  | Grade 11 | M et Standard | 60 | 30 | 50\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 52 | 87\% |

## Spring 2012 T AK S Social Studies Performance Standards

|  |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 10 | M et Standard | 50 | 25 | 50\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 43 | 86\% |
|  | Grade 11 | M et Standard | 55 | 23 | 42\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 47 | 85\% |

## Spring 2012 TAK S Science Performance Standards

| $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{0} \\ & \stackrel{U}{E} \\ & \stackrel{0}{u} \end{aligned}$ |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 10 | M et Standard | 55 | 33 | 60\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 49 | 89\% |
|  | Grade 11 | M et Standard | 55 | 28 | 51\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 48 | 87\% |

${ }^{1}$ An essay rating of 2 or higher is required for $M$ et Standard on the English L anguage A rts tests.
The numbers and percents shown on this table are based on the first administration of the spring 2012 TAKS and TAKS (A ccommodated) tests. It should not be used to anticipate the exact number and percent correct required to achieve M et Standard or Commended Performance levels on future test administrations. This is because the numbers may differ slightly from those shown above to ensure that equivalent standards are maintained for each TAKS administration.

## PBM Special Education M onitoring Results Status

The system of special education monitoring is aligned with other PBM activities through the use of graduated interventions based on indicators of school district and charter school performance and program effectiveness. These indicators are part of the Performance-B ased M onitoring A nalysis System (PBM A S). Overall results on the PBM A S indicators, as well as instances of low performance on individual PBM A S indicators, are taken into account in determining required levels of intervention. The individual indicators address issues related to student participation in, and performance on, assessment instruments; graduation and dropout rates; over-identification of students for special education programs; disproportionate student representation based on race or ethnicity or on limited English proficiency; and disciplinary actions. District and charter special education data are reviewed regularly as are complaints filed with TEA about special education services. For further information or questions about this status, please contact the Program M onitoring and Interventions Division at (512) 463-5226. The "as of date" for the statuses reported in the 2011-12 A EIS report is October 2012.

The definitions of each program status category are:

- Local Interventions Implemented. The LEA completed a local review process by a specified date as required in Stages 1 and 2 Intervention and retained materials and templates at the LEA.
- Completed: Routine F ollow-up. The LEA data and documentation met TEA requirements for completion of process. TEA will monitor implementation of the improvement.
- Completed: Noncompliance F ollow-up. The LEA data and documentation met TEA requirements for completion of process. TEA will monitor implementation of the improvement and systemic correction of areas of noncompliance identified by the review.
- Pending Improvement Plan Resubmission. TEA review determined that one or more areas of the CIP did not meet minimum TEA requirements, and revision was necessary.
- Pending TEA On-Site Action. TEA review determined that: appropriate implementation of TEA monitoring processes, including submission of accurate data, appropriate implementation of intervention requirements, and/or appropriate implementation of the improvement plan, could not be verified through LEA documentation; imminent program performance and/or effectiveness concerns exist; and/or ongoing noncompliance for more than one year is identified, resulting in an on-site review to determine additional TEA intervention.
- TEA On-Site Action Completed: Routine Follow-up. TEA has completed an on-site review of the LEA program. A s a result, the LEA has implemented and/or revised an improvement plan. TEA will monitor implementation of the improvement plan.
- TEA On-Site Action Completed: Noncompliance F ollow-up. TEA has completed an on-site review of the LEA program. A s a result, the LEA has implemented and/or revised an improvement plan that includes actions to address noncompliance with program requirements. TEA will monitor implementation of the improvement plan and systemic correction of areas of noncompliance identified by the review.
- Year After TEA On-Site Action: Routine Follow-up. TEA completed an on-site review of the LEA program in the prior year. A s a result, the LEA implemented and/or revised an improvement plan that continued throughout the subsequent year. TEA continues to monitor implementation of the improvement plan.
- Year After TEA On-Site Action: Noncompliance Follow-up. TEA completed an on-site review of the LEA program during the prior year. As a result the LEA implemented and/or revised an improvement plan that included actions to address noncompliance with program requirements, and the improvement plan continued throughout the subsequent year. TEA continues to monitor implementation of the improvement plan and systemic correction of areas of noncompliance identified by the review.
- Year After TEA On-Site Action: Pending Report. TEA has completed an on-site review of the LEA program. The on-site review report of findings is pending.
- Year After TEA On-Site Action: Pending Improvement Plan Submission. TEA has completed an on-site review of the LEA program. The LEA is developing an improvement plan that includes actions to address noncompliance with program requirements.
- TEA On-Site Action Completed: Oversight/Sanction/Intervention. TEA has completed an on-site review of the LEA program. A s a result: ongoing noncompliance for longer than one year was identified/confirmed; appropriate implementation of the TEA monitoring process, including submission of accurate data and appropriate implementation of intervention requirements, could not be verified; and/or improvement plan implementation was not proceeding as appropriate for the LEA. TEA oversight, sanctions, and interventions were implemented as a result.
- Pending Random Data Verification. Regardless of whether a stage of intervention initially was assigned, an LEA may be subject to random selection for data review to ensure the integrity of monitoring system data and appropriate implementation of the program.
- Pending Random Process Verification. Regardless of review results or stage of intervention, an LEA may be subject to random selection for process review to ensure the integrity of the implementation of the monitoring system, including data reporting and accuracy of findings.
- Oversight/Sanction/Intervention. TEA oversight, sanctions, and interventions were implemented under the following circumstances: (a) the second improvement plan submission of an LEA at Stage 3 or Stage 4 Intervention was not adequate; (b) the improvement plan of an LEA was not adequately developed after an on-site review; (c) ongoing noncompliance for longer than one year was identified; (d) the implementation of the improvement plan was not proceeding as appropriate for any LEA ; (e) the LEA previously was assigned on-site interventions and remained under escalated oversight during the period of transition after removal of those interventions; or (f) TEA could not verify appropriate implementation of TEA monitoring processes, including submission of accurate data, appropriate implementation of intervention requirements, and/or appropriate implementation of a CIP.
- On-Site Intervention Assigned. TEA has assigned a technical assistance team, special purpose monitor, conservator, or management team to oversee correction of noncompliance and/or implementation of program and monitoring requirements.
- LEA Closure. The LEA was closed as a result of TEA sanctions.
- Proposed Charter Non-Renewal. The charter school has been notified of TEA 's intent not to renew the charter.
- Charter Operations Suspended. The operations of the charter school were suspended by the Commissioner of Education or by the governing board of the charter school.
- In Review. TEA had not completed initial review of the information submitted by the LEA.

No status is shown for LEA s not selected for PBM intervention for special education program areas.

Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language Report


BE-Trans. Late Exit
BE-Dual Two-way (code 4):Qual language immersion wo-way.

BE-Dual One-way (code 5): Duallanguage immersion/ore-way.

ESL Total: the aggregated performance of all current LEP students reported with ESL program type codes of '2' or '3'.
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District Name: Sample Aca
District \#: 555555
 2011-12 Vistrict Performance Report


[^0] LEP LEP TAKS Met 2012 Standard (Sum of Grades 10 and 11) Total Content Pull-out Services Services LEP Eng Lang Arts 2012 Mathematics 2012 Science


Progress of Prior Year Percent of Failers Pas Eng Lang Arts 2012 Mathematics 2012

BE-Trans. Early Exit
(code 2):Transitional
bilinguallearly exit.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BE-Trans. Early Ex } \\
& \text { (coode 2):Transitiona } \\
& \text { bilingual/early exit. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ESL Pull-out (code 3):

 ESL/pull-out.LEP No Services: the performance of current

For the 2011-12 AEIS reports, only two indicators are available for this section LEP students not served in any BE/ESL instructional model ( BE code $=10$ ' and ESL code = '0').

LEP students are included in each program in which they are reported to have participated. If a student was reported with both a bilingual instructional model and an ESL instructional model, the student is in the results for both models.

See the 2011-12 PEIMS Data Standards for complete descriptions of the separate Bilingual Education and ESL instructional models.
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# According to the Texas Education Agency website, this document will be available in January 2013. 
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## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
District \#: 184903
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| Indicator: | State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } \\ & \quad 11 \end{aligned}$ | District | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP | At Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Year Completion Rate (Gr 9-12)Class of 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | n/a | n/a | 95.6\% | 83.3\% | 95.5\% | 96.1\% | 80.0\% | - | - | 85.7\% | 97.7\% | 93.3\% | * | 92.1\% |
| Received GED | n/a | n/a | $0.4 \%$ | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | * | 0.5\% |
| Continued HS | n/a | n/a | 3.6\% | 16.7\% | 3.4\% | 3.1\% | 20.0\% | - | - | 14.3\% | 2.3\% | 6.0\% | * | 6.9\% |
| Dropped Out | n/a | n/a | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2010 @ | 84.3\% | 86.8\% | 94.6\% | 100.0\% | 90.8\% | 95.1\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | 78.4\% | 89.0\% | * | 93.0\% |
| 5-Year Extended Graduation Rate Without Exclusions (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2010 @ | 88.0\% | 89.3\% | 98.4\% | 100.0\% | 98.6\% | 98.2\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | 97.1\% | 95.5\% | * | 99.3\% |
| Class of 2009 @ | 85.1\% | 87.8\% | 95.3\% | 100.0\% | 91.5\% | 95.8\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | 92.5\% | 94.4\% | 77.8\% | 93.0\% |
| COLLEGE READINESS INDICATORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Course/Dual Enrollment Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 30.3\% | 31.1\% | 24.8\% | 21.2\% | 18.4\% | 26.0\% | 40.0\% | 7.7\% | * | 45.5\% | 3.4\% | 16.4\% | 5.0\% | 16.2\% |
| 2009-10 | 26.3\% | 26.8\% | 19.5\% | 19.6\% | 13.8\% | 20.7\% | 18.8\% | 42.9\% | * | 24.2\% | 2.8\% | 9.9\% | 1.8\% | 8.2\% |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 80.1\% | 79.4\% | 88.2\% | 83.3\% | 87.5\% | 88.1\% | * | - | - | 100.0\% | 18.2\% | 83.3\% | * | 83.1\% |
| Class of 2010 | 82.7\% | 82.9\% | 83.4\% | 73.3\% | 73.2\% | 86.4\% | * | * | * | * | $6.3 \%$ | 72.2\% | * | 69.9\% |
| AP/IB Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 24.0\% | 25.6\% | 31.4\% | $27.3 \%$ | 19.4\% | 32.9\% | 40.0\% | * | - | $76.5 \%$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2010 | 22.7\% | 25.0\% | 30.4\% | 10.5\% | 17.5\% | 33.8\% | 40.0\% | * | * | 40.0\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Examinees >= Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | $49.3 \%$ | 56.6\% | 59.3\% | * | $43.8 \%$ | 61.1\% | * | * | - | 53.8\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2010 | 50.8\% | 55.9\% | 55.4\% | * | 35.7\% | 57.3\% | * | * | - |  | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Scores >= Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 45.2\% | 50.4\% | 50.5\% | 42.9\% | 32.1\% | 53.2\% | 50.0\% | * | - | 43.3\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2010 | 46.7\% | 49.7\% | 45.9\% | * | 33.3\% | 47.3\% | 44.4\% | * | - | 50.0\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Texas Success Initiative (TSI) - Higher Education Readiness Compone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts 2012 | 61\% | 65\% | 72\% | * | 55\% | 77\% | * | * | * | 80\% | 8\% | 56\% | * | 47\% |
| 2011 | 66\% | 69\% | 68\% | 25\% | 46\% | 73\% | 83\% | * | * | 75\% | 24\% | 55\% | < 1\% | 44\% |
| Mathematics 2012 |  |  |  |  |  | 77\% | * | * | * | 80\% |  |  | * |  |
| 2011 | 69\% | 69\% | 68\% | 50\% | 47\% | 73\% | 50\% | * | * | 82\% | 6\% | 59\% | 13\% | 38\% |
| SAT/ACT Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tested <br> Class of 2011 | 68.9\% | 70.4\% | 58.7\% |  | 41.5\% |  | * | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2010 | 62.6\% | 64.0\% | 51.7\% | 28.6\% | 25.3\% | 59.2\% | * | * | * | ** | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 25.7\% | 32.9\% | 36.9\% | * | 14.7\% | 40.4\% | * | - | - | 60.0\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Class of 2010 | 26.9\% | 34.7\% | 36.5\% | * | 15.8\% | 39.8\% | - | * | - | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Average SAT Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 976 | 1010 | 1045 | * | 963 | 1059 | * | - | - | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Class of 2010 | 985 | 1020 | 1056 | * | 1039 | 1064 | - | - | - | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 20.5 | 21.9 | 21.8 | * | 18.4 | 22.4 | - | - | - | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Class of 2010 | 20.5 | 22.0 | 22.6 | * | 23.0 | 23.0 | - | * | - | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |



TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY
 2011-12 District Performance

| Indicator: | State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | District | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { Risk } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College-Ready Graduates Eng Lang Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 Class of 2010 | 64\% $66 \%$ | 68\% | 65\% | 58\% | 50\% | 69\% $81 \%$ | * | * | * | 83\% | 26\% | 48\% | * | 43\% $56 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 67\% | 70\% | 74\% | * | 61\% | 77\% | * | * | * | > 99\% | 21\% | 59\% | * | 46\% |
| Class of 2010 | 64\% | 67\% | 68\% | 55\% | 58\% | 71\% | * | * | * | * | 33\% | 59\% | * | 31\% |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 52\% | 56\% | 56\% | * | 39\% | 60\% | * | * | * | 83\% | 14\% | 35\% | * | 23\% |
| Class of 2010 | 52\% | 57\% | 60\% | 45\% | 42\% | 65\% | * | * | * | * | 17\% | 49\% | * | 23\% |

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD County Name: PARKER
District \#: 184903

| STUDENT INFORMATION | \|-------District-------| |  | \|-----------State------------1| |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Students: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Count } \\ & 7,583 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Count } \\ 4,978,120 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education | 30 | 0.4\% | 13,231 | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 140 | 1.8\% | 224,322 | 4.5\% |
| Kindergarten | 557 | 7.3\% | 379,093 | 7.6\% |
| Grade 1 | 631 | 8.3\% | 392, 017 | 7.9\% |
| Grade 2 | 556 | 7.3\% | 383,181 | 7.7\% |
| Grade 3 | 598 | 7.9\% | 379,079 | 7.6\% |
| Grade 4 | 527 | 6.9\% | 375,473 | $7.5 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | 609 | 8.0\% | 377,502 | 7.6\% |
| Grade 6 | 552 | 7.3\% | 372,602 | 7.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 604 | 8.0\% | 365,903 | 7.4\% |
| Grade 8 | 568 | 7.5\% | 360, 027 | 7.2\% |
| Grade 9 | 628 | 8.3\% | 393,268 | 7.9\% |
| Grade 10 | 564 | 7.4\% | 346,573 | 7.0\% |
| Grade 11 | 503 | $6.6 \%$ | 322,783 | 6.5\% |
| Grade 12 | 516 | 6.8\% | 293,066 | 5.9\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: African American | 160 | 2.1\% | 637,934 | 12.8\% |
| Hispanic | 1,638 | 21.6\% | 2,530,789 | 50.8\% |
| White | 5,517 | $72.8 \%$ | 1,520,320 | 30.5\% |
| American Indian | 62 | 0.8\% | 22,224 | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 78 | 1.0\% | 176,755 | 3.6\% |
| Pacific Islander | 3 | 0.0\% | 6,227 | 0.1\% |
| Two or More Races | 125 | 1.6\% | 83,871 | 1.7\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 3,376 | 44.5\% | 3,008,464 | 60.4\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 4,207 | 55.5\% | 1,969,656 | 39.6\% |
| Limited English Proficient (LEP) | 584 | 7.7\% | 837,536 | 16.8\% |
| Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2010-11) | 145 | 1.7\% | 89,719 | 1.8\% |
| At-Risk | 2,423 | 32.0\% | 2,262,066 | 45.4\% |
| Graduates (Class of 2011): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | 492 | 100.0\% | 290,581 | 100.0\% |
| By Ethnicity (incl. Special Ed.): African American |  |  |  |  |
| African American Hispanic | 88 | 17.9\% | 38,755 127,746 | 13.3\% |
| White | 388 | 78.9\% | 107,597 | 37.0\% |
| American Indian | 4 | 0.8\% | 1,430 | 0.5\% |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% | 10,468 | 3. $6 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 406 | 0.1\% |
| Two or More Races | 6 | 1.2\% | 4,179 | 1.4\% |
| By Graduation Type (incl. Special Ed.): Minimum H.S. Program Recommended H.S. Pgm./DAP | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ 434 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.8 \% \\ & 88.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,772 \\ 232,809 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.9 \% \\ & 80.1 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Special Education Graduates | 44 | 8.9\% | 26,142 | 9.0\% |

 Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 District Profile

| STAFF INFORMATION | \|-------District-------1 |  | \|-----------State------------1| |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Total Staff: | 934.4 | 100.0\% | 637,847.6 | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 616.3 | $66.0 \%$ | 406, 954.3 | $63.8 \%$ |
| Teachers | 488.7 | $52.3 \%$ | 324,144.6 | 50.8\% |
| Professional Support | 89.6 | 9.6\% | 57,782.9 | 9.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 31.0 | 3.3\% | 18,480.5 | 2.9\% |
| Central Administration |  |  | 6,546.3 | 1.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 111.6 | 11.9\% | 58,114.0 | 9.1\% |
| Auxiliary Staff: | 206.5 | 22.1\% | 172,779.4 | 27.1\% |
| Total Minority Staff: | 127.8 | 13.7\% | 284,793.9 | 44.6\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 4.0 | 0.8\% | 29,897.0 | 9.2\% |
| Hispanic | 26.6 | 5.4\% | 79,115.8 | 24.4\% |
| White | 443.1 | 90.7\% | 205,476.3 | 63.4\% |
| American Indian | 6.0 | 1.2\% | 1,310.3 | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 1.0 | 0.2\% | 4,281.5 | 1. 3 \% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 255.6 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | 8.0 | 1.6\% | 3,808.1 | 1.2\% |
| Males | 88.2 | $18.1 \%$ | $75,126.8$ | 23.2\% |
| Females | 400.5 | $81.9 \%$ | $249,017.7$ | 76.8\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelors | 391.9 | 80.2\% | 245,911.1 | 75.9\% |
| Masters | 94.8 | 19.4\% | 73,951.5 | 22.8\% |
| Doctorate | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1,748.8 | 0.5\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 121.1 | 24.8\% | 14,993.4 | 28.7\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 120.0 | 24.6\% | 72,188.9 | 22.3\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 138.1 | 28.3\% | 86,121.9 | 26.6\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 100.0 | 20.5\% | 57,906.6 | 17.9\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher: | 15.5 | n/a | 15.4 | n/a |
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TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 District Profile

STAFF INFORMATION (Continued)
Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only)

## Beginning Teachers 1-5 Years Experience 11-20 Years Experience

 Over 20 Years ExperienceAverage Actual Salaries (regular duties only): Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership) Central Administration

Turnover Rate for Teachers:
Instructional Staff Percent:
STAFF EXCLUSIONS:
Shared Services Arrangement Staff
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Professional Staff } & 0.1 \\ \text { Educational Aides } & 0.0\end{array}$
Auxiliary Staff
Contracted Instructional Staff:

| 12.6 yrs. | 11.6 yrs. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7.3 yrs. | 8.1 yrs. |


| $\$ 42,358$ | $\$ 40,911$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ 43,674$ | $\$ 43,669$ |
| $\$ 46,006$ | $\$ 46,224$ |
| $\$ 48,638$ | $\$ 50,064$ |
| $\$ 55,970$ | $\$ 58,031$ |

\$48,139
\$59, 238
$\$ 59,238$
$\$ 68,409$
\$122,982
12.5\%
$\$ 48,219$
\$70,510 $\$ 89,811$
12.6\%
67.3\%
64.1\%
0.8
0.0
7.3 yrs.
8.1 yrs.
\$40, 911
$\$ 43,669$
$\$ 46,224$
$\$ 46,224$
$\$ 50,064$
$\$ 50,064$
$\$ 58,031$

1,127.0
243.3

5,645.2
1,645.5
Amount Percent/Rate

| Amount | Percent/Rate |
| :---: | :---: |

## Adopted Tax Rate <br> Maintenance and Operations

Total Rate (sum of above)
Standardized Local Tax Base (comptroller valuation

| Value (after exemptions) | $\$ 3,330,360,379$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Value Per Pupil @@ | $\$ 439,188$ |

\$439, 188

## n/a <br> n/a

\$1,690,489,426,471
\$350, 982
n/a
n/a
Value by Category
Business
Residential
Land
Oil and Gas
Other
\$1,114,262,250
\$1,911, 847,200 \$441,878,750 \$199, 378, 380 \$40,127,480
$30.1 \%$
$51.6 \%$
$11.9 \%$
$5.4 \%$
$1.1 \%$
\$639,734,150,838
\$1, 038, 335, 809, 563 \$119,810,477,646 \$106,030,002,840 \$9, 779, 930, 582
\$1. 068
n/a $\$ 0.176$
\$8,258,499,819
Fund Balance (End of Year 2010-11 audited)
11-12)

| $\$ 8,685,418$ |
| :---: |
| n/a |

n/a
ACTUAL REVENUE INFORMATION (2010-11)

By Source:
Total Revenues
Local Tax
Other Local \& Intermediate
State +
State +
Federal

Equity Transfers
(excluded from revenues)

ACTUAL EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (2010-11)
By Object:

```
    Otal Expenditures
    Payroll Costs
    Other Operating Costs
    Debt Service
    Capital Outlay
```

| $\$ 53,478,124$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 7,001$ | $\$ 70,051,840$ | 100.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 44,046,251$ | $82.4 \%$ | $\$ 5,766$ | $\$ 48,265,130$ | 68.9 |
| $\$ 8,723,851$ | $16.3 \%$ | $\$ 1,142$ | $\$ 11,912,246$ | 17.0 |
| $\$ 608,333$ | $1.1 \%$ | $\$ 80$ | $\$ 9,764,924$ | 13.9 |
| $\$ 99,689$ | $0.2 \%$ | $\$ 13$ | $\$ 109,540$ | 0.2 |

$\$ 9,170$
$\$ 6,318$
$\$ 1,559$
$\$ 1,278$
\$54, 733, 921, 383 \$34, 311, 016, 757 $\$ 34,311,016,757$
$\$ 9,060,357,014$ $\$ 9,060,357,014$
$\$ 5,344,363,919$
$\$ 6,018,183,693$
$\begin{array}{rr}100.0 \% & \$ 11,146 \\ 62.7 \% & \$ 6,987 \\ 16.6 \% & \$ 1,845 \\ 9.8 \% & \$ 1,088 \\ 11.0 \% & \$ 1,226\end{array}$

| ACTUAL EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (continued) | General Fund | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { Student } \end{gathered}$ | All <br> Funds | Percent | Per Student |  | Percent | Per Student |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Function (Objects 6100-6400 only) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$52,071,199 | 100.0\% | \$6,816 | \$59,444,486 | 100.0\% | \$7,782 | \$42,804, 942,407 | 100.0\% | \$8,717 |
| Instruction (11,95) | \$31,512,755 | 60.5\% | \$4,125 | \$34,632,062 | 58.3\% | \$4,534 | \$24,850,834,243 | 58.1\% | \$5,061 |
| Instructional-Related Services (12,13) | \$1,440,884 | 2.8\% | \$189 | \$1,716,890 | 2.9\% | \$225 | \$1, 487, 980, 138 | 3.5\% | \$303 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) | \$884,235 | 1.7\% | \$116 | \$1,281, 512 | 2.2\% | \$168 | \$633,188,477 | 1.5\% | \$129 |
| School Leadership (23) | \$3,129,557 | 6.0\% | \$410 | \$3,349,234 | 5.6\% | \$438 | \$2,416,161,985 | 5.6\% | \$492 |
| Support Services-Student (31, 32,33) | \$2,567,245 | $4.9 \%$ | \$336 | \$2,836,412 | 4.8\% | \$371 | \$2,050,747,085 | 4.8\% | \$418 |
| Student Transportation (34) | \$2,018,448 | 3.9\% | \$264 | \$2,045,863 | 3.4\% | \$268 | \$1,203, 209,454 | 2.8\% | \$245 |
| Food Services (35) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$2,902,487 | 4.9\% | \$380 | \$2,299, 738, 035 | 5.4\% | \$468 |
| Cocurricular Activities (36) | \$1,590,265 | 3.1\% | \$208 | \$1,721, 925 | 2.9\% | \$225 | \$1,156, 668,526 | 2.7\% | \$236 |
| Central Administration (41,92 **) | \$2,118,623 | 4.1\% | \$277 | \$2,147,886 | 3.6\% | \$281 | \$1,336,156,478 | 3.1\% | \$272 |
| Plant Maintenance and Operations (51) | \$5, 689,217 | 10.9\% | \$745 | \$5,690,245 | 9.6\% | \$745 | \$4,423, 322,992 | 10.3\% | \$901 |
| Security and Monitoring Services (52) | \$286,513 | $0.6 \%$ | \$38 | \$286,513 | 0.5\% | \$38 | \$330, 934, 637 | 0.8\% | \$67 |
| Data Processing Services (53) | \$833,457 | 1.6\% | \$109 | \$833,457 | 1.4\% | \$109 | \$616,000,357 | 1.4\% | \$125 |
| Community Services (61) | \$698,903 | n/a | \$91 | \$732,890 | n/a | \$96 | \$209,373,294 | n/a | \$43 |
| Equity Transfers <br> (excluded from expenditures) | \$515, 769 | n/a | \$68 | \$515, 769 | n/a | \$68 | \$1, 034, 195, 645 | n/a | \$211 |
| Instructional Expenditure Ratio (11,12,13,31) |  | 64.1\% |  |  |  |  |  | 64.8\% |  |
| ACTUAL PROGRAM EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (2010-11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$41, 615,046 | 100.0\% | \$5,448 | \$45,439,439 | 100.0\% | \$5,948 | \$32,209, 900,270 | 100.0\% | \$6,559 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$1,746,694 | 4.2\% | \$229 | \$1,856,060 | 4.1\% | \$243 | \$1,150, 211, 353 | 3.6\% | \$234 |
| Career \& Technical Education (22) | \$1,871,428 | 4.5\% | \$245 | \$1,993,462 | 4.4\% | \$261 | \$1,080, 000,452 | 3.4\% | \$220 |
| Accelerated Education (24,30) | \$2,058,492 | 4.9\% | \$269 | \$3,493,529 | 7.7\% | \$457 | \$4,124,466,726 | 12.8\% | \$840 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$219,226 | 0.5\% | \$29 | \$236,209 | 0.5\% | \$31 | \$406, 931,100 | 1.3\% | \$83 |
| Regular Education (11) | \$25,607,538 | 61.5\% | \$3,352 | \$25,803, 043 | 56.8\% | \$3,378 | \$18,850,503,238 | 58.5\% | \$3,839 |
| Special Education (23) | \$7,991,148 | 19.2\% | \$1,046 | \$9,829,211 | 21.6\% | \$1,287 | \$5,123, 262, 630 | 15.9\% | \$1,043 |
| Athletics/Related Activities (91) | \$1,317,970 | 3.2\% | \$173 | \$1,425,375 | 3.1\% | \$187 | \$796,806,151 | 2.5\% | \$162 |
| High School Allotment (31) | \$679,539 | 1.6\% | \$89 | \$679,539 | 1.5\% | \$89 | \$323,890,152 | 1.0\% | \$66 |
| Other $(26,28,29)$ | \$123,011 | 0.3\% | \$16 | \$123,011 | 0.3\% | \$16 | \$353,828,468 | 1.1\% | \$72 |

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD County Name: PARKER
District \#: 184903

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 District Profile

## PROGRAM INFORMATION

## Student Enrollment by Program:

Bilingual/ESL Education
Career \& Technical Education
Gifted \& Talented Education
Special Education
Teachers by Program (population served) :
Bilingual/ESL Education
Career \& Technical Education
Compensatory Education
Gifted \& Talented Education
Regular Education
Special Education
Other

| Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 580 | 7.6\% | 809,074 | 16.3\% |
| 1,978 | 26.1\% | 1,072,030 | 21.5\% |
| 554 | 7.3\% | 381, 706 | 7.7\% |
| 680 | 9.0\% | 430,350 | 8.6\% |
| 23.9 | 4.9\% | 17,231.0 | 5.3\% |
| 19.3 | 4.0\% | 13,232.7 | 4.1\% |
| 25.4 | 5.2\% | 9,285.6 | 2.9\% |
| 21.5 | 4.4\% | 6,191.5 | 1.9\% |
| 328.1 | 67.1\% | 238,754.3 | 73.7\% |
| 58.6 | 12.0\% | 28,977.2 | 8.9\% |
| 11.9 | 2.4\% | 10,472.3 | 3.2\% |

District \#: 184903 For Current Year Lep Students

| Indicator: St | tate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } \\ & \quad 11 \end{aligned}$ | Dis | trict | BE Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { BE-Trans } \\ \text { Early } \\ \text { Exit } \end{gathered}$ | BE-Trans Late Exit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BE-Dual } \\ & \text { Two-Way } \end{aligned}$ | BE-Dual One-Way | $\begin{gathered} \text { ESL } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | ESL Content | $\begin{gathered} \text { ESL } \\ \text { Pull-out } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LEP } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Services } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LEP } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { Services } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { LEP } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics 2012 | 82\% | 83\% |  | 85\% | * | * | * | * | * | 59\% | * | 59\% | * | 59\% | 59\% |
| Science 2012 | 84\% | 85\% |  | 89\% | * | * | * | * | * | 44\% | * | 44\% | * | 44\% | 44\% |
| Soc Studies 2012 | 96\% | 96\% |  | 98\% | * | * | * | * | * | 85\% | * | 85\% | * | 85\% | 85\% |
| All Tests 2012 | 75\% | 76\% |  | 80\% | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% | * | 30\% | * | 30\% | 30\% |
| Progress of Prior Year taks Failers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Failers Pas | ssing | TAKS (Sum | of | Grades | 10 and | 11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts 2012 | 57\% | 61\% |  | 70\% | * | * | * | * | * | 63\% | * | 63\% | * | 63\% | 63\% |
| Mathematics 2012 | 50\% | 50\% |  | 54\% | * | * | * | * | * | 25\% | * | 25\% | * | 25\% | 25\% |

'@' Asian, Pacific Islander and Two or More Races are not available for indicators that use the former race/ethnicity definitions. See the Glossary for more details.
'^' Primary Spring Administration, plus October first-time 11th grade testers who pass all 4 tests in October
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'\#' The $\$ 0.176$ includes 213 districts with an Interest and Sinking ( $I \& S$ ) tax rate of $\$ 0.000$. Among districts with $I \& S$ tax rates, the state average is $\$ 0.222$.
'@@' Not used for School Funding calculations
'**' Function 81, for charter schools only, is included in the Central Administration Total Operating Expenditure.
'+' For this district, state revenue includes $\$ 1,915,098$ from the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund
distributed under the Federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act.

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



# 2011-2012 <br> Campus Reports 



Weatherford ISD
1100 Longhorn Drive
Weatherford, Texas 76086
$817-598-2800$

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



## 2011-2012 Weatherford High School
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## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: WEATHERFORD H S
Campus \#: 184903001

| District Name: WEATHERF Campus Name: WEATHERFOR Campus \#: 184903001 | FORD IS D H S |  | TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Section I - Page 1 <br> Total Students: 1,595 <br> Grade Span: 09-12 <br> School Type: Secondary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \mathbf{s}^{\text {Ed }} \end{aligned}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| TAKS Met 2012 Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts 2012 | 91\% | 94\% | 95\% | 94\% | > 99\% | 92\% | 95\% | * | > 99\% | * | 88\% | 80\% | 91\% | 82\% |
| Mathematics 2012 | 75\% | 78\% | 81\% | 78\% | 50\% | 71\% | 80\% | * | 89\% | * | 50\% | 62\% | 69\% | 55\% |
| Science 2012 | 75\% | 83\% | 81\% | 83\% | 75\% | 69\% | 86\% | * | 89\% | * | 75\% | 56\% | 73\% | 41\% |
| Soc Studies 2012 | 94\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | > 99\% | 92\% | 98\% | * | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | 82\% | 94\% | 86\% |
| All Tests 2012 | 65\% | 72\% | 72\% | 72\% | 50\% | 60\% | 76\% | * | 89\% | * | 50\% | 39\% | 62\% | 32\% |
| TAKS Met 2012 Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts 2012 | 93\% | 97\% | 96\% | 97\% | * | 91\% | 98\% | * | * | * | > 99\% | 76\% | 92\% | 40\% |
| Mathematics 2012 | 91\% | 93\% | 94\% | 93\% | * | 88\% | 94\% | * | * | * | $>$ 99\% | 72\% | 90\% | 80\% |
| Science 2012 | 93\% | 97\% | 96\% | 97\% | * | 92\% | 98\% | * | * | * | > 99\% | 83\% | 93\% | 60\% |
| Soc Studies 2012 | 98\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% | * | 96\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | > 99\% | 88\% | 98\% | 80\% |
| All Tests 2012 | 85\% | 89\% | 90\% | 89\% | * | 78\% | 92\% | * | * | * | > 99\% | 50\% | 83\% | 20\% |
| TAKS Met 2012 Standard (Sum of Grades 10 and 11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts 2012 | 92\% | 96\% | 95\% | 96\% | > 99\% | 91\% | 97\% | 80\% | > 99\% | * | 92\% | 78\% | 91\% | 74\% |
| Mathematics 2012 | 82\% | 85\% | 87\% | 85\% | 58\% | 79\% | 87\% | > 99\% | 91\% | * | 69\% | 67\% | 79\% | 59\% |
| Science 2012 | 84\% | 89\% | 88\% | 89\% | 83\% | 80\% | 92\% | > 99\% | 91\% | * | 85\% | 70\% | 82\% | 44\% |
| Soc Studies 2012 | 96\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% | > 99\% | 94\% | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | 85\% | 96\% | 85\% |
| All Tests 2012 | 75\% | 80\% | 79\% | 80\% | 58\% | 68\% | 84\% | 80\% | 91\% | * | 69\% | 45\% | 71\% | 30\% |
| TAKS Commended Performance (Sum of Grades 10 and 11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts 2012 | 23\% | 29\% | 24\% | 29\% | 8\% | 18\% | 31\% | 40\% | 64\% | * | 23\% | 6\% | 19\% | 7\% |
| Mathematics 2012 | 25\% | 24\% | 25\% | 24\% | 8\% | 15\% | 27\% | 40\% | 45\% | * | 8\% | < 1\% | 14\% | 4\% |
| Science 2012 | 22\% | 31\% | 21\% | 31\% | 17\% | 16\% | 35\% | 20\% | 55\% | * | 8\% | 8\% | 18\% | 4\% |
| Soc Studies 2012 | 51\% | 58\% | 51\% | 58\% | 25\% | 39\% | 63\% | > 99\% | 82\% | * | 54\% | 14\% | 41\% | 15\% |
| All Tests 2012 | 10\% | 13\% | 8\% | 13\% | < 1\% | 6\% | 15\% | < 1\% | 36\% | * | < 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| TAKS-M Met 2012 Standard (Sum of Grades 10 and 11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts 2012 | 79\% | 94\% | 97\% | 94\% | * | 78\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | 94\% | 89\% | * |
| Mathematics 2012 | 66\% | 84\% | 78\% | 84\% | * | 86\% | 83\% | * | * | * | * | 84\% | 83\% | 80\% |
| Science 2012 | 55\% | 79\% | 72\% | 79\% | * | 83\% | 76\% | * | * | * | * | 79\% | 74\% | * |
| Soc Studies 2012 | 66\% | 81\% | 83\% | 81\% | * | 63\% | 89\% | * | * | * | * | 81\% | 73\% | * |
| All Tests 2012 | 50\% | 76\% | 67\% | 76\% | * | 73\% | 77\% | * | * | * | * | 76\% | 73\% | 80\% |
| Progress of Prior Year taks failers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Failers Pas | ssing T | k (Sum of | Grades | 10 and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts 2012 | 57\% | 70\% | 71\% | 70\% | * | 70\% | 69\% | * | * | * | * | 63\% | 66\% | 63\% |
| Mathematics 2012 | 50\% | 54\% | 54\% | 54\% | * | 51\% | 55\% | * | * | * | * | 44\% | 49\% | 25\% |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 95.7\% | 96.2\% | 95.7\% | 94.5\% | 92.2\% | 94.5\% | 94.5\% | 95.4\% | * | * | 95.0\% | 92.3\% | 92.9\% | 96.2\% |
| 2009-10 | 95.5\% | 95.3\% | 95.5\% | 94.1\% | 91.7\% | 94.4\% | 94.1\% | 93.1\% | * | * | 95.8\% | 91.7\% | 93.1\% | 94.8\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 2010-11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r } 9-12) \\ & 2.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | * | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 4-Year Completion Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | n/a | 95.6\% | 96.1\% | 95.6\% | 83.3\% | 95.5\% | 96.1\% | 80.0\% | - | - | 85.7\% | 97.7\% | 93.3\% | * |
| Received GED | n/a | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | $0.3 \%$ | 0.0\% | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | * |
| Continued HS | n/a | 3.6\% | 1.7\% | 3.6\% | 16.7\% | 3.4\% | 3.1\% | 20.0\% | - | - | 14.3\% | 2.3\% | 6.0\% | * |
| Dropped Out | n/a | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| 4-Year Graduation Rate Without Exclusions (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2011 | 85.9\% | 95.4\% | 96.1\% | 95.4\% | 83.3\% | 95.5\% | 95.9\% | 80.0\% | - | - | 85.7\% | 97.7\% | 93.3\% | * |
| Class of 2010 @ | 84.3\% | 94.6\% | 94.8\% | 95.2\% | 100.0\% | 92.0\% | 95.6\% | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | 80.6\% | 89.0\% | * |



District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD Campus Name: WEATHERFORD H S Campus \#: 184903001

TEXASEDUCATTON AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 1

| STUDENT INFORMATION | --------Campus--------\| |  | Campus Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |  |
| Total Students: | 1,595 | 100.0\% | 27,620 | 7,583 | 4,978,120 |
| Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | $0.3 \%$ |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 4.5\% |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Grade 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 4 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 8.0\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 7.5\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 9 | 15 | 0.9\% | 28.8\% | 8.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Grade 10 | 561 | 35.2\% | 25.4\% | 7.4\% | 7.0\% |
| Grade 11 | 503 | 31.5\% | 23.5\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Grade 12 | 516 | 32.4\% | 22.0\% | 6.8\% | 5.9\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: African American | 24 | 1.5\% | 2. $6 \%$ | 2.1\% | 12.8\% |
| Hispanic | 303 | 19.0\% | 17.5\% | 21.6\% | 50.8\% |
| White | 1,208 | 75.7\% | 75.3\% | 72.8\% | 30.5\% |
| American Indian | 15 | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 16 | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 3. $6 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 0.1\% | $0.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Two or More Races | 27 | 1.7\% | 2.1\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 531 | 33.3\% | 31.2\% | 44.5\% | 60.4\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 1,064 | 66.7\% | 68.8\% | 55.5\% | 39.6\% |
| Limited English Proficient (LEP) | 43 | 2.7\% | 1.5\% | 7.7\% | 16.8\% |
| Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2010-11) | 59 | 3.4\% | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 1.8\% |
| At-Risk | 559 | 35.0\% | 30.2\% | 32.0\% | 45.4\% |
| Mobility (2010-11) | 244 | 13.9\% | 13.4\% | 16.0\% | 17.8\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 16.3 | n/a | 13.8 | 15.5 | 15.4 |

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2

STUDENT INFORMATION

```
Graduates (Class of 2011):
    Total Graduates
    By Ethnicity (incl. Special Ed.):
        African American
            Hispanic
            White
            American Indian
            Asian
            Pacific Islander
            Pacific Islander
    By Graduation Type (incl. Special Ed.):
            Minimum H.S. Program
            Recommended H.S. Pgm./DAP
    Special Education Graduates
```

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:

| Elementary: | Kindergarten <br> Grade 1 <br> Grade 2 <br> Grade 3 <br> Grade 4 <br> Grade 5 <br> Grade 6 <br> Mixed Grades |
| :---: | :---: |
| Secondary : | ```English/Language Arts Foreign Languages Mathematics Science``` |

## Campus

Campus

| - Count | Campus--_----- <br> Percent | Campus <br> Group |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 494 | $100.0 \%$ | 5,872 |
|  |  |  |
| 6 | $1.2 \%$ | 155 |
| 89 | $18.0 \%$ | 911 |
| 389 | $78.7 \%$ | 4,584 |
| 4 | $0.8 \%$ | 51 |
| 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 64 |
| 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 |
| 6 | $1.2 \%$ | 106 |
| 59 | $11.9 \%$ | 1,154 |
| 435 | $88.1 \%$ | 4,718 |
| 44 | $8.9 \%$ | 519 |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 494 | 290,581 |
| 6 | 38,755 |
| 89 | 127,746 |
| 389 | 107,597 |
| 4 | 1,430 |
| 0 | 10,468 |
| 0 | 4,179 |
| 6 | 57,772 |
| 59 | 232,809 |
| 435 | 26,142 |

District
State

| 18.0 | 19.4 |
| :---: | ---: |
| 19.6 | 19.4 |
| 20.6 | 19.3 |
| 20.2 | 19.4 |
| 21.4 | 19.6 |
| 24.3 | 21.8 |
| 23.6 | 21.0 |
| - | 23.2 |
| 18.7 | 17.3 |
| 21.3 | 19.0 |
| 18.3 | 17.8 |
| 18.7 | 19.0 |
| 19.3 | 19.5 |



| Distr <br> Campus <br> Campu | rict Name: WEATHERFORD ISD Name: WEATHERFORD H S \#: 184903001 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { X A S E } \\ & \text { cademic E } \\ & 2011-12 \end{aligned}$ | UCAT ellence ampus P | O N A G E dicator Sy ile | tem |  | Section II Total Stud Grade Span School Type | - Page nts: 1 09-12 : Secon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (2010-11) |  |  | Percent | Per Student | All Funds | Percent | Per Student | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | us Group Percent | Per Student |
| By Function: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Operating Expenditures | 11,592,293 | 100.0\% | \$7,077 | 12,570,915 | 100.0\% | \$7,675 | \$211,168,040 | 100.0\% | \$7,821 |
|  | Instruction (11,95) | \$7,543,267 | 65.1\% | \$4,605 | \$7,774,251 | 61.8\% | \$4,746 | \$139,503,017 | 66.1\% | \$5,167 |
|  | Instructional-Related Services (12,13) | \$293,569 | 2.5\% | \$179 | \$307,537 | 2.4\% | \$188 | \$6,263,609 | 3.0\% | \$232 |
|  | Instructional Leadership (21) | \$272,587 | 2.4\% | \$166 | \$276,554 | 2.2\% | \$169 | \$1,918, 018 | 0.9\% | \$71 |
|  | School Leadership (23) | \$665,373 | 5.7\% | \$406 | \$716,773 | 5.7\% | \$438 | \$14,581, 410 | 6.9\% | \$540 |
|  | Support Services-Student ( $31,32,33$ ) | \$637,467 | 5.5\% | \$389 | \$706,211 | 5.6\% | \$431 | \$10,885, 704 | 5.2\% | \$403 |
|  | Other Campus Costs ( $35,36,51,52,53$ ) | \$2,180, 030 | 18.8\% | \$1,331 | \$2,789,589 | 22.2\% | \$1,703 | \$38,016,282 | 18.0\% | \$1,408 |
| By Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Operating Expenditures | \$9,412,263 | 100.0\% | \$5,746 | \$9,716,300 | 100.0\% | \$5,932 | \$172,195, 345 | 100.0\% | \$6,378 |
|  | Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$77,332 | 0.8\% | \$47 | \$77,332 | 0.8\% | \$47 | \$812,859 | 0.5\% | \$30 |
|  | Career \& Technical Education (22) | \$1,713,806 | 18.2\% | \$1,046 | \$1,835, 840 | 18.9\% | \$1,121 | \$21,320,947 | 12.4\% | \$790 |
|  | Accelerated Education ( 24,30 ) | \$480,603 | 5.1\% | \$293 | \$480,603 | 4.9\% | \$293 | \$7,396,789 | 4.3\% | \$274 |
|  | Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$9,014 | 0.1\% | \$ 6 | \$3,887,164 | 2.3\% | \$144 |
|  | Regular Education (11) | \$4,784,568 | 50.8\% | \$2,921 | \$4,823, 099 | 49.6\% | \$2,945 | \$109,847,608 | 63.8\% | \$4,069 |
|  | Special Education (23) | \$1,710,248 | 18.2\% | \$1,044 | \$1,844,706 | 19.0\% | \$1,126 | \$20,755,752 | 12.1\% | \$769 |
|  | Athletics/Related Activities (91) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
|  | High School Allotment (31) | \$641,927 | 6.8\% | \$392 | \$641,927 | 6.6\% | \$392 | \$6,385,287 | 3.7\% | \$237 |
|  | Other ( $26,28,29$ ) | \$3,779 | 0.0\% | \$2 | \$3,779 | 0.0\% | \$2 | \$1,788,939 | 1.0\% | \$66 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | trict | Stat |  |  |
| Instructional Expenditure Ratio (11,12,13,31) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $64.1 \%$ | 64.8 |  |  |
| PROGRAM INFORMATION |  | \|-------Campus--------| |  |  | Campus |  | District |  |  |  |
|  |  | Count | Percent |  | Grou |  |  | State |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: Bilingual/ESL Education |  | 41 | 2.6\% |  | 1. |  | 7.6\% | 16.3 |  |  |
|  | Career \& Technical Education | 1,332 | 83.5\% |  | 71. |  | 26.1\% | 21.5 |  |  |
|  | Gifted \& Talented Education | 171 | 10.7\% |  | 8.8 |  | $7.3 \%$ | 7.7 |  |  |
|  | Special Education | 154 | 9.7\% |  | 9. |  | 9.0\% | 8.6 |  |  |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Career \& Technical Education | 14.7 | 15.0\% |  | 12. |  | 4.0\% | 4.1 |  |  |
|  | Compensatory Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 1. |  | 5.2\% | 2.9 |  |  |
|  | Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.2 | 0.2\% |  | 0. |  | 4.4\% | 1.9 |  |  |
|  | Regular Education | 61.5 | 62.9\% |  | 69. |  | 67.1\% | 73.7 |  |  |
|  | Special Education | 9.2 | 9.4\% |  | 9. |  | 12.0\% | 8.9 |  |  |
| Other |  | 10.8 11.1\% 5.7\% |  |  |  |  | 2.4\% | 3.2 |  |  |
| '^' Primary Spring Administration, plus October first-time 11th grade testers who pass all 4 tests in October. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| '@' | Asian, Pacific Islander and Two or More Races are not available for indicators that use the former race/ethnicity definitions. See the Glossary for more details. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| '?' | Indicates that the data for this item wer | re statistic | ally impr | able, or | rere report | outsid | reason | range. |  |  |
|  | '*' Indicates results are masked due to small <br> '-' Indicates zero observations reported for |  | 1 numbers to | protect | udent co | identiality |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | this group. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicabl |  | e for this group. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



# 2011-2012 <br> Ninth Grade Center 



Weatherford ISD<br>1100 Longhorn Drive<br>Weatherford, Texas 76086<br>817-598-2800

## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: WEATHERFORD H S NINTH GRADE CENTER
Campus \#: 184903003

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD Campus Name: WEATHERFORD H S NINTH GRAD Campus \#: 184903003

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Performance

| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pacific } \\ & \text { Islander } \end{aligned}$ | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \end{aligned}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 95.7\% | 96.2\% | 95.7\% | 95.9\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 95.9\% | * | 97.5\% | - | 93.1\% | 95.3\% | 94.9\% | 97.1\% |
| 2009-10 | 95.5\% | 95.3\% | 95.6\% | 94.9\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 94.9\% | * | * | * | 93.0\% | 93.8\% | 93.6\% | 96.3\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate 2010-11 | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Gr } 9-12 \text { ) } \\ 2.4 \% \end{array}\right.$ | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| COLLEGE READINESS INDICATORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Course/Dual | Enrollment | Completi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 2009-10 | 26.3\% | 19.5\% | 22.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | * | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |


| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pacific } \\ & \text { Islander } \end{aligned}$ | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \end{aligned}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 95.7\% | 96.2\% | 95.7\% | 95.9\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 95.9\% | * | 97.5\% | - | 93.1\% | 95.3\% | 94.9\% | 97.1\% |
| 2009-10 | 95.5\% | 95.3\% | 95.6\% | 94.9\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 94.9\% | * | * | * | 93.0\% | 93.8\% | 93.6\% | 96.3\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate 2010-11 | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Gr } 9-12 \text { ) } \\ 2.4 \% \end{array}\right.$ | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| COLLEGE READINESS INDICATORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Course/Dual | Enrollment | Completi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 2009-10 | 26.3\% | 19.5\% | 22.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | * | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

African
American Hispanic White American

## Campus

$95.9 \%$$94.9 \%$

## Indian

| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pacific } \\ & \text { Islander } \end{aligned}$ | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \end{aligned}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 95.7\% | 96.2\% | 95.7\% | 95.9\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 95.9\% | * | 97.5\% | - | 93.1\% | 95.3\% | 94.9\% | 97.1\% |
| 2009-10 | 95.5\% | 95.3\% | 95.6\% | 94.9\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 94.9\% | * | * | * | 93.0\% | 93.8\% | 93.6\% | 96.3\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate 2010-11 | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Gr } 9-12 \text { ) } \\ 2.4 \% \end{array}\right.$ | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| COLLEGE READINESS INDICATORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Course/Dual | Enrollment | Completi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 2009-10 | 26.3\% | 19.5\% | 22.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | * | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |


| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pacific } \\ & \text { Islander } \end{aligned}$ | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \end{aligned}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 95.7\% | 96.2\% | 95.7\% | 95.9\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 95.9\% | * | 97.5\% | - | 93.1\% | 95.3\% | 94.9\% | 97.1\% |
| 2009-10 | 95.5\% | 95.3\% | 95.6\% | 94.9\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 94.9\% | * | * | * | 93.0\% | 93.8\% | 93.6\% | 96.3\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate 2010-11 | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Gr } 9-12 \text { ) } \\ 2.4 \% \end{array}\right.$ | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| COLLEGE READINESS INDICATORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Course/Dual | Enrollment | Completi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 2009-10 | 26.3\% | 19.5\% | 22.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | * | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
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## $0.0 \%$


Section I - Page 1
Total Students: 616
Grade Span: 09- $10{ }^{6}$
School Type: Secondary

Grade Span: 09 - 10
School Type: Secondary

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD Campus Name: WEATHERFORD H S NINTH GRAD Campus \#: 184903003

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page

| NT INFORMATION | --------Campus--------\| |  | Campus Group | District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  | State |
| Total Students: | 616 | 100.0\% | 30,390 | 7,583 | 4,978,120 |
| Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 4.5\% |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Grade 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $7.3 \%$ | 7.7\% |
| Grade 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 4 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 8.0\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 7.5\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 9 | 613 | 99.5\% | $26.4 \%$ | 8.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Grade 10 | 3 | 0.5\% | 27.1\% | 7.4\% | 7.0\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 24.7\% | $6.6 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 6.8\% | 5.9\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: African American | 16 | 2.6\% | 3.6\% | 2.1\% | 12.8\% |
| Hispanic | 126 | 20.5\% | 19.7\% | 21.6\% | 50.8\% |
| White | 447 | 72.6\% | 72.7\% | 72.8\% | 30.5\% |
| American Indian | 8 | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 8 | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 3.6\% |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Two or More Races | 10 | 1.6\% | 2.1\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 243 | 39.4\% | 31.0\% | 44.5\% | 60.4\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 373 | 60.6\% | 69.0\% | 55.5\% | 39.6\% |
| Limited English Proficient (LEP) | 13 | 2.1\% | 1.4\% | 7.7\% | 16.8\% |
| Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2010-11) | 37 | 5.9\% | 2.9\% | 1.7\% | 1.8\% |
| At-Risk | 153 | 24.8\% | 31.9\% | 32.0\% | 45.4\% |
| Mobility (2010-11) | 92 | 14.7\% | 13.3\% | 16.0\% | 17.8\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 13.4 | n/a | 14.0 | 15.5 | 15.4 |

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page

## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION

(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

| Class Size Averages | by Grade and Subject: | Campus | Campus Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary: | Kindergarten | - | - | 18.0 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 1 | - | - | 19.6 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 2 | - | - | 20.6 | 19.3 |
|  | Grade 3 | - | - | 20.2 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 4 | - | - | 21.4 | 19.6 |
|  | Grade 5 | - | - | 24.3 | 21.8 |
|  | Grade 6 | - | - | 23.6 | 21.0 |
|  | Mixed Grades | - | - | - | 23.2 |
| Secondary: | English/Language Arts | 20.6 | 16.9 | 18.7 | 17.3 |
|  | Foreign Languages | 22.4 | 19.1 | 21.3 | 19.0 |
|  | Mathematics | 18.9 | 17.5 | 18.3 | 17.8 |
|  | Science | 24.4 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 19.0 |
|  | Social Studies | 23.3 | 19.1 | 19.3 | 19.5 |



| District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD <br> Campus Name: WEATHERFORD H S NINTH GRAD Campus \#: 184903003 | $\mathrm{T}_{\boldsymbol{I}}^{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { X A S E } \\ & \text { cademic E } \\ & 2011-12 \end{aligned}$ | U C A T <br> ellence <br> Campus Pr | dicator Sys ile | $\begin{gathered} \text { N C Y } \\ \text { Lem } \end{gathered}$ |  | Section II - Page 4 <br> Total Students: 616 <br> Grade Span: 09 - 10 <br> School Type: Secondary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (2010-11) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { General } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | PercentPer <br> Student |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Per Student | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | us Group Percent | Per Student |
| By Function: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$4,142,814 | 100.0\% | \$7,281 | \$4,464, 029 | 100.0\% | \$7,845 | \$229, 633, 339 | 100.0\% | \$7,693 |
| Instruction (11,95) | \$2,670,522 | 64.5\% | \$4,693 | \$2,718, 762 | 60.9\% | \$4,778 | \$154,244,717 | 67.2\% | \$5,168 |
| Instructional-Related Services $(12,13)$ | \$114,787 | 2.8\% | \$202 | \$116,227 | 2.6\% | \$204 | \$7,103,295 | 3.1\% | \$238 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) | \$125,359 | 3.0\% | \$220 | \$125, 359 | 2.8\% | \$220 | \$2,355, 973 | 1.0\% | \$79 |
| School Leadership (23) | \$372,214 | 9.0\% | \$654 | \$390, 392 | 8.7\% | \$686 | \$15, 143, 164 | 6.6\% | \$507 |
| Support Services-Student ( $31,32,33$ ) | \$246,882 | 6.0\% | \$434 | \$247,198 | 5.5\% | \$434 | \$11,126,582 | 4.8\% | \$373 |
| Other Campus Costs ( $35,36,51,52,53$ ) | \$613,050 | 14.8\% | \$1,077 | \$866,091 | 19.4\% | \$1,522 | \$39,659,608 | 17.3\% | \$1,329 |
| By Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$3,529,764 | 100.0\% | \$6,203 | \$3,578,004 | 100.0\% | \$6,288 | \$189,015,534 | 100.0\% | \$6,332 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$3,068 | 0.1\% |  | \$3,068 | 0.1\% |  | \$797,952 | 0.4\% | \$27 |
| Career \& Technical Education (22) | \$148,765 | 4.2\% | \$261 | \$148,765 | 4.2\% | \$261 | \$23,902,066 | 12.6\% | \$801 |
| Accelerated Education ( 24,30 ) | \$382,244 | 10.8\% | \$672 | \$382, 244 | 10.7\% | \$672 | \$6,606,454 | 3.5\% | \$221 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$1,042 | 0.0\% | \$2 | \$2,628,887 | 1.4\% | \$88 |
| Regular Education (11) | \$2,215,775 | 62.8\% | \$3,894 | \$2,223,269 | 62.1\% | \$3,907 | \$123,291, 020 | 65.2\% | \$4,130 |
| Special Education (23) | \$623,068 | 17.7\% | \$1,095 | \$662,772 | 18.5\% | \$1, 165 | \$23,410,424 | 12.4\% | \$784 |
| Athletics/Related Activities (91) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| High School Allotment (31) | \$37,612 | 1.1\% | \$66 | \$37,612 | 1.1\% | \$66 | \$8,056,336 | 4.3\% | \$270 |
| Other ( $26,28,29$ ) | \$119,232 | 3.4\% | \$210 | \$119,232 | 3.3\% | \$210 | \$322,395 | 0.2\% | \$11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | District |  | State |  |  |
| Instructional Expenditure Ratio (11,12,13,31) |  |  |  |  | 64.1\% |  | 64.8\% |  |  |
| PROGRAM INFORMATION | $\mid$ Count $\underset{\text { Percent }}{ }$ |  |  | Campus Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program. |  |  |  | strict | Stat |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 10 | 1. $6 \%$ |  |  |  | 1.38 |  | 7.6\% | 16.3 |  |  |
| Career \& Technical Education | 397 | 64.4\% |  | 71.7 |  | 26.18 | 21.5 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 60 | 9.7\% |  | 8.8 |  | 7.3\% | 7.7 |  |  |
| Special Education | 54 | 8.8\% |  | 9.9 |  | 9.0\% | 8.6 |  |  |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career \& Technical Education | 2.8 | 6.1\% |  | 12.98 |  | 4.0\% | 4.1 |  |  |
| Compensatory Education | 7.0 | 15.2\% |  | 0.8 |  | 5.2\% | 2.9 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 3.8 | 8.3\% |  | 0.4 |  | 4.4\% | 1.9 |  |  |
| Regular Education | 24.8 | 54.0\% |  | 68.8 |  | $67.1 \%$ | 73.7 |  |  |
| Special Education | 6.3 | 13.6\% |  | 9.5 |  | 12.0\% | 8.9 |  |  |
| Other | 1.1 | 2. 3\% |  | 7.2 |  | $2.4 \%$ | 3.2 |  |  |

 Glossary for more details.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
' '*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



## 2011-2012 Hall Middle School



Weatherford ISD
1100 Longhorn Drive
Weatherford, Texas 76086
$817-598-2800$

## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: HALL MIDDLE
Campus \#: 184903041


TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 1 Total Students: 61 Grade Span: 07 School Type: Midal

| STUDENT INFORMATION | -------Campus--------\| |  |  | Campus Group | District |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students: | 612 | 100.0\% |  | 19,603 |  | 7,583 | 4,978 | 8,120 |
| Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | $0.4 \%$ |  | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 1.8\% |  | 4.5\% |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.3\% |  | 7.6\% |
| Grade 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 8.3\% |  | 7.9\% |
| Grade 2 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.3\% |  | 7.7\% |
| Grade 3 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.9\% |  | 7.6\% |
| Grade 4 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 6.9\% |  | 7.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 5.9\% |  | 8.0\% |  | 7.6\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 26.0\% |  | 7.3\% |  | 7.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 329 | 53.8\% |  | 34.1\% |  | 8.0\% |  | 7.4\% |
| Grade 8 | 283 | $46.2 \%$ |  | 34.0\% |  | 7.5\% |  | $7.2 \%$ |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 8.3\% |  | 7.9\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | $7.4 \%$ |  | 7.0\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 6.6\% |  | 6.5\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 6.8\% |  | 5.9\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: $\begin{aligned} & \text { African American } \\ & \text { Hispanic } \\ & \text { White } \\ & \text { American Indian } \\ & \text { Asian } \\ & \text { Pacific Islander } \\ & \text { Two or More Races }\end{aligned}$ | 15 | 2.5\% |  | $2.6 \%$ |  | $2.1 \%$ |  | 12.8\% |
|  | 121 | 19.8\% |  | 19.7\% |  | 21.6\% |  | 50.8\% |
|  | 452 | 73.9\% |  | 73.8\% |  | 72.8\% |  | 30.5\% |
|  | 6 | 1.0\% |  | 0.5\% |  | 0.8\% |  | $0.4 \%$ |
|  | 10 | 1.6\% |  | 1.1\% |  | 1.0\% |  | 3.6\% |
|  | 0 | 0.0\% |  | $0.1 \%$ |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.1\% |
|  | 8 | 1.3\% |  | 2.2\% |  | 1.6\% |  | 1.7\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 272 | 44.4\% |  | 36.8\% |  | 44.5\% |  | 60.4\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 340 | 55.6\% |  | 63.2\% |  | 55.5\% |  | 39.6\% |
| Limited English Proficient (LEP) | 28 | 4.6\% |  | 2.6\% |  | 7.7\% |  | 16.8\% |
| Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2010-11) | 19 | 3.0\% |  | 1.9\% |  | 1.7\% |  | 1.8\% |
|  | 192 | 31.4\% |  | 30.5\% |  | 32.0\% |  | 45.4\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { At-Risk } \\ & \text { Mobility (2010-11) } \end{aligned}$ | 88 | 13.8\% |  | 11.5\% |  | 16.0\% |  | 17.8\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 15.8 | n/a |  | 15.3 |  | 15.5 |  | 15.4 |
| Retention Rates by Grade: | \|-----Non-Special Education Rates-----| |-------Special Education Rates-------| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Campus |  |  |  | Campu |  |  |
|  | Campus | Group | District | State | Campus | Group | District | State |
|  | - | - | 2.6\% | 2.2\% | - | - | 2.8\% | 10.3\% |
| Kindergarten Grade 1 | - | - | 2.2\% | 4.7\% | - | - | $2.0 \%$ | 8.8\% |
| Grade 2 | - | - | 0.9\% | 2.8\% | - | - | 1.6\% | 4.0\% |
| Grade 3 | - | - | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | - | - | $2.0 \%$ | 1.7\% |
| Grade 4 | - | . | $0.2 \%$ | 1.0\% | - | - | 1.9\% | $0.9 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | - | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | - | 1.8\% | 3.2\% | 1.1\% |
| Grade 6 | - | $0.6 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | - | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Grade 7 | 1.3\% | $0.8 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | 1.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 1.2\% |
| Grade 8 | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 3.4\% | 1.8\% |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

 Academic Excellence Indicator System
## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION

(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

| Class Size Averages | by Grade and Subject: | Campus | Campus Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary: | Kindergarten | - | - | 18.0 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 1 | - | - | 19.6 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 2 | - | - | 20.6 | 19.3 |
|  | Grade 3 | - | - | 20.2 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 4 | - | - | 21.4 | 19.6 |
|  | Grade 5 | - | 24.7 | 24.3 | 21.8 |
|  | Grade 6 | - | 22.0 | 23.6 | 21.0 |
|  | Mixed Grades | - | 13.8 | - | 23.2 |
| Secondary: | English/Language Arts | 24.4 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 17.3 |
|  | Foreign Languages | 22.2 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 19.0 |
|  | Mathematics | 26.2 | 19.0 | 18.3 | 17.8 |
|  | Science | 24.4 | 21.1 | 18.7 | 19.0 |
|  | Social Studies | 25.3 | 21.8 | 19.3 | 19.5 |



TEXASEDUCATTON AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2011-12 Campus Profile
ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION



| $\$ 3,733,104$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 6,436$ | $\$ 4,1$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,548,580$ | $68.3 \%$ | $\$ 4,394$ | $\$ 2,6$ |
| $\$ 125,030$ | $3.3 \%$ | $\$ 216$ | $\$ 1$ |
| $\$ 62,763$ | $1.7 \%$ | $\$ 108$ | $\$$ |
| $\$ 337,200$ | $9.0 \%$ | $\$ 581$ | $\$ 3$ |
| $\$ 217,253$ | $5.8 \%$ | $\$ 375$ | $\$ 2$ |
| $\$ 442,278$ | $11.8 \%$ | $\$ 763$ | $\$ 6$ |

4, 100,248
$\$ 2,620,292$
$\$ 134,865$
$\$ 62,763$
$\$ 369,151$
$\$ 217,253$
$\$ 695,924$
$100.0 \%$
$63.9 \%$
$3.3 \%$
$1.5 \%$
$9.0 \%$
$5.3 \%$
$17.0 \%$
\$7,069

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 116 \\
\$ 83 \\
\$ 3
\end{array}
$$

1. $7 \%$$\$ 6,112$
$\$ 4,381$
$\$ 3,543,224$
$\$ 1,260,020$\$1,260, 020$\$ 9,102,755$
$\$ 6,059,865$\$13,158,253
$7.8 \%$
$5.2 \%$

| $\$ 103,365,344$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 5,401$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 771,875$ | $0.7 \%$ | $\$ 40$ |\$771,8750.7

\$578,002 0.6\%$\$ 30$
$\$ 30$

| $\$ 3,290,826$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 5,674$ | $\$ 3,362,538$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,521$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\$ 3$ | $\$ 1,521$ |
| -3385 | $-0.1 \%$ | $\$-6$ | -3385 |
| $\$ 247,771$ | $7.5 \%$ | $\$ 427$ | $\$ 247,771$ |


| $\$ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 4,042$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,240,202$ | $68.1 \%$ | $\$ 3,862$ | $\$ 2,253,538$ | Accelerated Education $(24,30)$ Gifted \& Talented Education (21) Regular Education (11) Athletics/Related Activities High School Allotment (31) Other $(26,28,29)$

\$2,240,2 \$804, 71
\$2,253,
\$859,

Grade Span: 07 - 08
School Type: Middle
By Function:
Instruction (11,95)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 116,975,713 \\
\$ 83,851,596
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
100.9 \\
71.7
\end{array}
$$

        Instructional-Related
        Schoolional Leadership (21)
        Support Services-Student (31, 32,33)
        Other Campus Costs \((35,36,51,52,53)\)
    By Program
Total Operating Expenditures
Bilingual/ESL Education (25)
Career \& Technical Education (22)
Other $(26,28,29)$

Instructional Expenditure Ratio (11, 12,13,31)
$\$ 3,387$
$\$ 1$
$\$ 1$
$\$ 0$
$\$ 0$
$\$ 0$
100.0
0.0
-0.1
7.4
0.
67.
25.
0.
0.
$\$ 636$
$\$ 375$
\$1,200
\$13,158,2
$3.0 \%$
$1.1 \%$
$7.8 \%$
$\$ 185$
$\$ 66$
$\$ 66$
$\$ 476$ $\$ 476$
$\$ 317$
$\$$ $\$ 688$

| $\$ 76,896,391$ | $74.4 \%$ | $\$ 4,018$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 16,351,235$ | $15.8 \%$ | $\$ 854$ |

$\qquad$
\$454, 354 $\qquad$

| PROGRAM INFORMATION | \|------Campus-------| |  | Campus Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 25 | 4.1\% | 2.5\% | 7. $6 \%$ | 16.3\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 136 | 22.2\% | 12.7\% | 26.1\% | 21.5\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 52 | 8.5\% | 9.7\% | 7.3\% | 7.7\% |
| Special Education | 58 | 9.5\% | 8.9\% | 9.0\% | 8.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.9\% | 5.3\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.7 | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% |
| Compensatory Education | 2.0 | 5.2\% | 2.0\% | 5.2\% | 2.9\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 7.2 | 18.6\% | 1.8\% | 4.4\% | 1.9\% |
| Regular Education | 22.7 | 58.8\% | $79.6 \%$ | 67.1\% | 73.7\% |
| Special Education | 6.0 | 15.6\% | 9.2\% | 12.0\% | 8.9\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 2.4\% | 3.2\% |

 Glossary for more details.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



## 2011-2012 <br> Tison Middle School



Weatherford ISD
1100 Longhorn Drive
Weatherford, Texas 76086
$817-598-2800$

## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: TISON MIDDLE
Campus \#: 184903042


TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 1 Total Students: 56 Srad Span: 07 School Type: Midal


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

 Academic Excellence Indicator System
## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION <br> (Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

| Class Size Averages | by Grade and Subject: | Campus | Campus Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary: | Kindergarten | - | - | 18.0 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 1 | - | - | 19.6 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 2 | - | - | 20.6 | 19.3 |
|  | Grade 3 | - | - | 20.2 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 4 | - | - | 21.4 | 19.6 |
|  | Grade 5 | - | 22.4 | 24.3 | 21.8 |
|  | Grade 6 | - | 21.4 | 23.6 | 21.0 |
|  | Mixed Grades | - | - | - | 23.2 |
| Secondary: | English/Language Arts | 22.2 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 17.3 |
|  | Foreign Languages | 20.3 | 21.9 | 21.3 | 19.0 |
|  | Mathematics | 20.8 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 17.8 |
|  | Science | 23.1 | 20.7 | 18.7 | 19.0 |
|  | Social Studies | 25.0 | 20.9 | 19.3 | 19.5 |



| District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD Campus Name: TISON MIDDLE Campus \#: 184903042 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { X A S } \\ & \text { cademic } \\ & 2011-1 \end{aligned}$ | U C A T cellence Campus Pr | O N A G E dicator Sys ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N C Y } \\ & \text { tem } \end{aligned}$ |  | Section II - Page 4 <br> Total Students: 560 <br> Grade Span: 07 - 08 <br> School Type: Middle |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (2010-11) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \|--_---_- } \\ & \text { General } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Per Student | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { Student } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | us Group Percent | Per Student |
| By Function: <br> Total Operating Expenditures | \$3,237,346 | 100.0\% | \$5,582 | \$3,563,442 | 100.0\% | \$6,144 | \$110,493,087 | 100.0\% | \$6,212 |
| Instruction (11,95) | \$2,165,042 | 66.9\% | \$3,733 | \$2,260,968 | 63.4\% | \$3,898 | \$78,565,150 | 71.1\% | \$4,417 |
| Instructional-Related Services (12,13) | \$115,864 | 3.6\% | \$200 | \$121, 964 | 3.4\% | \$210 | \$4,262,229 | 3.9\% | \$240 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) | \$42,337 | 1.3\% | \$73 | \$42,337 | 1.2\% | \$73 | \$1,073,773 | 1.0\% | \$60 |
| School Leadership (23) | \$298,300 | 9.2\% | \$514 | \$313,153 | 8.8\% | \$540 | \$8,791,077 | 8.0\% | \$494 |
| Support Services-Student (31,32,33) | \$242,539 | 7.5\% | \$418 | \$242,539 | 6.8\% | \$418 | \$5,637,872 | 5.1\% | \$317 |
| Other Campus Costs ( $35,36,51,52,53$ ) | \$373,264 | 11.5\% | \$644 | \$582,481 | 16.3\% | \$1,004 | \$12,162,986 | 11.0\% | \$684 |
| By Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$2,864,082 | 100.0\% | \$4,938 | \$2,960,008 | 100.0\% | \$5,103 | \$97,632,859 | 100.0\% | \$5,489 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$84,290 | 2.9\% | \$145 | \$84,290 | 2.8\% | \$145 | \$407,959 | $0.4 \%$ | \$23 |
| Career \& Technical Education (22) | -3766 | -0.1\% | \$-6 | -3766 | -0.1\% | \$-6 | \$904,886 | 0.9\% | \$51 |
| Accelerated Education ( 24,30 ) | \$96,550 | 3.4\% | \$166 | \$96,550 | 3.3\% | \$166 | \$5,966,883 | 6.1\% | \$335 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$2,885 | 0.1\% | \$5 | \$3,105,684 | 3.2\% | \$175 |
| Regular Education (11) | \$2,181,976 | 76.2\% | \$3,762 | \$2,198,622 | $74.3 \%$ | \$3,791 | \$72,695,143 | 74.5\% | \$4,087 |
| Special Education (23) | \$505,032 | 17.6\% | \$871 | \$581, 427 | 19.6\% | \$1,002 | \$14,205,524 | 14.5\% | \$799 |
| Athletics/Related Activities (91) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| High School Allotment (31) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$321, 722 | 0.3\% | \$18 |
| Other ( $26,28,29$ ) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$25,058 | 0.0\% | \$1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | District |  | State |  |  |
| Instructional Expenditure Ratio (11,12,13,31) |  |  |  |  | $64.1 \%$ |  | 64.8\% |  |  |
| PROGRAM INFORMATION | \|------Campus-------| |  |  | Campus |  | District |  |  |  |
|  | Count | Percent |  | Group |  |  | State |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: Bilingual/ESL Education | 26 |  |  | 1.9\% |  | 7.6\% | 16.3\% |  |  |
| Career \& Technical Education | 113 | 20.2\% |  | 9.7\% |  | 26.1\% | 21.5 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 60 | 10.7\% |  | 8.4\% |  | 7.3\% | 7.7 |  |  |
| Special Education | 43 | 7.7\% |  | 8.4\% |  | 9.0\% | 8.6\% |  |  |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career \& Technical Education | 1.1 | 3.1\% |  | 1.4\% |  | 4.0\% | 4.1 |  |  |
| Compensatory Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 2.2\% |  | 5.2\% | 2.9 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 7.2 | 19.9\% |  | 1.3\% |  | 4.4\% | 1.9 |  |  |
| Regular Education | 22.2 | $61.3 \%$ |  | 82.7\% |  | 67.1\% | 73.7\% |  |  |
| Special Education | 4.6 | 12.8\% |  | 7.7\% |  | 12.0\% | 8.9 |  |  |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 4.1\% |  | $2.4 \%$ | 3.2\% |  |  |

 Glossary for more details.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



# 2011-2012 Austin Elementary 



Weatherford ISD
1100 Longhorn Drive
Weatherford, Texas 76086
$817-598-2800$

## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: AUSTIN EL
Campus \#: 184903106


TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System

Section II - Page Total Students: Grade Span: KG - 06 School Type: Elementar

| STUDENT INFORMATION | --------Campus-------- \| |  | Campus Group |  | District |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students: | 549 | 100.0\% |  | 17,818 |  | 7,583 | 4,978 | 7,120 |  |
| Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% |  | $0.6 \%$ |  | 0.4\% |  | 0.3\% |  |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 2.6\% |  | 1.8\% |  | 4.5\% |  |
| Kindergarten | 73 | 13.3\% |  | 12.9\% |  | 7.3\% |  | 7.6\% |  |
| Grade 1 | 88 | 16.0\% |  | 13.9\% |  | 8.3\% |  | 7.9\% |  |
| Grade 2 | 91 | 16.6\% |  | 14.7\% |  | 7.3\% |  | 7.7\% |  |
| Grade 3 | 75 | 13.7\% |  | 16.9\% |  | 7.9\% |  | 7.6\% |  |
| Grade 4 | 68 | 12.4\% |  | 18.7\% |  | 6.9\% |  | 7.5\% |  |
| Grade 5 | 75 | 13.7\% |  | 18.3\% |  | 8.0\% |  | 7.6\% |  |
| Grade 6 | 79 | 14.4\% |  | 1.5\% |  | 7.3\% |  | 7.5\% |  |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 8.0\% |  | 7.4\% |  |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.5\% |  | 7.2\% |  |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 8.3\% |  | 7.9\% |  |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.4\% |  | 7.0\% |  |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 6.6\% |  | 6.5\% |  |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 6.8\% |  | 5.9\% |  |
| Ethnic Distribution: $\begin{aligned} & \text { African American } \\ & \text { Hispanic } \\ & \text { White } \\ & \text { American Indian } \\ & \text { Asian } \\ & \text { Pacific Islander } \\ & \text { Two or More Races }\end{aligned}$ | 12 | 2.2\% |  | 2.1\% |  | 2.1\% |  | 12.8\% |  |
|  | 53 | 9.7\% |  | 11.3\% |  | 21.6\% |  | 50.8\% |  |
|  | 451 | 82.1\% |  | 81.7\% |  | 72.8\% |  | 30.5\% |  |
|  | 6 | 1.1\% |  | 0.7\% |  | 0.8\% |  | 0.4\% |  |
|  | 13 | 2.4\% |  | 1.9\% |  | 1.0\% |  | 3. $6 \%$ |  |
|  | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.1\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.1\% |  |
|  | 14 | 2.6\% |  | 2.2\% |  | 1.6\% |  | 1.7\% |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 175 | 31.9\% |  | 25.7\% |  | 44.5\% |  | 60.4\% |  |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 374 | 68.1\% |  | 74.3\% |  | 55.5\% |  | 39.6\% |  |
| Limited English Proficient (LEP) | 24 | 4.4\% |  | 2.3\% |  | 7.7\% |  | 16.8\% |  |
| Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2010-11) | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.1\% |  | 1.7\% |  | 1.8\% |  |
| At-Risk | 157 | 28.6\% |  | 22.3\% |  | 32.0\% |  | 45.4\% |  |
| Mobility (2010-11)Number of Students per Teacher | 95 | 16.9\% |  | 11.2\% |  | 16.0\% |  | 17.8\% |  |
|  | 16.3 | n/a |  | 15.8 |  | 15.5 |  | 15.4 |  |
| Retention Rates by Grade: | \|-----Non-Special Education Rates-----| |-------Special Education Rates------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Campus |  |  |  | Campu |  |  |  |
|  | Campus | Group | District | State | Campus | Group | District |  | State |
| Kindergarten | 1.3\% | 3. $6 \%$ | 2.6\% | 2.2\% | $0.0 \%$ | 12.7\% | $2.8 \%$ |  | 0.3\% |
| Grade 1Grade 2 | 1.3\% | 2.1\% | 2.2\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | $2.0 \%$ |  | 8.8\% |
|  | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 1.6\% |  | 4.0\% |
| Grade 3 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% |  | 1.7\% |
| Grade 4 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% |  | 0.9\% |
| Grade 5 | 0.0\% | $0.9 \%$ | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 11.1\% | 1.3\% | 3.2\% |  | 1.1\% |
| Grade 6 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.0\% |
| Grade 6Grade 7Grade 8 | - | - | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | - | - | 1.6\% |  | 1.2\% |
|  | - | - | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | - | - | 3.4\% |  | 1.8\% |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION <br> (Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

| Class Size Averages | by Grade and Subject: | Campus | Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary: | Kindergarten | 17.8 | 19.4 | 18.0 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 1 | 17.4 | 19.4 | 19.6 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 2 | 21.5 | 20.0 | 20.6 | 19.3 |
|  | Grade 3 | 18.5 | 19.1 | 20.2 | 19.4 |
|  | Grade 4 | 22.7 | 19.8 | 21.4 | 19.6 |
|  | Grade 5 | 25.0 | 22.0 | 24.3 | 21.8 |
|  | Grade 6 | 26.0 | 18.1 | 23.6 | 21.0 |
|  | Mixed Grades | - | 22.1 | - | 23.2 |
| Secondary : | English/Language Arts | ? | 33.3 | 18.7 | 17.3 |
|  | Foreign Languages | - | - | 21.3 | 19.0 |
|  | Mathematics | ? | 32.5 | 18.3 | 17.8 |
|  | Science | - | - | 18.7 | 19.0 |
|  | Social Studies | - | - | 19.3 | 19.5 |



 Glossary for more details.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



## 2011-2012 <br> Crockett Elementary



Weatherford ISD
1100 Longhorn Drive
Weatherford, Texas 76086
$817-598-2800$

## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: CROCKETT EL
Campus \#: 184903102


TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page Total Students: 549


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 549
Grade Span: EE - 06
School Type: Elementary

## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION

(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject: | Elementary: | Kindergarten |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Grade 1 |
|  | Grade 2 |
|  | Grade 3 |
|  | Grade 4 |
|  | Grade 5 |
|  | Grade 6 |
|  | Mixed Grades |
|  |  |
| Secondary: | English/Language Arts |
|  | Foreign Languages |
|  | Mathematics |
|  | Science |
|  | Social Studies |

Campus
18.5
21.3
21.3
24.3
24.3
18.5
18.5
21.3
21.3
23.0
17.7
33.3
32.5
-

Social Studies

| 2011-12 Campus Profile | Grade Span: EE - 06 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | School Type: Elementary |

Campus
Group

19.4
19.5
19.8
19.7
20.2
21.3
19.2
24.1
15.0
-
12.5
12.5
6.3

| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 18.0 | 19.4 |
| 19.6 | 19.4 |
| 20.6 | 19.3 |
| 20.2 | 19.4 |
| 21.4 | 19.6 |
| 24.3 | 21.8 |
| 23.6 | 21.0 |
| - | 23.2 |
| 18.7 | 17.3 |
| 21.3 | 19.0 |
| 18.3 | 17.8 |
| 18.7 | 19.0 |
| 19.3 | 19.5 |



TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page Total Students: Grade Span: EE -- 06

School Type: Elementary

'@' Asian, Pacific Islander and Two or More Races are not available for indicators that use the former race/ethnicity definitions. See the Glossary for more details.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



## 2011-2012

Curtis Elementary

## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: CURTIS EL
Campus \#: 184903105

| District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD Campus Name: CURTIS EL Campus \#: 184903105 |  |  |  |  | EXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Performance |  |  |  |  |  | Section I - Page 1 <br> Total Students: 749 <br> Grade Span: KG - 06 <br> School Type: Elementary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \end{aligned}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| Attendance Rate 2010-11 | 95.7\% | 96.2\% | 96.6\% | 96.2\% | 96.9\% | 96.3\% | 96.2\% | * | 97.9\% | - | 96.3\% | 95.3\% | 95.8\% | 97.7\% |
| 2009-10 | 95.5\% | 95.3\% | 96.6\% | 95.9\% | 96.0\% | 96.0\% | 95.8\% | 95.0\% | 95.3\% | - | 96.0\% | 94.6\% | 95.5\% | 96.6\% |

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System

Section II - Page Total Students: Grade Span: KG - 06 School Type: Elementary

| STUDENT INFORMATION | --------Campus--------\| |  | Campus Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |  |
| Total Students: | 749 | 100.0\% | 17,223 | 7,583 | 4,978,120 |
| Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | $0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 1.8\% | 4.5\% |
| Kindergarten | 102 | 13.6\% | 13.5\% | 7.3\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 1 | 117 | 15.6\% | 14.1\% | 8.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Grade 2 | 88 | 11.7\% | 14.2\% | 7.3\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 3 | 110 | 14.7\% | 16.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 4 | 102 | 13.6\% | 18.0\% | $6.9 \%$ | 7.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 120 | 16.0\% | 17.1\% | 8.0\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 6 | 110 | 14.7\% | 2.5\% | 7.3\% | $7.5 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 8.0\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 7.5\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 7.0\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 5.9\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: $\begin{aligned} & \text { African American } \\ & \text { Hispanic } \\ & \text { White } \\ & \text { American Indian } \\ & \text { Asian } \\ & \text { Pacific Islander } \\ & \text { Two or More Races }\end{aligned}$ | 21 | 2.8\% | 3.5\% | 2.1\% | 12.8\% |
|  | 170 | 22.7\% | 21.5\% | 21.6\% | 50.8\% |
|  | 523 | 69.8\% | 70.1\% | $72.8 \%$ | 30.5\% |
|  | 7 | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | $0.4 \%$ |
|  | 12 | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 1.0\% | 3.6\% |
|  | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
|  | 16 | 2.1\% | 2.5\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 420 | 56.1\% | 40.7\% | 44.5\% | 60.4\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 329 | 43.9\% | 59.3\% | 55.5\% | 39.6\% |
| Limited English Proficient (LEP) | 39 | 5.2\% | 4.2\% | 7.7\% | 16.8\% |
| Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2010-11) | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.7\% | 1.8\% |
|  | 155 | 20.7\% | 26.7\% | 32.0\% | 45.4\% |
| Mobility (2010-11) | 141 | 19.7\% | 13.6\% | 16.0\% | 17.8\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 17.0 | n/a | 15.7 | 15.5 | 15.4 |
| Retention Rates by Grade: | \|-----Non-Special Education Rates-----| |-------Special Education Rates-------| |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Campus |  |  |  |
|  | Campus | Group District | State | Campus Group | District State |
| Kindergarten | 3.4\% | 2.5\% 2.6\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% 10.5\% | 2.8\% 10.3\% |
| Grade 1 | 2.5\% | $2.0 \%$ 2.2\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% 5.9\% | 2.0\% 8.8\% |
| Grade 2 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% 0.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% 3.7\% | 1.6\% 4.0\% |
| Grade 3 | 2.2\% | 0.6\% 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% 1.4\% | 2.0\% 1.7\% |
| Grade 4 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% 1.1\% | 1.9\% 0.9\% |
| Grade 5 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% 0.9\% | 3.2\% 1.1\% |
| Grade 6 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% 0.0\% | 0.0\% 1.0\% |
| Grade 7Grade 8 | - | 3.2\% 0.6\% | 1.0\% | - 0.0\% | 1.6\% $1.2 \%$ |
|  | - | 0.0\% 0.7\% | 1.1\% | - 0.0\% | 3.4\% $1.8 \%$ |

# TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY 

## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION <br> (Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject: | Elementary: | Kindergarten |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Grade 1 |
|  | Grade 2 |
|  | Grade 3 |
|  | Grade 4 |
|  | Grade 5 |
|  | Grade 6 |
|  | Mixed Grades |
| Secondary: | English/Language Arts |
|  | Foreign Languages |
|  | Mathematics |
|  | Science |
|  | Social Studies |

| Campus | Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 19.8 | 19.4 |
| 19.3 | 19.3 |
| 21.8 | 18.9 |
| 21.0 | 19.3 |
| 20.2 | 19.9 |
| 23.0 | 21.5 |
| 26.5 | 16.1 |
| - | 12.1 |
| 32.0 | 22.0 |
| - | 12.4 |
| - | 11.5 |
| - | 13.8 |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 18.0 | 19.4 |
| 19.6 | 19.4 |
| 20.6 | 19.3 |
| 20.2 | 19.4 |
| 21.4 | 19.6 |
| 24.3 | 21.8 |
| 23.6 | 21.0 |
| - |  |
| 18.7 | 17.3 |
| 21.3 | 19.0 |
| 18.3 | 17.8 |
| 18.7 | 19.0 |
| 19.3 | 19.5 |



TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2011-12 Campus Profile

ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (2010-11)

By Function:
Total Operating Expenditures Instruction (11,95) Instructional-Related Services (12,13) Instructional Leadership (21) School Leadership (23) Support Services-Student (31,32,33) Other Campus Costs $(35,36,51,52,53)$

By Program:
Total Operating Expenditures Bilingual/ESL Education (25) Career \& Technical Education
Accelerated Education $(24,30)$ Gifted \& Talented Education (21) Regular Education (11) Special Education (23) Athletics/Related Activities (91) High School Allotment (31) Other $(26,28,29)$
 $\begin{array}{llccc}\text { General } \\ \text { Fund } & & & & \\ \text { Percent } & \text { Per } & \text { All } & \text { Percent } & \text { Per } \\ \text { Student } & \text { Funds } & & \text { Student }\end{array}$
 \$3, 483,282
\$2,756,159 100.0\%
$2,756,159$
$\$ 117,548$ 117,548
$\$ 48,509$ $\$ 48,509$
$\$ 210,530$ $\$ 210,530$
$\$ 167,314$ $\$ 167,314$
$\$ 183,222$

|  | 1.4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 183,222$ | 6.0 |
|  | 4.8 |


| $\$ 3,300,060$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,872$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 96,935$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 76,084$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 2,648,692$ | $80.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 475,477$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\$ 4,694 & \$ \\
\$ 3,715 & \$
\end{array}
$$

$\$ 3,715$
$\$ 158$
$\$ 65$
\$158
$\$ 65$
$\$ 284$

| 4,067 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 1$ |
| $\$ 1$ |  |
| $\$ 247$ | $\$ 2$ |
|  | $\$ 1$ |
|  | $\$ 437$ |

$3,067,990$
$\$ 127,486$
$\$ 48,509$
$\$ 252,320$
$\$ 167,314$
$\$ 434,244$
100.0
74.7
3.1
1.2
6.2
4.1
10.7
\$3,5
$\$ 4,448$
$\$ 4$
$\$ 0$
$\$ 131$
$\$ 103$
$\$ 3,570$
$\$ 641$
$\$ 0$
$\$ 0$
$\$ 0$
$\$ 35$
$\$ 2$
$\$ 257$
$\$ 4$
\$76, 084 2,657,140 657,140
$\$ 490,356$
356
$\$ 0$
$\$ 0$
$\$ 5$
$\$ 4$


Instructional Expenditure Ratio (11,12,13,31)
64.1\%
64.8\%

| PROGRAM INFORMATION | \|------Campus-------| |  | Campus Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 38 | 5.1\% | 4.1\% | 7. $6 \%$ | 16.3\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.1\% | 21.5\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 37 | 4.9\% | 6.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.7\% |
| Special Education | 61 | 8.1\% | 8.6\% | 9.0\% | 8.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.5 | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 4.9\% | 5. 3\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% |
| Compensatory Education | 0.3 | 0.7\% | 4.1\% | 5.2\% | 2.9\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.4 | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 4.4\% | 1.9\% |
| Regular Education | 40.1 | 91.1\% | 86.0\% | 67.1\% | 73.7\% |
| Special Education | 2.7 | 6.1\% | 8.1\% | 12.0\% | 8.9\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.2\% |

 Glossary for more details.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## Texas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



## 2011-2012 Ikard Elementary



Weatherford ISD
1100 Longhorn Drive
Weatherford, Texas 76086
817-598-2800

## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: IKARD EL
Campus \#: 184903110


TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page Total Students: Grade Span: EE - 06 School Type: Elementar


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

 Academic Excellence Indicator SystemSection II - Page

## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION <br> (Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject: | Elementary: | Kindergarten |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Grade 1 |
|  | Grade 2 |
|  | Grade 3 |
|  | Grade 4 |
|  | Grade 5 |
|  | Grade 6 |
|  | Mixed Grades |
| Secondary: | English/Language Arts |
|  | Foreign Languages |
|  | Mathematics |
|  | Science |
|  | Social Studies |

| Campus | Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 18.5 | 19.1 |
| 20.3 | 18.9 |
| 18.0 | 18.6 |
| 24.0 | 18.8 |
| 23.7 | 19.0 |
| 24.0 | 20.7 |
| 25.7 | 20.8 |
| - |  |
| $?$ | 14.6 |
| - | 10.6 |
| $?$ | 11.5 |
| - | 17.3 |
| - | 13.8 |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 18.0 | 19.4 |
| 19.6 | 19.4 |
| 20.6 | 19.3 |
| 20.2 | 19.4 |
| 21.4 | 19.6 |
| 24.3 | 21.8 |
| 23.6 | 21.0 |
| - | 23.2 |
| 18.7 | 17.3 |
| 21.3 | 19.0 |
| 18.3 | 17.8 |
| 18.7 | 19.0 |
| 19.3 | 19.5 |



 Glossary for more details.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
' '*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



## 2011-2012 <br> Martin Elementary



Weatherford ISD<br>1100 Longhorn Drive<br>Weatherford, Texas 76086<br>817-598-2800

## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: MARTIN EL
Campus \#: 184903108

| District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD Campus Name: MARTIN EL Campus \#: 184903108 |  |  |  |  | EXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Performance |  |  |  |  |  | Section I - Page 1 <br> Total Students: 528 <br> Grade Span: KG - 06 <br> School Type: Elementary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \end{aligned}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| Attendance Rate 2010-11 | 95.7\% | 96.2\% | 96.7\% | 96.5\% | 96.3\% | 96.3\% | 96.5\% | * | * | - | 97.4\% | 96.4\% | 95.4\% | 97.3\% |
| 2009-10 | 95.5\% | 95.3\% | 96.5\% | 96.6\% | 98.5\% | 96.2\% | 96.6\% | * | * | - | * | 96.8\% | 96.3\% | 96.1\% |

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 1 Total Students: 528 Grade Span: KG - 06 School Type: Elementary


# TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY 

 Academic Excellence Indicator System
## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION

(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject: | Elementary: | Kindergarten |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Grade 1 |
|  | Grade 2 |
|  | Grade 3 |
|  | Grade 4 |
|  | Grade 5 |
|  | Grade 6 |
|  | Mixed Grades |
| Secondary: | English/Language Arts |
|  | Foreign Languages |
|  | Mathematics |
|  | Science |
|  | Social Studies |

| Campus | Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 19.0 | 18.1 |
| 21.5 | 19.0 |
| 18.7 | 18.1 |
| 19.8 | 18.8 |
| 20.7 | 20.9 |
| 27.7 | 18.7 |
| 24.3 | 21.1 |
| - | 9.1 |
| 26.8 | - |
| - | 8.4 |
| - | 9.6 |
| - | 9.6 |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 18.0 | 19.4 |
| 19.6 | 19.4 |
| 20.6 | 19.3 |
| 20.2 | 19.4 |
| 21.4 | 19.6 |
| 24.3 | 21.8 |
| 23.6 | 21.0 |
| - |  |
| 18.7 | 17.3 |
| 21.3 | 19.0 |
| 18.3 | 17.8 |
| 18.7 | 19.0 |
| 19.3 | 19.5 |



 Glossary for more details.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



# 2011-2012 Seguin Elementary 



Weatherford ISD
1100 Longhorn Drive
Weatherford, Texas 76086
$817-598-2800$

## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: SEGUIN EL
Campus \#: 184903109


TEXAS EDUCATTON AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System

Section II - Page Total Students: 562 Grade Span: PK - 06 School Type: Elementary

| STUDENT INFORMATION | --------Campus--------\| |  | Campus Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |  |
| Total Students: | 562 | 100.0\% | 19,929 | 7,583 | 4,978,120 |
| Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 36 | 6.4\% | 6.5\% | 1.8\% | 4.5\% |
| Kindergarten | 58 | 10.3\% | 13.5\% | 7.3\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 1 | 78 | 13.9\% | 13.7\% | 8.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Grade 2 | 79 | 14.1\% | 13.5\% | 7.3\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 3 | 84 | 14.9\% | 15.1\% | 7.9\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 4 | 67 | 11.9\% | 17.9\% | 6.9\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 87 | 15.5\% | 16.2\% | 8.0\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 6 | 73 | 13.0\% | 2.9\% | 7.3\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | $0.1 \%$ | 8.0\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | $0.1 \%$ | 7.5\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 7.0\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 5.9\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: African American | 4 | 0.7\% | 7.9\% | 2.1\% | 12.8\% |
| Hispanic | 229 | 40.7\% | 44.1\% | 21.6\% | 50.8\% |
| White | 320 | 56.9\% | 42.7\% | 72.8\% | 30.5\% |
| American Indian | 3 | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | $0.4 \%$ |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.0\% | 3.6\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | $0.1 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | 6 | 1.1\% | 2.2\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 381 | 67.8\% | 67.8\% | 44.5\% | 60.4\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 181 | 32.2\% | 32.2\% | 55.5\% | 39.6\% |
| Limited English Proficient (LEP) | 146 | 26.0\% | 19.0\% | 7.7\% | 16.8\% |
| Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2010-11) | 2 | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 1.8\% |
| At-Risk | 286 | 50.9\% | 45.9\% | 32.0\% | 45.4\% |
| Mobility (2010-11) | 108 | 20.6\% | 17.9\% | 16.0\% | 17.8\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 13.9 | n/a | 15.3 | 15.5 | 15.4 |
| Retention Rates by Grade: | \|-----Non-Special Education Rates-----| |-------Special Education Rates-------| |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Campus |  |  |  |
|  | Campus | Group District | State | Campus Group | District State |
| Kindergarten | 2.5\% | 2.9\% 2.6\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% 16.2\% | 2.8\% 10.3\% |
|  | 1.3\% | 5.6\% 2.2\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% 10.3\% | 2.0\% 8.8\% |
| Grade 2 | 1.4\% | 3.1\% 0.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% 3.6\% | 1.6\% 4.0\% |
| Grade 3 | 0.0\% | 1.5\% 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% 2.4\% | 2.0\% 1.7\% |
| Grade 4 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% 1.0\% | 1.9\% 0.9\% |
| Grade 5 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% 1.6\% | 3.2\% 1.1\% |
| Grade 6 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% 0.0\% | 0.0\% 1.0\% |
| Grade 7 | - | 0.0\% 0.6\% | 1.0\% | - 0.0\% | 1.6\% $1.2 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | - | 0.0\% 0.7\% | 1.1\% | - 0.0\% | 3.4\% $1.8 \%$ |

# TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY 

 Academic Excellence Indicator System
## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION <br> (Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

| Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject: | Campus |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Elementary: Kindergarten | 13.3 |  |
|  | Grade 1 | 18.7 |
|  | Grade 2 | 19.7 |
|  | Grade 3 | 20.0 |
|  | Grade 4 | 18.0 |
|  | Grade 5 | 23.7 |
|  | Grade 6 | 17.0 |
|  | Mixed Grades | - |
| Secondary: | English/Language Arts | 17.0 |
|  | Foreign Languages | $-\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ |
|  | Mathematics | - |

Science
Social Studies
Campus
Group

19.3
18.1
18.9
19.6
19.7
22.2
20.0
29.5

15.0
13.8
14.3
15.0
15.0

| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 18.0 | 19.4 |
| 19.6 | 19.4 |
| 20.6 | 19.3 |
| 20.2 | 19.4 |
| 21.4 | 19.6 |
| 24.3 | 21.8 |
| 23.6 | 21.0 |
| - |  |
| 18.7 | 17.3 |
| 21.3 | 19.0 |
| 18.3 | 17.8 |
| 18.7 | 19.0 |
| 19.3 | 19.5 |



TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2011-12 Campus Profile


| $\$ 3,203,771$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 5,505$ | $\$ 3,73$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,475,800$ | $77.3 \%$ | $\$ 4,254$ | $\$ 2,76$ |
| $\$ 98,901$ | $3.1 \%$ | $\$ 170$ | $\$ 1$ |
| $\$ 61,981$ | $1.9 \%$ | $\$ 106$ | $\$ 61$ |
| $\$ 213,734$ | $6.7 \%$ | $\$ 367$ | $\$ 2$ |
| $\$ 174,511$ | $5.4 \%$ | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 174$ |
| $\$ 178,844$ | $5.6 \%$ | $\$ 307$ | $\$ 4$ |


| $\$ 3,735,573$ | 100 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,765,554$ | 74 |
| $\$ 108,815$ |  |
| $\$ 61,981$ |  |
| $\$ 220,599$ |  |
| $\$ 174,511$ |  |
| $\$ 404,113$ |  |
|  |  |

$100.0 \%$
$74.0 \%$
$2.9 \%$
$1.7 \%$
$5.9 \%$
$4.7 \%$
$10.8 \%$
$\$ 6,419$
$\$ 4752$

$$
\$ 12
$$

Section II - Page Total Students:

4
562
Grade Span: PK - 0
School Type: Elementary

By Function:
Total Operating Expenditures Instruction (11,95) Instructional-Related Services $(12,13)$ Instructional Leadership (21) School Leadership (23) Other Campus Ces-Student ( $31,32,33$ )

Program:
Total Operating Expenditures Bilingual/ESL Education (25) Accelerated Education $(24,30)$ Gifted \& Talented Education (21) Regular Education (11) Special Education (23) Athletics/Related Activities (91) High School Allotment (31) Other $(26,28,29)$



| $\$ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\$ 756$ | $\$ 441,113$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 145,405$ | $4.8 \%$ | $\$ 250$ | $\$ 371,65$ |


| $\$ 145,405$ | $4.8 \%$ | $\$ 250$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 34,797$ | $1.2 \%$ | $\$ 60$ |


| $\$ 145,405$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 34,797$ | $1.2 \%$ |

$\$ 371,650$
$\$ 34,797$
$13.3 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$11.2 \%$
1.0
55.5
18.9
0.0
0.0
-
\$5,
$\$ 758$
$\$ 0$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 110,071,311 \\
\$ 6,449,309
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 110, \\
\$ 6,
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
100.0 \% \\
5.9 \%
\end{array}
$$

$\$ 5,525$
$\$ 32$
$\$ 6,449,309$
$\$ 60,722$
$\qquad$

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\$ 15,417,034 & 14.0 \% \\
\$ 1,477,015 & 1.3 \%
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\$ 1,477,015 & 1.3 \% \\
\$ 71,429,035 & 64.9 \% \\
\$ 15,735781 & 12.8 \%
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\$ 71,429,035 & 64.9 \% \\
\$ 15,235,781 & 13.8 \% \\
\$ 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$

$\$ 774$
$\$ 74$
$\$ 3,585$
$\$ 765$$\$ 3,585$
$\$ 765$
$\$ 80$ $\$ 0$
$\$ 0$
$\$ 0$
\$2,000 0.0\%

Instructional Expenditure Ratio (11,12,13,31)

District
State
$64.8 \%$

| PROGRAM INFORMATION | \|------Campus-------| |  | Campus Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent |  |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 149 | 26.5\% | 19.1\% | 7. $6 \%$ | 16.3\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.1\% | 21.5\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 30 | 5.3\% | 4.9\% | 7.3\% | 7.7\% |
| Special Education | 43 | 7.7\% | 8.6\% | 9.0\% | 8.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : 70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 7.0 | 17.4\% | 4.5\% | 4.9\% | 5. 3\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% |
| Compensatory Education | 3.5 | 8.7\% | $7.2 \%$ | 5.2\% | 2.9\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.5 | 1.2\% | $0.5 \%$ | 4.4\% | 1.9\% |
| Regular Education | 24.6 | 60.9\% | 79.7\% | 67.1\% | 73.7\% |
| Special Education | 4.8 | 11.8\% | 8.1\% | 12.0\% | 8.9\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.2\% |

 Glossary for more details.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## TeXas Education Agency

Academic Excellence

# Indicator System 



## 2011-2012 <br> Wright Elementary



Weatherford ISD
1100 Longhorn Drive
Weatherford, Texas 76086
817-598-2800

## 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD
Campus Name: WRIGHT EL
Campus \#: 184903103

| District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD Campus Name: WRIGHT EL Campus \#: 184903103 |  |  |  |  | EXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Performance |  |  |  |  |  | Section I - Page 1 <br> Total Students: 623 <br> Grade Span: EE - 06 <br> School Type: Elementary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \end{aligned}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| Attendance Rate 2010-11 | 95.7\% | 96.2\% | 96.5\% | 96.4\% | * | 97.0\% | 96.2\% | 95.7\% | * | - | * | 96.0\% | 96.3\% | 97.7\% |
| 2009-10 | 95.5\% | 95.3\% | 96.3\% | 95.9\% | 96.4\% | 95.9\% | 95.9\% | * | * | - | * | 95.4\% | 95.5\% | 96.4\% |

TEXASEDUCATTON AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2011-12 Campus Profile

Section II - Page Total Students: Grade Span: EE -


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 623
Grade Span: EE- 06
School Type: Elementary

## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION

(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject: | Elementary: | Kindergarten |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Grade 1 |
|  | Grade 2 |
|  | Grade 3 |
|  | Grade 4 |
|  | Grade 5 |
|  | Grade 6 |
|  | Mixed Grades |
|  |  |
| Secondary: | English/Language Arts |
|  | Foreign Languages |
|  | Mathematics |
|  | Science |
|  | Social Studies |

Campus
17.7
19.3
19.3
20.7
20.3
24.0 24.3
27.3
11.0
11.5
-

| Campus <br> Group | District |
| :---: | :---: |
| 19.3 | 18.0 |
| 19.3 | 19.6 |
| 19.1 | 20.6 |
| 20.0 | 20.2 |
| 19.5 | 21.4 |
| 21.6 | 24.3 |
| 22.7 | 23.6 |
| 18.8 | - |
| $?$ | 18.7 |
| - | 21.3 |
| $?$ | 18.3 |
| - | 18.7 |
| - | 19.3 |

State
19.4
19.4
19.3
19.4
19.6
21.8
21.0
23.2

17.3
19.0
17.8
19.0
19.5


| District Name: WEATHERFORD ISD Campus Name: WRIGHT EL Campus \#: 184903103 | TE | $\begin{array}{llll} X A & \text { A } & \text { E } \\ \text { cademic } \\ & 2011-1 \end{array}$ | U C A T cellence Campus Pr | O N A G E dicator Sys ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N C Y } \\ & \text { tem } \end{aligned}$ |  | Section II - Page 4 <br> Total Students: 623 <br> Grade Span: EE - 06 <br> School Type: Elementary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (2010-11) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \|--_---_ } \\ & \text { General } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Per Student | All Funds | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { Student } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | us Group Percent | Per Student |
| By Function: <br> Total Operating Expenditures | \$3,331,395 | 100.0\% | \$4,950 | \$3,885,008 | 100.0\% | \$5,773 | \$117,821,898 | 100.0\% | \$6,076 |
| Instruction (11,95) | \$2,625,969 | 78.8\% | \$3,902 | \$2,904,101 | 74.8\% | \$4,315 | \$90,048,537 | 76.4\% | \$4,644 |
| Instructional-Related Services (12,13) | \$122,531 | 3.7\% | \$182 | \$149,736 | 3.9\% | \$222 | \$4,240,873 | 3.6\% | \$219 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) | \$67,105 | 2.0\% | \$100 | \$67,105 | 1.7\% | \$100 | \$1,352, 017 | 1.1\% | \$70 |
| School Leadership (23) | \$203,082 | 6.1\% | \$302 | \$211,792 | 5.5\% | \$315 | \$7,827,016 | 6.6\% | \$404 |
| Support Services-Student (31,32,33) | \$156,641 | 4.7\% | \$233 | \$179,769 | 4.6\% | \$267 | \$6,218,373 | 5.3\% | \$321 |
| Other Campus Costs ( $35,36,51,52,53$ ) | \$156,067 | 4.7\% | \$232 | \$372,505 | 9.6\% | \$553 | \$8,135, 082 | $6.9 \%$ | \$420 |
| By Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$3,175,328 | 100.0\% | \$4,718 | \$3,476,961 | 100.0\% | \$5,166 | \$109,038,738 | 100.0\% | \$5,623 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$661,339 | 20.8\% | \$983 | \$662,707 | 19.1\% | \$985 | \$2,625,705 | 2.4\% | \$135 |
| Career \& Technical Education (22) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$458 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Accelerated Education ( 24,30 ) | \$185, 126 | 5.8\% | \$275 | \$434,595 | 12.5\% | \$646 | \$12,705,546 | 11.7\% | \$655 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$985, 464 | 0.9\% | \$51 |
| Regular Education (11) | \$1,760,902 | 55.5\% | \$2,616 | \$1,763, 022 | 50.7\% | \$2,620 | \$74,502,862 | 68.3\% | \$3,842 |
| Special Education (23) | \$567,961 | 17.9\% | \$844 | \$616, 637 | 17.7\% | \$916 | \$18,194,173 | 16.7\% | \$938 |
| Athletics/Related Activities (91) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| High School Allotment (31) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$1 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Other ( $26,28,29$ ) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$24,529 | 0.0\% | \$1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | District |  | State |  |  |
| Instructional Expenditure Ratio (11,12,13,31) |  |  |  |  | 64.1\% |  | 64.8\% |  |  |
| PROGRAM INFORMATION | \|-------Campus------- | |  |  | Campus |  | District |  |  |  |
|  | Count | Percent |  | Grou |  |  | State |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: Bilingual/ESL Education | 141 |  |  | 10.7\% |  | 7.6\% | 16.3\% |  |  |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 26.1\% | 21.5 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 22 | 3.5\% |  | 4.3\% |  | $7.3 \%$ | 7.7 |  |  |
| Special Education | 68 | 10.9\% |  | 9.3\% |  | 9.0\% | 8.6\% |  |  |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 4.0\% | 4.1 |  |  |
| Compensatory Education | 4.6 | 10.8\% |  | 6.9\% |  | 5.2\% | 2.9 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.4 | 0.9\% |  | 0.8\% |  | 4.4\% | 1.9 |  |  |
| Regular Education | 23.6 | 55.7\% |  | 78.3\% |  | 67.1\% | 73.7 |  |  |
| Special Education | 5.8 | $13.6 \%$$0.0 \%$ |  | 9.7\%$0.0 \%$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12.0 \% \\ 2.4 \% \end{array}$ | $8.9 \%$ |  |  |
| Other | 0.0 |  |  | $3.2 \%$ |  |  |

 Glossary for more details.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

# Weatherford Independent School District 

## Strategic Plan 2008-2013

Weatherford Independent School District Strategic Plan 2008-2013

## WISD MVV

Strategic Goals
Motto Your Child:
Our Mission

Mission
Statement

1. Focus on

Student
Success
The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

Vision Statement Weatherford ISD will be the worldclass district of choice.

## Values

- We value and
respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make datadriven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.

2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

- Attain the highest level of achievement as defined by the State Accountability System.
- Meet Adequate Yearly Progress as defined by the USDE
- Increase student participation in school activities and community service
- Encourage and prepare students for post-secondary success in an
ever-changing world
- Enhance positive relationships with students and families
- Enhance business and community partnerships and support
- Develop and enhance welldefined processes that are documented in all areas
- Ensure effectiveness and efficiency through technology
- Become the district of choice for highly qualified and effective employees
- Provide professional development that adds value to the district
- Provide adequate financial resources to accomplish the Mission and achieve the Vision of the District
- Maintain adequate fiscal reserves
- Practice sound fiscal management


## Students

Employees


Mission

Weatherford ISD Planning Processes


## Weatherford Independent School District

## Campus Improvement Plans

## - Plans on a Page -

## District Mission Statement

The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## Campus Mission Statement

The mission of Austin Elementary is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## District Motto

Your Child: Our Mission

## Vision Statement

WISD will be the world-class district of choice.

Campus Vision Statement
Austin Elementary will be the world-class school of choice.

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Campus Operational Goals
(Related to District Goals 2-5)

1. Increase student engagement in $1^{\text {st }}$ teach for all students.
2. Increase student fluency and comprehension of all students in reading and math.
3. Provide a safe learning environment.

# Stephen F. Austin Elementary School 

Campus Goals and Strategies
2012-2013

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Goal \#1 Increase student engagement in $1^{\text {st }}$ teach for all students.
1.1. Implement Thinking Maps in the classrooms
1.2. Increase Writing across the curriculum
1.3. Increase technology use by students and teachers
1.4. Utilize the 5 E-instructional model

Goal \#2 Increase student fluency and comprehension of all students in reading and math.
2.1 Implement individual plans for students not on grade level
2.2 Implement Open Court for K-2

Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 Provide a safe learning environment.
1.1. Increase student satisfaction and feeling of safety
1.2. Increase positive student/parent communication

## District Mission Statement

The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## Campus Mission Statement

The mission of the Crockett Elementary is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## District Motto

Your Child: Our Mission

## Vision Statement

WISD will be the world-class district of choice.

## Campus Motto

## Your Child: Our Mission

## Campus Vision Statement

Crockett Elementary will be the world-class campus of choice.

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.
- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

1. Achieve academic excellence for all students
2. Increase the number of students on grade level in reading and math for students in K-2
3. Increase and improve parent involvement
4. Create a safe, positive, supportive environment that will foster student success

# Crockett Elementary School 

## Campus Goals and Strategies

2012-2013

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Goal \#1 Achievement of academic success for all students
1.1. Enhance student fluency rates in reading and math
1.2. Analyze student data with vertical teams
1.3. Design enrichment activities for K-6

Goal \#2 Increase the number of students on grade level in reading and math for students in K-2
2.1. Use assessment data to guide instruction

## Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 Increase and improve parent Involvement
1.1. Analyze data from Parent surveys

Goal \#2 Create a safe, positive, supportive environment that will foster student success
2.1. Maintain a bully-free campus environment
2.2. Monitor daily student attendance rate

## District Mission Statement

The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

Campus Mission Statement
The mission of Curtis Elementary is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## District Motto

Your Child: Our Mission
Vision Statement

WISD will be the world-class district of choice.

Campus Motto
A Commitment to Excellence

## Campus Vision Statement

Curtis Elementary will be the world-class school of choice.

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Campus Operational Goals
(Related to District Goals 2-5)

1. Increase student success.
2. Provide a safe, secure learning environment for all students.

# Curtis Elementary School 

## Campus Goals and Strategies

2012-2013

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related-to District Goal 1)

Goal \#1 Increase student success.
1.1 Increase critical thinking skills through higher level questioning.
1.2 Increase student engagement.

## Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 Provide a safe, secure, learning environment for all students.
1.1 Refine bullying prevention program.
1.2 Develop playground safety plan.

## District Mission Statement

The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## Campus Mission Statement

The mission of Ikard Elementary is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## District Motto

Your Child: Our Mission

Campus Motto
Expect Excellence

## Campus Vision Statement

Educating today's student for tomorrow's world.

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 Increase academic performance in all subjects across all demographic areas

Goal \#2 Increase commended scores in all subject areas

Goal \#1 Provide opportunities for student, parent and community involvement

Goal \#2 Provide a safe and effective school environment

Goal \#3 Improve campus processes

# Bose Ikard Elementary School 

## Campus Goals and Strategies

2012-2013

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Goal \#1 Increase academic performance in all subjects across all demographic areas.
1.1. Implement Campus Academic Leadership Team
1.2. Refine the Rtl process

## Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 Provide opportunities for student, parent and community involvement.
1.1. Increase parental involvement
1.2. Increase mentor participation

Goal \#2 Provide a safe and effective school environment
2.1. Provide ongoing opportunities to educate students, parents, and staff on bullying, harassment, and tolerance.
2.2. Develop strategies to increase student and staff attendance

## District Mission Statement

Campus Mission Statement
The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

The mission of Mary Martin Elementary School is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## District Motto

Your Child: Our Mission
Vision Statement

WISD will be the world-class district of choice.

## Campus Motto

Making a Difference
Campus Vision Statement
Mary Martin Elementary School will be a worldclass school of choice.

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Campus Operational Goals
(Related to District Goals 2-5)

1. Increase academic achievement in reading, writing, math, science, and social studies for all students
2. Provide a safe campus environment.

# Mary Martin Elementary School 

Campus Goals and Strategies
2012-2013

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Goal \#1 Increase academic achievement in reading, writing, math, science, and social studies for all students
1.1. Continue implementation of a campus intervention system with intervention options and a progress monitoring schedule.
1.2. Close the achievement gap between all students and sub-populations as measured by local and state assessments.

## Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

## Goal \#1 Provide a safe campus environment

1.1. Continue implementation of Love and Logic for campus discipline.
1.2. Provide whole class, individual and small group counseling lessons.
1.3. Implement a system to reduce bullying.

## District Mission Statement

Campus Mission Statement
The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

District Motto
Your Child: Our Mission Vision Statement

WISD will be the world-class district of choice.

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Campus Operational Goals
(Related to District Goals 2-5)

1. Increase academic achievement in core subjects for all student groups.

The mission of Seguin Elementary School is to achieve excellence by working together to be wise and responsible learners.

## Campus Motto

WE are a family. WE are a team. WE ARE SEGUIN!

Campus Vision Statement

# Juan Seguin Elementary School 

## Campus Goals and Strategies

2012-2013

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Goal \#1 Increase academic achievement in core subjects for all student groups.
1.1. Implement The Fundamental Five as a method of classroom instruction to increase academic achievement for all student groups
1.2. Further implement The Daily Five as a management tool for ELA across grade levels as appropriate
1.3. Implement a campus wide vocabulary development system to increase academic achievement for all students

## Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 Provide a safe campus environment.
1.1. Continue to work on campus wide procedures and expectations.

# Bill Wright Elementary <br> Plan on a Page <br> 2012-2013 

## District Mission Statement

The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## Campus Mission Statement

The mission of Bill Wright Elementary School is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community

## District Motto

Your Child: Our Mission
Vision Statement
WISD will be the world-class district of choice.

## Campus Motto

Don't just dream of winning; train for it!

## Campus Vision Statement

Bill Wright Elementary School will be the worldclass campus of choice.

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Campus Operational Goals
(Related to District Goals 2-5)

1. Increase academic achievement in reading, writing, math, science, and social studies for all students
2. Close the achievement gap between all students and sub-populations as measured by local and state assessments.

# Wright Elementary School 

Campus Goals and Strategies
2012-2013

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

## Goal \#1 Increase academic achievement in reading, writing, math, science, and social studies for all students

1.1. Develop problem-solving and critical thinking skills among all students with an emphasis on those with special needs
1.2. Fully implement Fundamental 5 instructional strategies in all classrooms

## Goal \#2 Close the achievement gap between all students and sub-populations as measured by local and state assessments.

2.1 Expand the campus Response to Intervention system

## Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 Provide a safe campus environment
1.1. Increase parental involvement
1.2. Develop strategies to improve student attendance
1.3. Implement a system to reduce bullying

## District Mission Statement

The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## Campus Mission Statement

The mission of Hall Middle School is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to be successful in high school and beyond.

## Campus Motto

Mission Excellence

## Campus Vision Statement

HMS will be an exemplary middle school of choice.

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.
- We promote life-long learning and data-driven decisions as we achieve success for ALL students.
- We model and practice ethical behavior and respect for students, staff, parents and our community.
- We utilize positive recognition and promote character education.
- We develop and encourage meaningful relationships for students, staff, parents and our community.
- As instructional experts, we demonstrate visionary leadership.


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals

 (Related to District Goal 1)1. Hall Middle School will increase the academic achievement of all students in math and science to become an Exemplary campus.
2. Hall Middle School will close the achievement gaps between student groups to become an Exemplary campus.

Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

1. Hall Middle school will increase the attendance rate of all students.
2. Hall Middle School staff and students will maintain an equitable, safe and orderly learning environment.
3. Hall Middle School will enhance communication and relationships with all stakeholders.

# Hall Middle School 

## Campus Goals and Strategies

2012-2013

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Goal \#1 Hall Middle School will increase the academic achievement of all students and become an Exemplary campus.
1.1. Create and continue campus-wide processes to increase academic achievement and engagement for all students.
1.2. Increase mastery of science vocabulary and concepts, particularly with critical subpopulations

Goal \#2 Hall Middle School will close the achievement gaps between student groups to become an Exemplary campus.
2.1. Implemented Rtl, Teaming, and Inclusion Processes.
2.2. Implementation of Roo Time and a club time three days a week.

## Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 Hall Middle School will increase the attendance rate of all students.
1.1. Offer incentives and awards for perfect attendance.
1.2. Educate parents on attendance and track attendance through IC

Goal \#2 Hall Middle School will maintain an equitable, safe and orderly learning environment.
2.1. Inform students and parents of school rules and procedures.
2.2. Increase positive relationships with students

Goal \#3 Hall Middle School will enhance communication and relationships with all stakeholders
3.1. Utilize technology to enhance communication with all stakeholders

## Tison Middle School <br> Plan on a Page <br> 2012-2013

## District Mission Statement

The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

Campus Mission Statement

The mission of Tison MS is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

## District Motto

Your Child: Our Mission

## Vision Statement

WISD will be the world-class district of choice.

## Campus Motto

Tison Kangaroos: Choosing Excellence

## Campus Vision Statement

Tison Middle School will be a 21st Century learning community

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Campus Operational Goals
(Related to District Goals 2-5)

1. We will be an ACCEPTABLE WITH

DISTINCTION campus by improving student achievement by increasing the rigor, relevance, and fidelity in the taught and tested curriculum.
2. We will be an ACCEPTABLE WITH DISTINCTION campus by improving student achievement in special populations to $90 \%$ in all areas.

1. We will increase student attendance to $97 \%$.
2. We will decrease discipline incidents by $25 \%$.

# Tison Middle School 

## Campus Goals and Strategies

2012-2013

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Goal \#1 We will be an ACCEPTABLE WITH DISTINCTION campus by improving student achievement by increasing the rigor, relevance, and fidelity in the taught and tested curriculum.
1.1. To develop and deploy a process that defines teacher expectations and collaboration that ensures the effectiveness of the first teach.

Goal \#2 We will be an ACCEPTABLE WITH DISTINCTION campus by improving student achievement in special populations to $90 \%$ in all areas.
2.1. To increase the effectiveness of the co-teach and inclusion practices for special populations.

Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 We will increase student attendance to $97 \%$.
1.1. To create and deploy a campus-wide attendance training and incentive Program.

Goal \#2 We will decrease discipline incidents by 25\%.
2.1 To devise and clarify the discipline procedures.

## District Mission Statement

The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

Campus Mission Statement
The mission of Weatherford High School is to educate all students to utilize, analyze, and evaluate information through a challenging comprehensive program that will produce students who are effective leaders, contributing citizens, and life long learners.

District Motto
Your Child: Our Mission

WISD will be the world-class district of choice.

Campus Motto
Involvement, Achievement, Service: A WHS Graduate

Campus Vision Statement
WHS will be the \#1 high school of choice in the State of Texas

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Campus Operational Goals
(Related to District Goals 2-5)

1. Increase Academic Achievement for all students.
2. Provide a safe and nurturing environment
3. Increase student atttendance
4. Increase communication with all stakeholders.

## Weatherford High School

## Campus Goals and Strategies

2012-2013

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

## Goal \#1 Increase academic achievement for all students

1.1 Increase Student Engagement
1.2 Increase Teacher Collaborative Processes

## Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 Provide a safe and nurturing environment
1.1 Implement Safety Protocols and Procedures

Goal \#2 Increase student involvement
2.1 Implement strategies to increase daily attendance rate

Goal \#3 Increase communication with parents, students, and community
3.1 Implement strategies to increase communication through technology

## Bridge Academy <br> Plan on a Page <br> 2012-2013

## District Mission Statement <br> Campus Mission Statement

The mission of the Weatherford ISD is to teach, challenge, and inspire each student in a safe, nurturing environment to succeed in the global community.

The Mission of the Bridge Academy is to provide an environment that builds relationships with students that will provide them with skills to be academically and behaviorally acceptable.

## District Motto

Your Child: Our Mission

## Vision Statement

WISD will be the world-class district of choice.

## Campus Motto

## Every kid counts

## Campus Vision Statement

Bridge will be a model DAEP enabling students to be successful .

## Value Statements

- We value and respect all students, employees, parents, partners, and our community.
- We demonstrate visionary leadership.
- We engage in and promote personal and organizational learning.
- We make data-driven decisions.
- We practice ethical behavior and personal integrity.
- We value and believe in the success of every student
- We build relationships with students that offer support and encouragement
- We engage in and promote acceptable behavior and academic success for all students


## District Goals

1. Focus on Student Success
2. Focus on Students, Parents, and Communities
3. Focus on Operational Excellence
4. Focus on Employees and Organizational Development
5. Focus on Stewardship

Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

1. Enable students to be academically successful.
2. Enable students to be behaviorally successful
3. Focus on parent participation in the educational endeavor of their child.
4. Improve the staff's knowledge base in helping at-risk population.
5. Improve resources to enhance the learning of at-risk population.

# Bridge Academy <br> Campus Goals and Strategies <br> 2012-2013 

## Campus Teaching/Learning Goals (Related to District Goal 1)

Goal \#1 Enable students to be academically successful.
1.1. Utilize data from AWARE to plan individualized instruction
1.2. Individualize tutoring to meet student needs
1.3. Increase attendance at home campus
1.4. Increase TAKS scores and academic achievement
1.5. Increase opportunities for credit recovery

Goal \#2 Enable students to be behaviorally successful
2.1. Individualized counseling and goals for each student
2.2. Life skills for every student
2.3. Decrease office referrals at home campus
2.4. Adult support/ liaison at home campus

## Campus Operational Goals (Related to District Goals 2-5)

Goal \#1 Focus on parent participation in the educational endeavor of their child.
1.1. $\quad$ Supply parents with parenting resources when needed
1.2. Build parental support through Bridge and home campus staff
1.3. Increase parental contact through email, newsletters, conferences, etc.

Goal \#2 Improve the staff's knowledge base in helping at-risk population.
2.1. Attend Summer institute and other relevant trainings during the year
2.2. Require use of online training methods
2.3. Team meetings to determine best practices with at risk population

Goal \#3 Improve resources to enhance the learning of at-risk population.
3.1. Purchase audio books
3.2. Technology to enhance different modalities of learning
3.3. Utilize recourses available at home campus
3.4. Update technology available at Bridge

## Weatherford Independent School District

## Annual Performance Report on School Safety 2011-2012

# Weatherford ISD <br> 2011-2012 <br> Annual Performance Report School Safety 

Texas Education Code Section 39.053 requires that a district's Annual Performance Report include a statement of the number, rate, and type of violent or criminal incidents that occurred on each district campus, to the extent permitted under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA). The Annual Performance Report must also include (1) information concerning school violence prevention and violence intervention policies and procedures that the district is using to protect students, and (2) the findings that result from evaluations conducted under the Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities Act (SDFSCA) of 1994.

## School Violence Prevention Programs:

We in Weatherford Independent School District believe that every child should have the opportunity to learn in a safe, orderly and supportive school environment. As a part of an integrated, systematic program to prevent school violence the following programs, practices, procedures, and/or personnel are in place in Weatherford Independent School District:

- School Counselors at every campus
- Full-time counselor at Bridge (DAEP) campus
- District Executive Director of Operations, Safety, and Security
- District Crisis Intervention plans
- Campus Crisis Intervention plans
- Registered Nurses at each campus
- Advisory programs at middle schools
- District Discipline Management Plan
- Student Code of Conduct
- Student handbooks
- Parent education programs
- On campus in-school suspension program
- Transition Classrooms
- Off-Campus Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP)
- School Resource Police Officers at secondary campuses
- District Crisis Management Team
- Conflict Resolution Training
- School Psychologists
- Student Intervention Teams
- Non-Violent Crisis Intervention Training
- New Teacher Training
- Professional Development
- Safety Drills on all campuses (evacuation, weather, and lock-down)
- Community Disaster Drills
- After-School Programs at all elementary schools (Kids UNITE)
- Summer school programs
- Character Education Curriculum K-6
- Bullying Prevention Curriculum K-6
- Reporting system for bullying and cyberbullying-online and on-campus
- A full program of UIL Activities and other extra-curricular and co-curricular activities
- Sexual Harassment Training
- Dating Violence overview, WHS
- Rachel's Challenge (Grades 9-12)
- Two-way radio communication with police, District administrators, and campus administrators
- Student Leadership classes at WHS
- Student Council class at $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Center
- Drug prevention curriculum K-12
- Crime Stoppers
- Cyberbullying overview
- "Sexting" Prevention overview, Grades 5-12
- Emergency calling system (SchoolMessenger)
- Watch DOGS programs at K-6 schools


## School District Polices and Procedures:

Copies of the following policies and procedures are available for review in the Office of the Executive Director of Student Services, 1100 Longhorn Dr., Weatherford, Texas.

- Weatherford Independent School District Board Policies
- Weatherford Independent School District Student Code of Conduct (TASB Model)
- Weatherford Independent School District Discipline Management Plan
- Weatherford ISD Elementary Student Handbook (TASB Model)
- Weatherford High School 9-12 Student Handbook (TASB Model)
- WISD Middle School Student Handbook (TASB Model)
- District and Campus Emergency Plans
- Crisis Management Handbook
- Weatherford ISD Employee Handbook
- Processes and Procedures for Reporting Bullying/Cyberbullying


# WEATHERFORD INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT <br> Safe and Drug-Free Schools Report Expulsions and Disciplinary Alternative Education Program Data 2011-2012 

| Expulsions 2011-2012 | Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Discretionary Expulsions (expelled to DAEP; does not count in total) | 1 |
| Mandatory Expulsions (expelled to DAEP; does not count in total) | 4 |
| Total | 5 |
|  |  |
| Placements in Disciplinary Alternative Educational Programs (DAEP) 2011-2012 | Number |
| Abuse of volatile chemicals |  |
| Abusing one's own prescription drugs, giving prescription drugs to another student | 1 |
| Assault not school personnel | 23 |
| Assault school personnel | 3 |
| Bullying | 2 |
| Continuation of placement from another District (does not count in total) | 1 |
| Criminal mischief, not punishable as a felony |  |
| Damaging or vandalizing property owned by others |  |
| Directing profanity, vulgar language, or obscene gestures toward other students or a District Employee |  |
| Engaged in organized criminal activity |  |
| Engages in conduct punishable as a felony on school property | 6 |
| Engages in conduct punishable as a Title 5 felony not on school property | 3 |
| Engages in conduct that contains the elements of the offense of public lewdness under Section 21.07, Penal Code. | 1 |
| Engaging in conduct that contains the elements of the offense of retaliation against any school employee |  |
| Engaging in inappropriate verbal, physical or sexual contact directed toward another student or district employee | 7 |
| Engaging in misbehavior leading to substantial disruption of school program or incite violence | 3 |
| Engaging in threatening behavior toward another student or District employee on or off school property | 3 |
| Engaging in verbal or written exchanges that threaten the safety of another student, school employee or school property |  |
| Failing to comply with directives given by school personnel |  |
| False alarm or report; or terroristic threat | 1 |
| Felony off school property not a Title 5 offense | 8 |
| Fighting/mutual combat | 1 |
| Gang activity |  |
| Inappropriate or indecent exposure of student's private body parts | 1 |
| Insubordination | 1 |
| Persistent misbehavior | 8 |
| Possess material that is pornographic |  |
| Possess, uses, or is under the influence of an alcoholic beverage | 4 |
| Possessing a knife (not illegal knife) |  |

# WEATHERFORD INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT <br> Safe and Drug-Free Schools Report Expulsions and Disciplinary Alternative Education Program Data 2011-2012 

| Possessing a look-alike weapon |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Possessing ammunition, an air gun, BB gun, or paintball gun | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Possessing or selling look-alike drugs. (5 were K-2 prior to September 1, 2011) | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Possessing or using matches or a lighter |  |
| Possessing, smoking, or using tobacco products | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Possession, using, giving, or selling drug paraphernalia |  |
| Possession of over-the-counter drugs (Dramamine) |  |
| Possessing fireworks of any kind, smoke or stink bombs, or any other pyrotechnic device |  |
| Refusing to accept discipline management techniques assigned by a teacher or principal. | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |
| Sells, gives, or delivers or possession, use, or under the influence of marihuana, controlled <br> substance or dangerous drug | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Sexual harassment or abuse |  |
| Stealing from students, staff, or the school | Number |
| Truancy | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Offenses Committed prior to 2011-2012 but DAEP served in 2011-2012 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Carry-over from 2010-2011 (DAEP) |  |
| Carry-over expulsions from 2010-2012 | $\mathbf{9}$ |
|  |  |

2011-2012 DAEP (Bridge) Report

## End of Year

| Offense |  | $10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abuse of volatile chemicals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Abusing the student's own persecription drugs, giving prescription drugs to another student... |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Assault not school personnel | 9 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 23 |  |
| Assault school personnel |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Bullying |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Continuation of placement from another District |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | -1 |
| Criminal mischief, not punishable as a felony |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Damaging or vandalizing property owned by others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Directing profanity, vulgar language, or obscene gestures toward other students or a District Employee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Engaged in organized criminal activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Engages in conduct punishable as a felony on school property | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Engages in conduct punishable as a Title 5 felony not on school property | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Engages in conduct that contains the elements of the offense of public lewdness underSection 21.07, Penal Code |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Engaging in conduct that contains the elements of the offense of retaliation against any school employee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Engaging in inappropriate verbal, physical or sexual contact directed toward another student or district employee | 1 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |
| Engaging in misbehavior leading to substantial disruption of school program or incite violence |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Engaging in threatening behavior toward another student or district employee on or off school property | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Engaging in verbal or written exchanges that threaten the safety of another student, school employee or school property |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Expulsion/Option to serve in DAEP | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 5 | -5 |
| Failing to comply with directives given by school personnel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| False alarm or report; or terroristic threat |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Felony off school property not a Title 5 offense | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |
| Fighting/mutual combat | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Gang activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Inappropriate or indecent exposure of student's private body parts |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Insubordination | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Persistent misbehavior | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| Possess material that is pornographic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Possess, uses, or is under the influence of an alcoholic beverage | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| Possessing a knife (not illegal knife) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Posessing a look-alike weapon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Possessing ammunition, an air gun, BB gun, or paintball gun | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Possessing fireworks of any kind, smoke or stink bombs, or any other pyrotechnic device |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Possessing or selling look-alike drugs. | 7 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| Possessing or using matches or a lighter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Possessing, smoking, or using tobacco products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Possession, using, giving, or selling drug paraphernalia | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Refusing to accept discipline management techniques assigned by a teacher or principal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Sells, gives, or delivers or possession, use, or under the influence of marihuana, controlled substance or dangerous drug | 18 | 13 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 |  |
| Sexual harassment or abuse |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| Stealing from students, staff, or the school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| TOTAL | 62 | 36 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 140 | -6 134 |
| End of 2011-2012 School Year Enrollment | 1516 | 604 | 619 | 559 | 549 | 561 | 755 | 629 | 535 | 567 | 633 | 7527 |  |
| Placements/Student | 4.09\% | 5.96\% | 1.94\% | 3.46\% | 0.00\% | 0.40\% | 0.79\% | 0.19\% | 0.00\% | 0.16\% | 0.01\% | 1.86\% |  |


| Placements/Student |
| :--- |
| [There were 7 "carryovers" from 2011-2012 are not reflected in this year's totals] |

There were 7 "carryovers" from $2011-2012$ are not reflected in this year's totals]
Placement total for 2011-2012 134 Placements +1 continuation from another district +5 students were expelled to DAEP for all or part of the Expulsion
5 Students were expelled this year--4 mandatory and 1 discretionary

2011--2012
Weatherford Independent School District
Annual Performance Report
Violent or Criminal Incidents Report


## Weatherford Independent School District

## Report on Student Enrollment and Academic Performance 2011-2012

## Report of 2009-2010 High School Graduates' Enrollment and Academic Performance in Texas Public Higher Education in FY 2011

Texas statute requires every school district to include, with their performance report, information received under Texas Education Code §51.403(e). This information, provided to districts from the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB), reports on student performance in postsecondary institutions during the first year enrolled after graduation from high school.

Student performance is measured by the Grade Point Average (GPA) earned by 2009-2010 high school graduates who attended public four-year and two-year higher education in FY 2011. The data is presented alphabetically for each county, school district and high school. The bookmarks can be used to select the first letter of a county. Then the user can scroll down to the desired county, school district and high school.

For each student, the grade points and college-level semester credit hours earned by a student in fall 2010, spring 2011, and summer 2011 are added together and averaged to determine the GPA. These GPAs are accumulated in a range of five categories from $<2.0$ to $>3.5$. If a GPA could not be calculated for some reason, that student is placed in the "Unknown" column. GPA data is only available for students attending public higher education institutions in Texas. If a high school has fewer than five students attending four-year or two-year public higher education institutions, the number of students is shown but no GPA breakout is given. If a student attended both a four-year and a two-year institution in FY 2011, the student's GPA is shown in the type of institution where the most semester credit hours were earned.

The number of students located at Texas independent institutions is presented. Also shown are "not trackable" graduates, those with non-standard ID numbers that cannot be used to match student identifiers at Texas higher education institutions. "Not found" graduates have standard ID numbers but were not located in FY 2011 at Texas higher education institutions. They might have enrolled in higher education outside of Texas.

No data is given for high schools with 25 or fewer graduates.
Because the statute calls for data on the first year enrolled after graduation, the level of the institution attended by students in this report may not match that given in THECB's high school to college report at http://www.txhighereddata.org/Interactive/HSCollLink.cfm. That report shows where students attended in the fall semester after their high school graduation year. This report attributes students to the level of institution where they earned the most semester credit hours during the whole academic year, not just the fall semester.

Please note: In May 2012 the 2006-2007, 2007-2008, and 2008-2009 versions of the Report of High School Graduates' Enrollment and Academic Performance in Texas Public Higher Education were updated to reflect a minor correction in how the GPA data are distributed across ranges.

Texas High School Graduates from FY2010
Enrolled in Texas Public or Independent Higher Education in FY 2011

| County | District | GPA for 1st Year in Public Higher Education in Texas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Graduates | <2.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0- \\ & 2.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5- \\ & 2.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0- \\ & 3.49 \end{aligned}$ | >3.5 | Unk |
| SPRI NGTOWN ISD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 184902001 SPRINGTOWN H S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Four-Year Public University | 32 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 0 |
|  | Two-Year Public Colleges | 74 | 31 | 13 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 1 |
|  | Independent Colleges \& Universities | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not Trackable | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not Found | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total High School Graduates | 213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WEATHERFORD ISD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 184903001 WEATHERFORD H S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Four-Year Public University | 67 | 6 | 8 | 18 | 21 | 14 | 0 |
|  | Two-Year Public Colleges | 190 | 65 | 29 | 39 | 32 | 18 | 7 |
|  | I ndependent Colleges \& Universities | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not Trackable | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not Found | 159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total High School Graduates | 434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Includes high schools with more than 25 graduates. If enrolliment in public higher education less than 5, the GPA data is omitted.

## Annual TSI High School Summary Report

Student Performance on Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Readiness Measures 2009-2010 High School Graduates Enrolled in Texas Public Higher Education in Fall 2010

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board
Austin, TX
October 2011

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

## Annual Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Report of Student Readiness Status

 2009-2010 High School Graduates Enrolled in Texas Public Higher Education in Fall 2010 Statewide Summary ReportLink to 2009-2010 Individual School District Report (Updated on 10.19.12)* Link to Statewide Summary Data (Updated on 10.19.12)*

As mandated by state statute, the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) includes standards for minimum test scores or performance in the areas of mathematics, writing, and reading that indicate students' readiness to enroll in freshman-level academic college coursework. Public higher education institutions must have a developmental education plan for students who do not meet testing requirements.

Every semester, Texas public higher education institutions report information to the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) about their students' TSI status, developmental coursework attempted, and performance in first math, reading-related and writing-related college-level courses. The data collected is used to produce this report about the TSI status of recent high school graduates who enroll in Texas public higher education and a number of other reports.

A new TSI reporting process was implemented in spring 2009. As a result, the methodology for the 2007-2008 TSI high school report was transitional and several years of comparison data were provided. The 2009 TSI high school report was the first which presented information about student readiness using data that was submitted on the redesigned TSI report. Therefore, no earlier years of comparison data are provided. This 2009-2010 high school graduate report tracks student readiness at the time of enrollment in higher education. Reports prior to 2009 tracked students' preparedness through the end of their first fall semester, and earlier reports tracked students through their entire first academic year. For this reason, comparisons should not be made with the data in previous annual TSI high school reports, except last year's.

The decision to present student readiness data at the time of enrollment was made for several reasons:

- The recent introduction of Graduates' Enrollment and Academic Performance in Texas Public Higher Education can give school districts insight into how their students perform once enrolled in college by providing student grade point ranges. Legislative requirements for school districts to report on student performance in high school may be satisfied using the academic performance report. (See
http://www.txhighereddata.org/Interactive/HSCollLinkFilters/HSGradAcademicPerformance.cfm )
- Many school districts have expressed interest in learning how prepared their students are when they arrive at college, to better gauge how well their K-12 system prepares students.
- College readiness at the time of enrollment is baseline data that is used in the state higher education accountability system when tracking student cohorts on TSI measures. The methodology for determining readiness on this high school report now
aligns with the methodology used for TSI reporting on higher education institutions. However, the cohorts are determined in a slightly different manner.

As with prior TSI high school reports, this TSI report contains state-level data summaries disaggregated by student ethnicity, gender, high school curriculum, and economic status. In addition to the statewide data, the report includes independent school district results for each county, and district(s) within each county, listed alphabetically. The data for very small districts (those with fewer than five graduates or five students enrolled or for which no students passed any one of the TSI Standards categories) are combined into a single row at the top of the district-specific section of the report.

State-level data for the current report is available below and can be accessed through a link on the top of page 2. Individual and school district data can be accessed in the ISD report using the link here or at the top of page 2 . Once again, no direct comparisons should be made with the data in reports prior to last year.

The definitions below provide descriptions of the data in the report:
Total High School Graduates ........ Number of students who graduated from a Texas public high school in academic year 2009-2010

## Enrolled In Texas Public Higher

Education .................................Number of graduates who enrolled in a public $\quad$ higher education institution the following fall (fall 2010)

## Percent Enrolled...................... Percent of high school graduates who enrolled in a public higher education institution the following fall

## 2009-2010 high school graduates who enrolled in Texas public higher education the following fall and who met TSI minimum passing standards**

All Three Parts... Number and percent of high school graduates enrolled in Texas public higher education who met TSI standards in all three areas prior to the fall 2009 semester via (1) an approved test for TSI exemption (SAT, ACT, or TAKS), (2) an approved test for TSI purposes (THEA, COMPASS, ASSET, or ACCUPLACER ) and/or (3) other allowable means.

Math $\qquad$ Number and percent of high school graduates who met the TSI standard in math prior to the fall 2010 semester via (1) the related section of a TAKS, SAT, or ACT test, (2) the related section of an approved test for TSI purposes, or (3) other allowable means.

Writing $\qquad$ Number and percent of high school graduates who met the TSI standard in writing prior to the fall 2010 semester via (1) the related section of a TAKS, SAT, or ACT test, (2) the related section of an approved test for TSI purposes, or (3) other allowable means.

Reading............Number and percent of high school graduates who met the TSI standard in reading prior to the fall 2010 semester via (1) the related section of a TAKS, SAT, or ACT test, (2) the related section of an approved test for TSI purposes, or (3) other allowable means.

Percentage Calculation: The percentage of students who met TSI is calculated by dividing the number who met the standard in one or all the TSI sections by the total number of students who enrolled in Texas Public Higher Education, which is shown in column 2.

* The high school report was revised on 10.19.12 to incorporate data updates provided by two higher education institutions.
** Not included in the Met TSI Standards categories are enrollees for whom TSI minimum passing standards do not apply, are waived, or are exempted through means other than an approved test. Examples include students with military service, students enrolled in non-credit or non-degree programs, and students enrolled in a Level-One certificate program. Current dual credit students are not included.

Annual TSI Test Report of Student Performance
2009-2010 High School Graduates
Enrolled in Texas Higher Education, Fall 2010 Statewide Summary by Ethnicity

|  |  |  |  | Met TSI Standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity |  | Enrol |  | All Areas |  | Math |  | Writing |  | Reading |  |
| (TEA) | HS Grads | N | \% Grads | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs |
| Asian | 9,967 | 6,852 | 68.7 | 5,764 | 84.1 | 6,243 | 91.1 | 6,262 | 91.4 | 6,158 | 89.9 |
| Afr. Amer. | 36,988 | 16,882 | 45.6 | 8,508 | 50.4 | 10,113 | 59.9 | 12,370 | 73.3 | 12,084 | 71.6 |
| Hispanic | 119,365 | 54,327 | 45.5 | 31,450 | 57.9 | 36,341 | 66.9 | 41,461 | 76.3 | 40,790 | 75.1 |
| Amer. Indian | 1,452 | 619 | 42.6 | 407 | 65.8 | 451 | 72.9 | 522 | 84.3 | 517 | 83.5 |
| Hawaiian/Pac.Islander | 363 | 158 | 43.5 | 122 | 77.2 | 132 | 83.5 | 141 | 89.2 | 136 | 86.1 |
| Two or More Races | 3,808 | 1,907 | 50.1 | 1,420 | 74.5 | 1,515 | 79.4 | 1,667 | 87.4 | 1,672 | 87.7 |
| White | 108,577 | 56,323 | 51.9 | 44,180 | 78.4 | 46,407 | 82.4 | 50,670 | 90.0 | 50,824 | 90.2 |
| Total | 280,520 | 137,068 | 48.9 | 91,851 | 67.0 | 101,202 | 73.8 | 113,093 | 82.5 | 112,181 | 81.8 |

*Texas Education Agency (TEA) categorizations of race and ethnicity have been used for this analysis.

Annual TSI Test Report of Student Performance
2009-2010 High School Graduates
Enrolled in Texas Higher Education, Fall 2010
Statewide Summary by Gender

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Met TSI Standards |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled |  | All Areas |  | Math |  | Writing |  | Reading |  |
| Gender | HS Grads | N | \% Grads | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs |
| Male | 140,928 | 64,463 | 45.7 | 43,162 | 67.0 | 48,255 | 74.9 | 51,570 | 80.0 | 52,142 | 80.9 |
| Female | 139,592 | 72,605 | 52.0 | 48,689 | 67.1 | 52,947 | 72.9 | 61,523 | 84.7 | 60,039 | 82.7 |
| Total | 280,520 | 137,068 | 48.9 | 91,851 | 67.0 | 101,202 | 73.8 | 113,093 | 82.5 | 112,181 | 81.8 |

## Annual TSI Test Report of Student Performance <br> 2009-2010 High School Graduates <br> Enrolled in Texas Higher Education, Fall 2010 <br> Statewide Summary by Economically Disadvantaged Status

|  |  |  |  | Met TSI Standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enroll |  | All Are |  | Math |  | Writin |  | Read | ding |
| Economic | HS Grads | N | \% Grads | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs |
| N/A | 163,159 | 86,600 | 53.1 | 63,943 | 73.8 | 68,113 | 78.7 | 75,767 | 87.5 | 75,487 | 87.2 |
| Free Meal | 83,472 | 33,827 | 40.5 | 18,124 | 53.6 | 21,650 | 64.0 | 24,748 | 73.2 | 24,280 | 71.8 |
| Red. Price | 19,260 | 9,422 | 48.9 | 5,817 | 61.7 | 6,582 | 69.9 | 7,478 | 79.4 | 7,311 | 77.6 |
| Other | 14,629 | 7,219 | 49.3 | 3,967 | 55.0 | 4,857 | 67.3 | 5,100 | 70.6 | 5,103 | 70.7 |
| Total | 280,520 | 137,068 | 48.9 | 91,851 | 67.0 | 101,202 | 73.8 | 113,093 | 82.5 | 112,181 | 81.8 |

## Annual TSI Test Report of Student Performance

2009-2010 High School Graduates
Enrolled in Texas Higher Education, Fall 2010
Statewide Summary by Diploma Type

|  |  |  |  | Met TSI Standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled |  | All Areas |  | Math |  | Writing |  | Reading |  |
| Grad Type | HS Grads | N | \% Grads | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs | N | \% Enrs |
| Distinguished | 33,897 | 22,928 | 67.6 | 21,030 | 91.7 | 21,476 | 93.7 | 21,979 | 95.9 | 22,004 | 96.0 |
| Individual Ed Plan | 7,734 | 1,161 | 15.0 | 67 | 5.8 | 155 | 13.4 | 270 | 23.3 | 256 | 22.0 |
| Minimum | 40,657 | 8,980 | 22.1 | 2,320 | 25.8 | 3,103 | 34.6 | 4,978 | 55.4 | 4,913 | 54.7 |
| Recommended | 198,232 | 103,999 | 52.5 | 68,434 | 65.8 | 76,468 | 73.5 | 85,866 | 82.6 | 85,008 | 81.7 |
| Total | 280,520 | 137,068 | 48.9 | 91,851 | 67.0 | 101,202 | 73.8 | 113,093 | 82.5 | 112,181 | 81.8 |


| Annual TSI Test Report of Student Performance 2008-2009 High School Graduates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled in Texas Higher Education During Fall 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statewide Summary by County and School District |  |  | Enrolled |  | All Areas |  |
| County | District Name | HS Grad: N |  | \%grads |  | \%Enrs |
| NOTE: "Small districts" combines records where HS Grads < 5 or Enrolled < 5 or any N under "Met TSI Standards" $=0$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 264,275 | 130,928 | 49.54 | 85,842 | 65.56 |
|  | Summary for Small Districts(92) | 1,112 | 258 | 23.20 | 117 | 45.35 |
| ANDERSON | Total | 494 | 211 | 42.71 | 153 | 72.51 |
|  | CAYUGA ISD | 31 | 15 | 48.39 | 12 | 80.00 |
|  | ELKHART ISD | 78 | 32 | 41.03 | 24 | 75.00 |
|  | FRANKSTON ISD | 58 | 20 | 34.48 | 11 | 55.00 |
|  | NECHES ISD | 31 | 15 | 48.39 | 11 | 73.33 |
|  | PALESTINE ISD | 161 | 65 | 40.37 | 44 | 67.69 |
|  | SLOCUM ISD | 23 | 13 | 56.52 | 10 | 76.92 |
|  | WESTWOOD ISD | 112 | 51 | 45.54 | 41 | 80.39 |
| ANDREWS | Total | 172 | 79 | 45.93 | 46 | 58.23 |
|  | ANDREWS ISD | 172 | 79 | 45.93 | 46 | 58.23 |
| ANGELINA | Total | 1,052 | 556 | 52.85 | 357 | 64.21 |
|  | CENTRAL ISD | 112 | 55 | 49.11 | 28 | 50.91 |
|  | DIBOLL ISD | 126 | 60 | 47.62 | 36 | 60.00 |
|  | HUDSON ISD | 138 | 84 | 60.87 | 66 | 78.57 |
|  | HUNTINGTON ISD | 132 | 66 | 50.00 | 41 | 62.12 |
|  | LUFKIN ISD | 512 | 273 | 53.32 | 176 | 64.47 |
|  | ZAVALLA ISD | 32 | 18 | 56.25 | 10 | 55.56 |
| ARANSAS | Total | 205 | 94 | 45.85 | 54 | 57.45 |
|  | ARANSAS COUNTY ISD | 205 | 94 | 45.85 | 54 | 57.45 |
| ARCHER | Total | 139 | 81 | 58.27 | 71 | 87.65 |
|  | ARCHER CITY ISD | 43 | 20 | 46.51 | 16 | 80.00 |
|  | HOLLIDAY ISD | 52 | 32 | 61.54 | 29 | 90.63 |
|  | WINDTHORST ISD | 44 | 29 | 65.91 | 26 | 89.66 |
| ARMSTRONG | Total | 29 | 21 | 72.41 | 18 | 85.71 |
|  | CLAUDE ISD | 29 | 21 | 72.41 | 18 | 85.71 |
| ATASCOSA | Total | 480 | 210 | 43.75 | 100 | 47.62 |
|  | CHARLOTTE ISD | 28 | 7 | 25.00 | 4 | 57.14 |
|  | JOURDANTON ISD | 72 | 37 | 51.39 | 20 | 54.05 |
|  | LYTLE ISD | 94 | 46 | 48.94 | 26 | 56.52 |
|  | PLEASANTON ISD | 187 | 84 | 44.92 | 31 | 36.90 |
|  | POTEET ISD | 99 | 36 | 36.36 | 19 | 52.78 |


|  | SANTO ISD | 33 | 20 | 60.61 | 12 | 60.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STRAWN ISD | 19 | 14 | 73.68 | 9 | 64.29 |
| PANOLA | Total | 262 | 135 | 51.53 | 81 | 60.00 |
|  | BECKVILLE ISD | 35 | 24 | 68.57 | 12 | 50.00 |
|  | CARTHAGE ISD | 180 | 90 | 50.00 | 54 | 60.00 |
|  | GARY ISD | 16 | 11 | 68.75 | 9 | 81.82 |
|  | PANOLA CHARTER SCHOOL | 31 | 10 | 32.26 | 6 | 60.00 |
| PARKER | Total | 1,271 | 680 | 53.50 | 497 | 73.09 |
|  | ALEDO ISD | 359 | 202 | 56.27 | 168 | 83.17 |
|  | BROCK ISD | 64 | 39 | 60.94 | 32 | 82.05 |
|  | MILLSAP ISD | 62 | 35 | 56.45 | 28 | 80.00 |
|  | PEASTER ISD | 73 | 48 | 65.75 | 40 | 83.33 |
|  | POOLVILLE ISD | 27 | 17 | 62.96 | 8 | 47.06 |
|  | SPRINGTOWN ISD | 200 | 94 | 47.00 | 61 | 64.89 |
|  | WEATHERFORD ISD | 432 | 235 | 54.40 | 160 | 68.09 |
| PARMER | Total | 142 | 63 | 44.37 | 47 | 74.60 |
|  | BOVINA ISD | 37 | 19 | 51.35 | 16 | 84.21 |
|  | FARWELL ISD | 27 | 11 | 40.74 | 8 | 72.73 |
|  | FRIONA ISD | 71 | 29 | 40.85 | 21 | 72.41 |
| PECOS | Total | 194 | 90 | 46.39 | 54 | 60.00 |
|  | BUENA VISTA ISD | 9 | 6 | 66.67 | 5 | 83.33 |
|  | FORT STOCKTON ISD | 132 | 61 | 46.21 | 36 | 59.02 |
|  | IRAAN-SHEFFIELD ISD | 53 | 23 | 43.40 | 13 | 56.52 |
| POLK | Total | 427 | 203 | 47.54 | 139 | 68.47 |
|  | BIG SANDY ISD | 44 | 17 | 38.64 | 14 | 82.35 |
|  | CORRIGAN-CAMDEN ISD | 71 | 35 | 49.30 | 21 | 60.00 |
|  | GOODRICH ISD | 13 | 9 | 69.23 | 6 | 66.67 |
|  | LEGGETT ISD | 14 | 6 | 42.86 | 5 | 83.33 |
|  | LIVINGSTON ISD | 237 | 116 | 48.95 | 78 | 67.24 |
|  | ONALASKA ISD | 48 | 20 | 41.67 | 15 | 75.00 |
| POTTER | Total | 1,981 | 1,023 | 51.64 | 725 | 70.87 |
|  | AMARILLO ISD | 1,706 | 878 | 51.47 | 624 | 71.07 |
|  | BUSHLAND ISD | 80 | 53 | 66.25 | 37 | 69.81 |
|  | HIGHLAND PARK ISD | 46 | 30 | 65.22 | 21 | 70.00 |
|  | RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY (AMARIL | 63 | 14 | 22.22 | 6 | 42.86 |
|  | RIVER ROAD ISD | 86 | 48 | 55.81 | 37 | 77.08 |
| PRESIDIO | Total | 106 | 65 | 61.32 | 38 | 58.46 |
|  | MARFA ISD | 19 | 14 | 73.68 | 10 | 71.43 |
|  | PRESIDIO ISD | 87 | 51 | 58.62 | 28 | 54.90 |
| RAINS | Total | 109 | 44 | 40.37 | 32 | 72.73 |
|  | RAINS ISD | 109 | 44 | 40.37 | 32 | 72.73 |
| RANDALL | Total | 543 | 319 | 58.75 | 227 | 71.16 |
|  | CANYON ISD | 543 | 319 | 58.75 | 227 | 71.16 |


[^0]:    ESL
    Content ESL

[^1]:    Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board and Texas Education Agency
    "Not found" graduates have standard ID numbers that were not found in the specified year at Texas higher education institutions.
    "Not trackable" graduates have non-standard ID numbers that will not find a match at Texas higher education institutions.

